

Collinear gluon field:

The relevant two-point function to consider is:

$$\langle 0 | T \{ A_c^{\mu a}(x) A_c^{\nu b}(0) \} | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \frac{i \delta_{ab}}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + (1-\xi) \frac{p^\mu p^\nu}{p^2} \right]$$

λ^4 λ^{-2} 1 λ^2

general cov. gauge
 ↙
 various scalings ↑

It would be incorrect to work in Feynman gauge ($\xi=1$) and conclude that $A_a^\mu \sim \lambda$. Rather, we need to decompose:

$$A_c^\mu = n \cdot A_c \frac{\bar{n}^\mu}{2} + \bar{n} \cdot A_c \frac{n^\mu}{2} + A_{c,\perp}^\mu$$

It follows from above that:

$$\langle 0 | T \{ n \cdot A_c(x) n \cdot A_c(0) \} | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \frac{i \delta_{ab}}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \left[0 + (1-\xi) \frac{(n \cdot p)^2}{p^2} \right]$$

λ^4 λ^{-2} $\frac{\lambda^4}{\lambda^2}$

$\sim \lambda^4$

$$\langle 0 | T \{ \bar{n} \cdot A_c(x) \bar{n} \cdot A_c(0) \} | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \frac{i \delta_{ab}}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \left[0 + (1-\xi) \frac{(\bar{n} \cdot p)^2}{p^2} \right]$$

λ^4 λ^{-2} $\frac{\lambda^0}{\lambda^2}$

$\sim \lambda^0$

$$\langle 0 | T \{ A_{c,\perp}^{\mu a}(x) A_{c,\perp}^{\nu b}(0) \} | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \frac{i \delta_{ab}}{p^2 + i\epsilon} \left[-g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} + (1-\xi) \frac{p_\perp^\mu p_\perp^\nu}{p^2} \right]$$

λ^4 λ^{-2} 1 $\frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^2}$

$\sim \lambda^2$

↙ same ↘

⇒

$$n \cdot A_c \sim \lambda^2, \quad \bar{n} \cdot A_c \sim 1, \quad A_{c,\perp}^\mu \sim \lambda$$

This also works for the mixed terms, e.g.:

$$\langle 0 | T \{ \underbrace{n \cdot A_c(x)}_{\sim \lambda^2} \underbrace{\bar{n} \cdot A_c(0)}_{\lambda^4} \} | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \underbrace{\frac{i \delta_{ab}}{p^2 + i\epsilon}}_{\lambda^{-2}}$$

etc.

$$\times \left[\underbrace{-n \cdot \bar{n}}_1 + (1-\xi) \frac{n \cdot p \bar{n} \cdot p}{p^2} \right]$$

$\frac{\lambda^2 \cdot 1}{\lambda^2}$

We observe that the different components of the collinear gluon field scale like the components of a collinear momentum, such that the covariant collinear derivative

$$i D_c^\mu = i \partial^\mu + g_s A_c^{\mu a} t^a \sim (\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$$

has homogeneous power counting in λ !

Important note:

The presence of a field with unsuppressed power counting, $\bar{n} \cdot A_c \sim 1$, is worrisome, since this will lead to an infinite number of operators with the same power counting in the EFT. We will see the implications of this observation later.

Ultra-soft quark field:

We have:

$$\langle 0 | T \{ q_{us}(x) \bar{q}_{us}(0) \} | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik \cdot x} \frac{i k}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \sim \lambda^6$$

λ^8 1 $\frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^4}$

This gives:

$$q_{us} \sim \lambda^3$$

There are no large or small components in this case.

Ultra-soft gluon fields:

We find:

$$\langle 0 | T \{ A_{us}^{\mu a}(x), A_{us}^{\nu b}(0) \} | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik \cdot x} \frac{i \delta_{ab}}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + (1-\xi) \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2} \right]$$

λ^8 λ^{-4} 1 λ^0

$\sim \lambda^4$

This gives:

$$A_{us}^{\mu a} \sim \lambda^2$$

In the following we will derive the effective Lagrangian of collinear and ultra-soft fields, focussing on the Dirac Lagrangian. The Yang-Mills Lagrangian can be discussed in an analogous way.

Introducing the decompositions

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi_c + q_{us} = \xi_n + \eta_n + q_{us}$$

$$A^\mu \rightarrow A_c^\mu + A_{us}^\mu$$

in the Dirac Lagrangian, we obtain:

$$\bar{\psi} i \not{D} \psi \rightarrow (\bar{\xi}_n + \bar{\eta}_n + \bar{q}_{us}) i \not{D}_{c+us} (\xi_n + \eta_n + q_{us})$$

where:

$$i \not{D}_{c+us} = (i \not{n} \cdot D_c + g_s \not{n} \cdot A_{us}) \frac{\not{1}}{2} + (i \not{\bar{n}} \cdot D_c + g_s \not{\bar{n}} \cdot A_{us}) \frac{\not{1}}{2} + i \not{D}_c^\perp + g_s \not{A}_{us}^\perp$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4): \quad & \bar{\xi}_n \frac{\not{1}}{2} (i \not{n} \cdot D_c + g_s \not{n} \cdot A_{us}) \xi_n + \bar{\eta}_n \frac{\not{1}}{2} i \not{\bar{n}} \cdot D_c \eta_n \\ & + \bar{\xi}_n i \not{D}_c^\perp \eta_n + \bar{\eta}_n i \not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\lambda^5): \quad \bar{\xi}_n g_s \not{A}_{us}^\perp \eta_n + \bar{q}_{us} g_s \not{A}_c^\perp \xi_n + \bar{q}_{us} \frac{\not{1}}{2} g_s \not{\bar{n}} \cdot A_c \eta_n + \text{h.c.}$$

In the $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^5)$ Lagrangian we have used that terms involving only a single collinear field are not allowed by momentum conservation. Note that all terms of $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^5)$ and higher contain at least one ultra-soft field.

From now on we focus on the leading-order SCET Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{SCET}} = \mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_{us} + \mathcal{L}_{c+us}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_c = & \bar{\xi}_n \frac{\not{V}}{2} i n \cdot \mathcal{D}_c \xi_n + \bar{\eta}_n \frac{\not{V}}{2} i \bar{n} \cdot \mathcal{D}_c \eta_n \\ & + \bar{\xi}_n i \not{D}_c^\perp \eta_n + \bar{\eta}_n i \not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n + (\text{pure glue terms}) \sim \lambda^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{c+us} = \bar{\xi}_n \frac{\not{V}}{2} g_s n \cdot A_{us} \xi_n + (\text{pure glue terms}) \sim \lambda^4$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{us} = \bar{q}_{us} i \not{D}_{us} q_{us} + (\text{pure glue terms}) \sim \lambda^8$$

The leading-order action is:

$$S_{\text{SCET}} = \underbrace{\int d^4x \mathcal{L}_c}_{\lambda^{-4}} + \underbrace{\int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{c+us}}_{\lambda^4} + \underbrace{\int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{us}}_{\lambda^{-8} \lambda^8} \sim \lambda^0$$

Since the field ξ_n contains the large components of the collinear spinor field, we can use it to describe collinear quarks and integrate out the power-suppressed field η_n in the generating functional.

The functional determinant is just an irrelevant (divergent) constant. The resulting Lagrangian is obtained by using

the solution of the classical equation of motion:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_c}{\delta \bar{\eta}_n} = 0 \Rightarrow \underbrace{\frac{\kappa}{2}}_1 i \bar{n} \cdot \mathcal{D}_c \underbrace{\eta_n}_{\lambda^3} + i \underbrace{\not{D}_c^\perp}_{\lambda} \underbrace{\xi_n}_{\lambda^2} = 0$$

To solve this equation for η_n we introduce an auxiliary regulator $i\delta$ to obtain:

$$\underbrace{\frac{\kappa \kappa}{4}}_{\text{replace by: } P_{\bar{n}} + P_n = 1} (i \bar{n} \cdot \mathcal{D}_c + i\delta) \eta_n = - \frac{\kappa}{2} i \not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n$$

replace by: $P_{\bar{n}} + P_n = 1$
 $\uparrow$ vanishes when acting on η_n

$$\Rightarrow \eta_n = - \frac{1}{\underbrace{i \bar{n} \cdot \mathcal{D}_c + i\delta}_{O(\lambda^2)}} \frac{\kappa}{2} i \not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n$$

$\uparrow$ arbitrary sign, since pole is unphysical

Inserting the above solution into our expression for $\mathcal{L}_c$, we find:

$$\mathcal{L}_c = \bar{\xi}_n \frac{\kappa}{2} i n \cdot \mathcal{D}_c \xi_n - \bar{\xi}_n i \not{D}_c^\perp \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{1}{i \bar{n} \cdot \mathcal{D}_c + i\delta} i \not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n + (\text{pure glue terms})$$

The inverse of a derivative is an integral, but what is the inverse of a covariant derivative?

To define the above expression properly, we introduce the collinear Wilson line:

$$W_c(x) = \mathbb{P} \exp \left(i g_s \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \, \bar{n} \cdot A_c(x + t\bar{n}) \right)$$

large component
 $\bar{n} \cdot A_c \sim \lambda^0$

light-like direction
 $\bar{n}^\mu$

This object satisfies the identity:

$$[i \bar{n} \cdot D_c W_c(x)] = 0$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} i \bar{n} \cdot \partial_x W_c(x) &= i g_s \left[i \bar{n} \cdot \partial_x \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \, \bar{n} \cdot A_c(x + t\bar{n}) \right] W_c(x) \\ &= -g_s \left[\bar{n} \cdot \partial_x \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\bar{n} \cdot x}{2}} dt' \, \bar{n} \cdot A_c \left(\frac{\bar{n} \cdot x}{2} n + x_\perp + t' \bar{n} \right) \right] W_c(x) \\ &= -g_s \bar{n} \cdot A_c(x) W_c(x) \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that:

$$\Rightarrow i \bar{n} \cdot D_c W_c(x) \phi(x) = W_c(x) i \bar{n} \cdot \partial \phi(x)$$

↑
arb. function

As a differential operator, this implies:

$$W_c^\dagger(x) i \bar{n} \cdot D_c W_c(x) = i \bar{n} \cdot \partial$$

This in turn yields:

$$\frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot D_c + i\delta} = W_c \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial + i\delta} W_c^\dagger$$

↳ proof: apply $W_c^\dagger i\bar{n} \cdot D_c \dots W_c$ on both sides

The second term in the Lagrangian can now be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\xi}_n i\not{D}_c^\perp \frac{\not{n}}{2} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot D_c + i\delta} i\not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n(x) \\ &= \bar{\xi}_n i\not{D}_c^\perp W_c \frac{\not{n}}{2} \frac{1}{i\bar{n} \cdot \partial + i\delta} W_c^\dagger i\not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n(x) \\ &= (\bar{\xi}_n i\not{D}_c^\perp W_c)(x) \frac{\not{n}}{2} (-i) \int_{-\infty}^0 dt (W_c^\dagger i\not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n)(x+t\bar{n}) \end{aligned}$$

↳ check:

$$i\bar{n} \cdot \partial_x (-i) \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \phi(x+t\bar{n}) = \bar{n} \cdot \partial_x \int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\bar{n} \cdot x}{2}} dt' \phi\left(\frac{\bar{n} \cdot x}{2} \bar{n} + x_\perp + t'\bar{n}\right) = \phi(x)$$

Note that the lower integration limit " $-\infty$ " is appropriate for our choice of the " $i\delta$ " regulator. If the collinear fields $(W_c^\dagger i\not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n)(x+t\bar{n})$ carry total momentum p_c , the t -integral gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & (-i) \int_{-\infty}^0 dt e^{-i p_c \cdot (x+t\bar{n})} \\ &= (-i) e^{-i p_c \cdot x} \int_{-\infty}^0 dt e^{-i (\bar{n} \cdot p_c + i\delta) t} = \frac{e^{-i p_c \cdot x}}{\bar{n} \cdot p_c + i\delta} \end{aligned}$$

regulator to ensure convergence at $t \rightarrow -\infty$

This indeed corresponds to the action of the inverse differential operator:

$$\frac{1}{i \bar{n} \cdot \partial + i\delta} e^{-i p_c \cdot x}$$

This leads to the final form of the leading-order SCET Lagrangian:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_c(x) = & \bar{\xi}_n \frac{\not{n}}{2} i n \cdot \mathcal{D}_c \xi_n(x) \\ & + (\bar{\xi}_n i \not{D}_c^\perp W_c)(x) \frac{\not{n}}{2} i \int_{-\infty}^0 dt (W_c^\dagger i \not{D}_c^\perp \xi_n)(x + t \bar{n}) \\ & + (\text{pure glue terms}) \end{aligned}$$

non-local !

Important comment:

If we had chosen a regulator $-i\delta$ on page 6, we would have obtained an alternative result with:

$$i \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \rightarrow -i \int_0^{\infty} dt$$

In most applications both forms give equivalent result, but in some cases it is important to be more careful. In general, one should use:

- initial-state collinear particle: $i \int_{-\infty}^0 dt$
- final-state collinear particle: $-i \int_0^{\infty} dt$