# Neutrino – Nucleus Scattering Physics with nuSTORM

#### What can a dedicated nuSTORM neutrinonucleus scattering physics program deliver?

Jorge G. Morfín

NuFact 2013 IHEP-Beijing August 2013

## What is nuSTORM?

Neutrinos from Stored Muons – Alan Bross Presentation on Saturday

- High-Precision v interaction physics program.
- $v_e$  and  $\overline{v}_e$  cross-section measurements.
- Address the large Δm<sup>2</sup> oscillation regime, make a major contribution to the study of sterile neutrinos.
- Either allow for precision study (in many channels), if they exist in this regime.
- ▼ Or greatly expand the dis-allowed region.



- Provide a technology test demonstration ( μ decay ring) and μ beam diagnostics test bed.
- Provide a precisely understood v beam for detector studies.

## Change the conception of the neutrino factory.

The nuSTORM Neutrino Beam

$$\mu^+ \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{\mu} + \nu_e + e^+ \qquad \mu^- \rightarrow \nu_{\mu} + \overline{\nu}_e + e^-$$

- nuSTORM will provide a very well-known (δ φ(E) ≤ 1%) beam of ν and ν.
- nuSTORM will provide a high-intensity source of v<sub>e</sub> events!



$\mu^+$		μ	
Channel	$N_{ m evts}$	Channel	$N_{ m evts}$
$\bar{ u}_{\mu}  { m NC}$	844,793	$\bar{\nu}_e ~\mathrm{NC}$	709,576
$\nu_e  { m NC}$	1,387,698	$ u_{\mu} \; { m NC}$	$1,\!584,\!003$
$ar{ u}_{\mu}~{ m CC}$	$2,\!145,\!632$	$\bar{\nu}_e~\mathrm{CC}$	1,784,099
$\nu_e   { m CC}$	$3,\!960,\!421$	$ u_\mu \ { m CC}$	$4,\!626,\!480$

event rates per 1E21 POT -100 tons at 50m

Jorge G. Morfín - Fermilab

## Practicality of nuSTORM Neutrino Spectrum



## $v_e$ Event Fractions in a vSTORM Near Detector

•  $v_e$  produced by 3.8 GeV  $\mu^+$  beam.





\* 56% resonant \* 32% QE \* 12% DIS

For  $\overline{\nu}_{e}$  sample, 52% resonant, 40% QE, 8% DIS)

## v-Nucleus Interaction Physics with nuSTORM A partial sampling

- $v_e$  and  $\overline{v}_e$  cross-section measurements
  - ▼ A UNIQUE contribution from nuSTORM
  - Essentially no existing data
- $\pi^0$  production in v interactions
  - **v** Coherent and quasi-exclusive single  $\pi^0$  production
- Charged  $\pi$  & K production
  - ▼ Coherent and quasi-exclusive single  $\pi^+$  production
- Multi-nucleon final states
- v-e scattering
- v-Nucleon neutral current scattering
  - Measurement of NC to CC ratio
- Charged and neutral current processes
  - Measurement of  $v_e$  induced resonance production
- Nuclear effects
- Semi-exclusive & exclusive processes
  - Measurement of  $K_s^0$ ,  $\Lambda \& \Lambda$ -bar production
- New physics & exotic processes
  - Test of  $v_{\mu} v_{e}$  universality
  - Heavy v
  - eV-scale pseudo-scalar penetrating particles

Combined with the right detector, opportunity for detailed studies of the hadronic vertex.

## Why is Neutrino Nucleus Scattering Important?

What do we observe in our (neutrino oscillation) experiment detectors?

- The events we observe in our detectors are convolutions of:  $Y_{c-like}(E) \alpha \quad \phi(E' \ge E) \bigotimes \sigma_{c,d,e..}(E' \ge E) \bigotimes Nuc_{c,d,e.. \rightarrow c}(E' \ge E)$
- φ(E) is the energy dependent neutrino flux that enters the detector. Currently, with traditional meson-decay-source neutrino beams, φ(E) ≈10% absolute and ≈ 7% energy bin-to-bin accuracy. Significant contribution to systematics.
- σ<sub>c,d,e.</sub>(E' ≥ E) is the measured or the Monte Carlo (model) energy dependent
   neutrino cross section off a nucleon within a nucleus.
- $\operatorname{Nuc}_{c,d,e.. \rightarrow c} (E' \geq E) \operatorname{Nuclear Effects}$ 
  - Nuclear Effects a migration matrix that mixes produced/observed channels and energy
  - In general the interaction of a neutrino with energy E' creating initial channel d,e...
     can appear in our detector as energy E and channel c.
  - Particularly **fierce bias** when using the **QE hypothesis** to calculate E and Q<sup>2</sup>!
- $Y_{c-like}(E)$  is the event energy and channel / topology of the event observed in the detector. Appears to be channel c but may not have been channel c at interaction.

## What are these Nuclear Effects Nuc<sub>c,d,e..→c</sub> (E' ≥ E) in Neutrino Nucleus Interactions? (Partial List) A Migration Matrix

- Target nucleon in motion classical Fermi gas model or the superior spectral functions (Benhar et al.)
- Multi-nucleon initial states: Short-range correlations, meson exchange currents.
- Form factors, structure functions, resonance widths, parton distribution functions and, consequently, cross sections are modified within the nuclear environment. (Butkevich / Kulagin, Tsushima et al., Kovarik et al.)
- Produced topologies are modified by final-state interactions modifying topologies and possibly reducing **detected** energy and **increasing** wrong-sign background.
   Convolution of δσ(nπ) (x) formation zone uncertainties (x) π-charge-exchange/ absorption probabilities and nuclear density uncertainties.
- Systematics associated with each of these effects.
- Event Generators like GENIE try to include all these effects.

## How well off are we with $v_{\mu}$ Cross sections: Range of Existing Model (MC) Predictions off C

NuInt09 – Steve Dytman



## **Example Model Uncertainties**

#### Cross Section Model Uncertainties

Uncertainty	1σ
M <sub>A</sub> (Elastic Scattering)	± 25%
Eta (Elastic scattering)	± 30%
MA (CCQE Scattering)	+25%
	-15%
CCQE Normalization	+20%
	-15%
CCQE Vector Form factor model	on/off
CC Resonance Normalization	± 20%
M <sub>A</sub> (Resonance Production)	$\pm 20\%$
M <sub>V</sub> (Resonance Production)	$\pm 10\%$
1pl production from $vp / \overline{v}n$ non- resonant interactions	± 50%
1pi production from $vn/\overline{v}p$ non-	± 50%
Pei preduction term tra ( Ter pen	
resonant Interactions	± 50%
2pi production from $vn/\overline{v}p$ non-	$\pm 50\%$
resonant Interactions	
Modfly Pauli blocking (CCQE) at low Q <sup>2</sup> (change PB momentum threshold)	± 30%

#### Intranuclear Rescattering Uncertainties

Uncertainty	1σ
Plon mean free path	± 20%
Nucleon mean free path	± 20%
Pion fates – absorption	± 30%
Pion fates – charge exchange	± 50%
Pion fates - Elastic	$\pm 10\%$
Pion fates - Inelastic	± 40%
Plon fates – plon production	± 20%
Nucleon fates – charge exchange	± 50%
Nucleon fates - Elastic	± 30%
Nucleon fates - Inelastic	± 40%
Nucleon fates - absorption	± 20%
Nucleon fates – plon production	± 20%
AGKY hadronization model - x <sub>E</sub> distribution	± 20%
Delta decay angular distribution	On/off
Resonance decay branching ratio to photon	± 50%

Hugh Gallagher

References: (1) www.genie-mc.org, (2) arXiv:0806.2119, (3) D. Bhattacharya, Ph. D Thesis (U. Pittsburgh) 2009.

What do we observe in our detectors? Further implications for Oscillation Experiments

• The events we observe in our detectors are convolutions of:  $Y_{c-like}(E) \alpha \phi(E' \ge E) \otimes \sigma_{c,d,e..}(E' \ge E) \otimes Nuc_{c,d,e.. \rightarrow c}(E' \ge E)$ 

- Experimentally, the convolution of initial cross section and nuclear effects are combined into an effective cross section σ<sub>c</sub><sup>A</sup>(E) that depends on incoming neutrino energy spectrum and nuclear effects that populate the yield Y<sub>c</sub><sup>A</sup>(E).
- In a two-detector LBL oscillation experiment, neutrino flux entering the FD is different than the neutrino flux at the ND due to geometry and oscillations. The  $\sigma_c^A(E)$  effective that should be applied to expectations (Monte Carlo) at FD is NOT the same as that which we would measure at the ND.
- What would be ideal is a measurement of the nuclear effects migration matrix. Since we can't isolate that from cross section and flux, the next best measurement would be a measurement of the effective σ<sub>c</sub><sup>A</sup>(E) for different well-measured incoming neutrino spectra.

effective  $\sigma_c^A(E)$ 

## How well off are we with $v_{\mu}$ Cross sections: Ratios: Prediction/MiniBooNE Data – NuInt12

NuInt12 – Phil Rodrigues

1.6 1.6 Prediction/Data Prediction/Data  $CC1\pi^+$ CC1π<sup>0</sup> 1 1.4 1.2 .2 Athar et al. 1.0 1.0  $E_{v}$ Nieves *et al.* 0.8 0.8 GiBUU 0.6 0.6 0.4<sup>[</sup> 0.4<sup>L</sup> 1.0 2.0 1.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 E<sub>v</sub> (GeV) E<sub>v</sub> (GeV) 1.6 1 .6 Prediction/Data Prediction/Data  $CC1\pi^+$ CC1π<sup>0</sup> NuWro 1.4 1.4 GENIE 1.2 1.2 .....  $Q^2$ 1.0 --- NEUT 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 + MB data 0.4 0.4 2.0 0.5 1.5 1.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 Prediction/Data 1.1 1.2 1.0 1.0  $Q^2$  (GeV<sup>2</sup>) 1.6 Prediction/Data  $CC1\pi^0$  $CC1\pi^+$ 1.4 1.2 1.0  $T_{\mu}$ 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4<sup>L</sup> 0.4L 0.5 1.0 0.5 1.0 1.5  $T_{\mu}$  (GeV) T<sub>...</sub> (GeV)

Jorge G. Morfin - Fermilab

12

Nuclear Effects can Change the Energy Reconstruction for "QE" Events

In pure QE scattering on a nucleon at rest, the outgoing lepton can determine the neutrino energy:



#### However, not on nuclei.

Reconstructed energy is shifted to lower values for all processes other than true QE off nucleon at rest



U. Mosel GiBUU

Jorge G. Morfín - Fermilab

## Detailed Study by P. Coloma and P. Huber arXiv 1307.1243

- Disappearance experiment using CC QE-like signal events. T2K 5 years; 850 QE
- QE-like includes pion absorption and scattering off nucleon pairs. 1300 QE-like
- $E_v$  is reconstructed from the observed muon which gives a lower  $E_v$  for non-QE.
- Give a quantitative estimate of this problem using:  $N_i^{\text{test}}(\alpha) = \alpha \times N_i^{QE} + (1 \alpha) \times N_i^{QE-like}$
- $\alpha = 1$  implies completely ignore nuclear effects while  $\alpha = 0$  implies you know/ model the nuclear effects completely.
- The importance of a near detector to help normalize the signal is obvious. However have not yet included different near and far incoming neutrino spectra.
- Even with ND,  $\alpha = 0.3 \rightarrow 1 \sigma$  bias in parameters! Need accurate nuclear model!



14

# Advantage Number One of nuSTORM

The nuSTORM beam will provide a

very well-known ( $\delta \phi(E) \approx 1\%$ ) beam of v and  $\overline{v}$ .

• The events we observe in our detectors are convolutions of:  $Y_{c-like}(E) \alpha \left( \phi(E' \ge E) \otimes \sigma_{c,d,e..}(E' \ge E) \otimes Nuc_{c,d,e.. \rightarrow c}(E' \ge E) \right)$ 

- Y<sub>c-like</sub> (E) is the event energy and channel / topology of the event observed in the detector. The errors on the three components create a nasty, oozy morass!
- nuSTORM takes one of these convoluted components  $\phi(E' \ge E)$ essentially out of the equation: a very well-known ( $\delta \phi(E) < 1\%$ ) beam of  $\nu$  and  $\overline{\nu}$ .
- With a variable incoming ν spectrum, nuSTORM can get a first measurement of the energy dependence of:
   σ<sub>c</sub><sup>A</sup>(E) = σ<sub>c d e</sub> (E' ≥ E) (X) Nuc<sub>c d e</sub> → c (E' ≥ E)
- Combine with a high-resolution near detector with multiple nuclear targets to provide detailed studies of the final states including the vertex multiplicities and energy flow..

## nuSTORM Near Detectors



 $\Rightarrow$  HiResMNu idea being developed within the LBNE collaboration

#### ◆ A 1-2 ton fiducial liquid hydrogen/deuterium track sensitive target upstream of HiRes for normalization. This could be a bubble chamber.



 $\label{eq:rho} \begin{array}{l} \bullet \circ \rho \simeq 0.1 gm/cm^3 \\ \bullet \circ \text{Space point position} \simeq 200 \mu \\ \bullet \circ \text{Time resolution} \simeq 1 \text{ ns} \end{array}$ 

- CC-Events Vertex:  $\Delta(X,Y,Z) \simeq O(100\mu)$ • Energy in Downstream-ECAL  $\simeq 6\%/\sqrt{E}$ •  $\mu$ -Angle resolution (~5 GeV)  $\simeq O(1 \text{ mrad})$ 
  - μ-Energy resolution (~3 GeV) ~ 3.5%
     e-Energy resolution (~3 GeV) ~ 3.5%



#### **Transitivity Calculations:**

•We have used LBNE Flux: Flux from  $\mu \ge v_e v_{\mu}$  will be cleaner/simpler

Parametrized calculation

Repeat with NOMAD configuration and checked against the Data and Geant-MC (Agree within 15%)

# Scattering Measurements with nuSTORM + Near Detector nuSTORM provides a well-known ( $\delta \phi(E) \approx 1\%$ ) beam of v and $\overline{v}$ .

Ed Santos – Imperial College

 $HIRESM_{V}$  – systematics



Jorge G. Morfin - Fermilab

Advantage Number 2 of nuSTORM How well do we know cross sections:  $v_e vs. v_{\mu}$ ? Existing  $v_e$  Cross Section Data

- What do we know about  $\sigma_{ve}(E)$ ? Mostly very low energy results.
  - Reactor neutrinos studying Inverse Beta Decay
  - ▼ Solar neutrino off deuterium (SNO)
  - Stopping  $\pi/\mu$  decay neutrinos off higher A targets
  - ▼ See Formaggio and Zeller **Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 1307–1341 (2012).**
- One of few measurements of spectral shape of  $\sigma$  reflects the upper limit of most existing measurements,  $E \le 50$  MeV.





Jorge G. Morfin - Fermilab

19

 $v_e^{12}C \rightarrow e^{-12}N_{q.s.}$ 

## Where Are We with High-energy $v_e$ Cross Sections?

• **NOWHERE!** Need to measure the  $\sigma_{ve}(E)$  of multiple channels to fully predict a spectrum at a far detector for LBL experiments.



We infer them from σ<sub>νμ</sub>(E) results. The validity of this inference directly impacts the uncertainty of the measurements.

Jorge G. Morfín - Fermilab

#### What are the Differences $\sigma_{\nu\mu}(E)$ and $\sigma_{\nu e}(E)$ ? Quasi-elastic Scattering Day-McFarland study: Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 053003

- QE scattering dominates at low energies (2<sup>nd</sup> oscillation maxima)
- Sources of possible differences and uncertainties obvious:
  - Kinematic limits from  $\mu$  / e mass difference.





21

What are the Differences? Δ Production Paschos – Schalla: arXiv:1209.4219

 Paschos-Schalla predicts the following differences in cross sections where only the lepton mass term contributions are shown and any differences in form factors are not yet included.



• We need to measure these  $v_e$  cross sections. **nuSTORM sould do it.** 

#### Differences between $\nu_e$ or $\nu_\mu$ Meson-exchange Current Contributions – Marco Martini

- Hadronic part (nuclear response functions) is the same for  $v_e$  or  $v_\mu$  cross section.
- However, the lepton tensor changes  $\rightarrow$  the relative weight of the nuclear responses in the several channels may change.
- The double ratio suggests the effect on the  $v_e/v_u$  cross section ratio is  $\leq 5\%$
- nuSTORM could measure this difference  $v_e v_s$ .  $v_{\mu}$ .



What could a nuSTORM Scattering analysis add? Provide significant input to knowledge of electro-weak physics.

- Use the unique qualities of the nuSTORM beam meaning the flux of  $v_e$  and the fantastic knowledge of absolute and relative flux.
- Need an experiment that has a track sensitive H and D target (bubble chamber) upstream of a high-resolution near detector with multiple nuclear targets to provide detailed studies of the final states including the vertex (multiplicities and energy flow.
- However, this is not the same nuSTORM approved by the Fermilab PAC. This requires a high-resolution near detector and, preferably, a H/D Bubble Chamber.
- Now forming an independent nuSTORM neutrino interaction collaboration for the nuSTORM <u>facility</u>!

## BACKUP

## High Resolution Near Detector

- NOMAD-like resolution in HiRes detector allows to:
  - Measure absolute flux using
    - $\nu$  e elastic scattering –
  - ▼ Measure quasi-elastic scattering
  - NC vs CC events (NOMAD with 90% purity)
  - Coherent  $\pi^0$
  - Comparison  $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm W}$  from DIS and  $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
  - ▼ 77 different physics topics!



#### A $\bar{\nu}_e$ CC candidate in NOMAD



x12 higher sampling in HiResMnu
 x4π 12 calorimetric and μ converage

## *v-e* NC elastic scattering

$$\sigma(\nu_{l}e \rightarrow \nu_{l}e) = \frac{G_{\mu}^{2}m_{e}E_{\nu}}{2\pi} \left[ 1 - 4\sin^{2}\theta_{W} + \frac{16}{3}\sin^{4}\theta_{W} \right] \sim 10^{-42} (E_{\nu}/\text{ GeV}) cm^{2}$$

$$\sigma(\bar{\nu}_{l}e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{l}e) = \frac{G_{\mu}^{2}m_{e}E_{\nu}}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{3}\sin^{2}\theta_{W} + \frac{16}{3}\sin^{4}\theta_{W} \right] \sim 10^{-42} (E_{\nu}/\text{ GeV}) cm^{2}$$

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{10^{-4}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{10^{-$$

Jorge G. Morfín - Fermilab

## **Pion Production Challenges**

• State of the art calculations describe better the data without FSI



Jorge G. Morfin - Fermilab

## Nuclear Effects and Oscillation Measurements

#### Ulrich Mosel using his Giessen Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck (GiBUU) Transport Model looking at T2K



Jorge G. Morfin - Fermilab

#### What are the Differences $\sigma_{\nu\mu}(E)$ and $\sigma_{\nu e}(E)$ ? Quasi-elastic Scattering Day-McFarland study: Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 053003

- Sources of possible differences: form factor uncertainties entering through lepton mass alterations - much more subtle:
  - ▼ Form factor contributions both Axial and Pseudoscalar
  - ▼ Second class current contributions to vector and axial-vector form factors
- Possible contribution to CP uncertainties: effect on the FF could be different for v and  $\overline{v}$



### What are the Differences? △ Production Paschos – Schalla: arXiv:1209.4219

- Manny and his student have investigated  $v_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{v_{\mu}}$  differences in  $\Delta$  production in the low-Q ( $Q^2 \approx m_{\pi}^2$ ) region where PCAC dominates the axial contribution.
- At E = 1-2 GeV, V part and V/A interference same size  $\rightarrow$  cancel for  $\overline{v}$
- Use the Adler-Nussinov-Paschos model for nuclear corrections.

