Probing Small-x Nuclear Structure Via Photoproduced Vector Mesons in UPCs at CMS

Zaochen Ye (SCNU)

April 24 – 28, 2026





Understand Nucleon Structure



Higher energy, probing lower-x partons



Deep Inelastic Scattering

Understand Nucleon Structure

Splitting





Understand Nucleon Structure

Splitting



$\sim N_g$(linear)

Recombination

$\sim (N_g)^2$(nonlinear)

Gluon Saturation?



Advantages of Gluon Saturation Search in Nucleus



Gluons is **enhanced** by a factor of $A^{1/3}$ in **nucleus** compared to what in free nucleon

$$Q_s^2 \sim A^{1/3} \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\lambda}$$



- **Gluon saturation can be more easily reached in heavy nuclei**

Key Goal of Future **E**lectron Ion **C**ollider





Probe Nuclear Gluonic Structure via VMs in UPCs

Vector meson photoproduction directly probes gluonic structure of nucleus/nucleon

At LO in pQCD, **cross section** ∝ photon flux ⊗ **[xG(x)]²**



Probe Nuclear Gluonic Structure via VMs in UPCs

Vector meson photoproduction directly probes gluonic structure of nucleus/nucleon

At LO in pQCD, **cross section** ∝ photon flux ⊗ **[xG(x)]²**



Coherent production:

- Photon fluctuated dipole couples **coherently to entire nucleus**
- **Target** nucleus remains **intact** at ground state
- VM $\langle p_T \rangle$ ~ **50 MeV**
- Probing the **average gluon density**

Probe Nuclear Gluonic Structure via VMs in UPCs

Vector meson photoproduction directly probes gluonic structure of nucleus/nucleon

At LO in pQCD, **cross section** ∝ photon flux ⊗ **[xG(x)]²**



Coherent production:

- Photon fluctuated dipole couples **coherently to entire nucleus**
- **Target** nucleus remains **intact** at ground state
- VM $\langle p_T \rangle$ ~ **50 MeV**
- Probing the **average gluon density**

Incoherent production:

- Photon fluctuated dipole couples to **local gluonic hotspots**
- **Target** nucleus get excited, or **breaks (mostly)**
- VM $\langle p_T \rangle$ ~ **500 MeV**
- Probing the **local gluon density** and **fluctuations**

$$\omega = \frac{M_{VM}}{2} e^{\pm y} \qquad x = \frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}}} e^{\mp y} \qquad \mathrm{W}_{\gamma \mathrm{p}} = 2\sqrt{\omega \cdot \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{beam}}}$$

Probe Nuclear Gluonic Structure via VMs in UPCs

Explore in 2 dimensions: Q^2 vs. x



Lighter VM

Higher energy

$$x = \frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}}} e^{\mp y}$$

Coherent J/Ψ Photoproduction via **γ + p** (Free Nucleon)



$$W_{\gamma p} = 2\sqrt{\omega \cdot E_{beam}}$$

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow J/\psi + p$$

- Data from **LHC** and **HERA** follow a **common** power-law trend, consistent with the expectation from the rapidly increasing gluon density in a proton

No clear indication of gluon saturation, even down to $x \sim 10^{-5}$ in a free nucleon!

Coherent J/Ψ Photoproduction in A-A UPCs

$\gamma + Pb \rightarrow J/\psi + Pb$



- **Strong suppression**, but the rapidity distribution was **a puzzle**

ALICE Pb-Pb UPC



Coherent J/Ψ Photoproduction in A-A UPCs

$\gamma + Pb \rightarrow J/\psi + Pb$



CMS: PRL 131, 262301 (2023)
LHCb: JHEP 06 146 (2023)



- **Strong suppression**, but the rapidity distributi was **a puzzle**

Coherent J/Ψ Photoproduction in A-A UPCs

$\gamma + Pb \rightarrow J/\psi + Pb$



CMS: PRL 131, 262301 (2023)
LHCb: JHEP 06 146 (2023)



- **Strong suppression**, but the rapidity distribution was **a puzzle**

$$x = \frac{M_{VM}}{\sqrt{s_{\mathrm{NN}}}} e^{\mp y}$$

low-energy photons dominant

Two-Way Ambiguity in A-A UPC





$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}(\omega_1) \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(\omega_1)} + N_{\gamma/A}(w_2) \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(w_2)}$$

what we measure

- This ambiguity exists for both **coherent** and **incoherent** processes

Two-Way Ambiguity in A-A UPC





Smaller-x

$$\frac{d\sigma_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N_{\gamma/A}(\omega_1)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(\omega_1)} + N_{\gamma/A}(w_2)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(w_2)}$$

what we measure

- This ambiguity exists for both **coherent** and **incoherent** processes

Method to Solve Two-Way Ambiguity in A-A UPC

V. Guzey, M. Strikman, M. Zhalov, EPJC (2014) 72 2942

- Control/select the impact parameter of UPCs via forward emitted neutrons



- **Analogous to centrality in hadronic collisions:**
  - $b_{XnXn} < b_{0nXn} < b_{0n0n}$ **in UPC**

Neutron emission via EMD with additional photon exchange:

- Soft photons (energy ~10s MeV)
- Independent of interested physics process
- Large cross section ~200 b (single EMD)
- The smaller impact parameter → the more neutrons

Method to Solve Two-Way Ambiguity in A-A UPC

V. Guzey, M. Strikman, M. Zhalov, EPJC (2014) 72 2942

- Control/select the impact parameter of UPCs via forward emitted neutrons



$$\frac{d\sigma^{0nXn}_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N^{0nXn}_{\gamma/A}(\omega_1)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(\omega_1)} + N^{0nXn}_{\gamma/A}(w_2)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(w_2)}$$

from theoretical calculation

$$\frac{d\sigma^{XnXn}_{AA\to AA'J/\psi}}{dy} = N^{XnXn}_{\gamma/A}(\omega_1)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(\omega_1)} + N^{XnXn}_{\gamma/A}(w_2)\cdot\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(w_2)}$$

Neutron emission via EMD with additional photon exchange:

- Soft photons (energy ~10s MeV)
- Independent of interested physics process
- Large cross section ~200 b (single EMD)
- The smaller impact parameter → the more neutrons

$\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(\omega_1)}$ **Larger-*x***

$\sigma_{\gamma A\to J/\psi A'(w_2)}$ **Smaller-*x***

Coherent J/Ψ Cross Section of Per γ+Pb

CMS: PRL 131, 262301 (2023)

ALICE: JHEP 10 119 (2023)



Data show:

- **Rapid increase** at W < 40 GeV
- Turn into a **nearly flat** (**slower rising**) trend for W > 40 GeV

Strongly saturated cross sections

Coherent J/Ψ Cross Section of Per γ+Pb

CMS: PRL 131, 262301 (2023)

ALICE: JHEP 10 119 (2023)



Data show:

- **Rapid increase** at W < 40 GeV
- Turn into a **nearly flat** (**slower rising**) trend for W > 40 GeV
- **y distribution puzzle is solved by studying the W dependence**
- **Strong suppression**

Strongly saturated cross sections



Coherent J/Ψ Cross Section of Per γ+Pb

CMS: PRL 131, 262301 (2023)

ALICE: JHEP 10 119 (2023)



Data show:

- **Rapid increase** at W < 40 GeV
- Turn into a **nearly flat** (**slower rising**) trend for W > 40 GeV
- **y distribution puzzle is solved by studying the W dependence**
- **Strong suppression**

Strongly saturated cross sections

Gluon Saturation?

Black Disk Limit?



$$\hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{inel}}_{\mathrm{PQCD}} \leq \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{black}} = \pi R^2_{\mathrm{target}}$$

Nuclear shadowing?



What's the underlying physics?

How About Incoherent J/Ψ Photoproduction?



Coherent production:

- Photon fluctuated dipole couples **coherently to entire nucleus**
- **Target** nucleus remains **intact**
- VM $\langle p_T \rangle$ ~ **50 MeV**
- Probing the **averaged gluon density**

Incoherent production:

- Photon fluctuated dipole couples to **individual nucleon or sub-nucleon**
- **Target** nucleus usually **breaks**
- VM $\langle p_T \rangle$ ~ **500 MeV**
- Probing the **local gluon density** and **fluctuations**

Solve “Two-Way Ambiguity” for Incoh. Process



J/Ψ-Xn (Same Direction)



J/Ψ-Xn (Opposite Direction)

V. Guzey, M. Strikman, M. Zhalov, EPJC (2014) 72 2942

- **Incoh. J/Ψ photoproduction itself has ~85% chance to induce the forward neutrons**
  → Detecting these neutrons will identify target nucleus
  → Help to solve the “Two-Way Ambiguity”

Example Signals (J/Ψ-Xn Correlations)

Low-W

$-y$

J/Ψ-Xn (Same Direction)



J/Ψ-Xn (Opposite Direction)



High-W

$+y$





- **No correlation** between forward neutrons and coh. production
- **Strong correlation** between forward neutrons and incoh. production

Incoh. J/Ψ Cross Section Per γ+Pb



CMS, PRL 135, 112301 (2025), Editors' Suggestion

- **First energy-dependent** measurement of incoh. J/Ψ photoproduction
  - **Strongly saturated trend again**

CGC: PRD 109 (2024) 7, L071504, PRD 106 (2022) 7, 074019
LTA: V. Guzey et al. PRC 108 (2023) 024904, PRC 99 (2019) 015201

ALICE: EPJC 73 (2013) 2617

Incoh. J/Ψ Cross Section Per γ+Pb



CMS, PRL 135, 112301 (2025), Editors' Suggestion

- **First energy-dependent** measurement of incoh. J/Ψ photoproduction
  - **Strongly saturated trend again**
- **Strong suppression** compared to Impulse Approximation (IA)

CGC: PRD 109 (2024) 7, L071504, PRD 106 (2022) 7, 074019
LTA: V. Guzey et al. PRC 108 (2023) 024904, PRC 99 (2019) 015201

ALICE: EPJC 73 (2013) 2617

Incoh. J/Ψ Cross Section Per γ+Pb



CMS, PRL 135, 112301 (2025), Editors' Suggestion

- **First energy-dependent** measurement of incoh. J/Ψ photoproduction
  - **Strongly saturated trend again**
- **Strong suppression** compared to Impulse Approximation (IA)
- **LTA** describe data at **W < 60 GeV**
- **CGC without** sub-nucleonic fluctuations better describe data at **W > 90 GeV**

CGC: PRD 109 (2024) 7, L071504, PRD 106 (2022) 7, 074019
LTA: V. Guzey et al. PRC 108 (2023) 024904, PRC 99 (2019) 015201
ALICE: EPJC 73 (2013) 2617

Cross Section Ratio of Incoh./Coh. J/Ψ



- **No clear W dependence (40 < W < 400 GeV)**
  - Not support Black Disk Limit is reached
- ALICE data agrees with CMS data, STAR data slightly rises towards lower W
- **LTA and CGC with sub-nucleonic fluctuation qualitatively describe data trend**

CMS, PRL 135, 112301 (2025), Editors' Suggestion

Theoretical uncertainties from **VM wave function, nuclear density, nuclear form factor, free nucleon PDFs, photon flux, and J/Ψ formation probability** are largely canceled.

Nuclear Suppression Factor



CMS, PRL 135, 112301 (2025), Editors' Suggestion

$$S^{J/\psi}_{coh}(x, \mu^2) = (R_g)^2$$

$$S^{J/\psi} = \frac{\sigma^{exp}_{\gamma Pb \to J/\psi Pb'}}{\sigma^{IA}_{\gamma Pb \to J/\psi Pb'}}$$

No nuclear effects

- Both Coh and Incoh J/Ψ show **stronger suppression towards lower x,** and eventually **flattens out**
- Incoh. is **more suppressed** than Coh. J/Ψ
- Incoh. J/Ψ get closer to Coh. J/Ψ for $x < 10^{-4}$

First Observation of ϕ Photoproduction in UPCs









First Observation of ϕ Photoproduction in UPCs



- **Strong (~5x) suppression is observed**

CMS, PRL 135, 262301 (2025)

First Observation of ϕ Photoproduction in UPCs



- **Strong (~5x) suppression is observed**
- **Gluon saturation models:**
  - Overpredicted data by a factor of **2-3**

CMS, PRL 135, 262301 (2025)

First Observation of ϕ Photoproduction in UPCs



- **Strong (~5x) suppression is observed**
- **Gluon saturation models:**
  - Overpredicted data by a factor of **2-3**
- **Nuclear shadowing models:**
  - Generally better describe data
  - VMD + Gribov Glauber (GG) over predict data
  - VMD + Classical Glauber (CG) best describe data
    - STARLIGHT and RP-CG
      - But not describe J/Ψ and ***ρ*** results

CMS, PRL 135, 262301 (2025)

First Observation of $\Upsilon(1S)$ Photoproduction in UPCs

Υ is expected to be less sensitive to the non-linear QCD effects



CMS: arXiv:2604.05814 , submitted to PRL

First Observation of $\Upsilon(1S)$ Photoproduction in UPCs

Υ is expected to be less sensitive to the non-linear QCD effects



CMS: arXiv:2604.05814 , submitted to PRL



- **However, coherent $\Upsilon(1S)$ is observed to be strongly (~4x) suppressed!!!**

Summary

- **First energy-dependent Coh. and Incoh. J/Ψ are measured by CMS**
  - Both are strongly saturated at high energy or small x
  - Ratio of Incoh/Coh J/Ψ stay at a constant
  - Stronger suppression towards lower x, eventually flattens out
  - Incoh. J/Ψ is more suppressed than Coh. J/Ψ
- **First Coh. ϕ photoproduction off heavy nuclei is observed by CMS:**
  - Nuclear suppression factor **~5**
- **First Coh. $\Upsilon(1S)$ photoproduction off heavy nuclei is observed by CMS:**
  - Nuclear suppression factor **~4**
- **Significant theoretical improvements are needed towards uncovering the underlying physics mechanisms at small x**

Outlook

Explore nuclear gluonic structure in : x $vs.$ Q^2 $vs.$ Q_S^2



Various VMs in different nucleus-nucleus UPCs**:**

- **Coherent and Incoherent**
- **Dipole sizes** and **hard scales**
- **Energy (x) dependence**
- **A (saturation scale) dependences**

Thanks!

Major Collaborators at CMS:


Wei Li


Shuai Yang


Andre Stahl


Jiazhao Lin


Xiao Huang


Luis Alcerro

Special thanks to the theorists for their valuable discussions and insightful predictions:

- CGC: B. Schenke , H. Mantysaari, F. Salazar, W. Zhao and J. Penttala
- LTA: V. Guzey, M. Strikman, M. Zhalov and E. Kryshen
- CD and GBW: V. P. Goncalves, B. D. Moreira, K. J. Golec-Biernat and M. Wusthoff
- RP: M. V. T. Machado
- STARLight: S. R. Klein

Backup Slides

Backup Slides

Fluctuating Gluons Probed via Incoherent γ+Au/Pb





t distribution from STAR: well described by LTA, but in between two scenarios of CGC with and without sub-nucleonic fluctuations

t distribution from ALCIE: slope is well describe by CGC with sub-nucleonic fluctuations however, missed by a common scaling factor

CGC: PRD 109 (2024) 7, L071504
ALICE: PRL 132, 162302 (2024)
STAR: PRC 110 014911 (2024)

Photon Flux: **Point-like** vs. **Realistic**

CPC 277 (2022) 108388



Figure 4: (Color online) Photon fluxes coming from a nucleus $N_{\gamma A}$ in the point-like source approximation and the realistic description as functions of impact parameter b_γ calculated at different photon energies: 100 MeV (a), 100 GeV (b).

QED Dimuon with Neutron Tagging at CMS

PRL 127 (2021) 122001

$$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$$



$$\alpha = 1 - \frac{|\phi^+ - \phi^-|}{\pi}, \alpha \propto p_T^{l^+l^-}$$



First direct evidence of b-dependent initial photon p_T, set strong base line for observe QGP EM effects in heavy ion collisions