# **ICARUS Status and Plans**



P. Sala INFN Milano For the ICARUS Collaboration





#### The ICARUS Collaboration

M. Antonello<sup>a</sup>, B. Baibussinov<sup>b</sup>, P. Benetti<sup>c</sup>, F. Boffelli<sup>c</sup>, A. Bubak<sup>k</sup>, E. Calligarich<sup>c</sup>, N. Canci<sup>a</sup>, S. Centro<sup>b</sup>, A. Cesana<sup>d</sup>, K. Cieslik<sup>e</sup>, D. B. Cline<sup>f</sup>, A.G. Cocco<sup>g</sup>,
A. Dabrowska<sup>e</sup>, D. Dequal<sup>b</sup>, A. Dermenev<sup>h</sup>, R. Dolfini<sup>c</sup>, A. Falcone<sup>c</sup>, C. Farnese<sup>b</sup>, A. Fava<sup>b</sup>, A. Ferrari<sup>i</sup>, G. Fiorillo<sup>g</sup>, D. Gibin<sup>b</sup>, S. Gninenko<sup>h</sup>, A. Guglielmi<sup>b</sup>,
M. Haranczyk<sup>e</sup>, J. Holeczek<sup>k</sup>, M. Kirsanov<sup>h</sup>, J. Kisiel<sup>k</sup>, I. Kochanek<sup>k</sup>, J. Lagoda<sup>j</sup>, S. Mania<sup>k</sup>, A. Menegolli<sup>c</sup>, G. Meng<sup>b</sup>, C. Montanari<sup>c</sup>, S. Otwinowski<sup>f</sup>, P. Picchi<sup>l</sup>,
F. Pietropaolo<sup>b</sup>, P. Plonski<sup>n</sup>, A. Rappoldi<sup>c</sup>, G.L. Raselli<sup>c</sup>, M. Rossella<sup>c</sup>, C. Rubbia<sup>a,i,m</sup>,
P. Sala<sup>d</sup>, A. Scaramelli<sup>d</sup>, E. Segreto<sup>a</sup>, F. Sergiampietri<sup>o</sup>, D. Stefan<sup>a</sup>, R. Sulej<sup>j,i</sup>, M. Szarska<sup>e</sup>, M. Terrani<sup>d</sup>, M. Torti<sup>c</sup>, F. Varanini<sup>b</sup>, S. Ventura<sup>b</sup>, C. Vignoli<sup>a</sup>, H. Wang<sup>f</sup>, X. Yang<sup>f</sup>, A. Zalewska<sup>e</sup>, A. Zani<sup>c</sup>, K. Zaremba<sup>n</sup>.

a INFN Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso Assergi, Italy

- b Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Padova and INFN, Padova, Italy
- c Dipartimento di Fisica Nucleare e Teorica Università di Pavia and INFN, Pavia, Italy
- d Politecnico di Milano and INFN, Milano, Italy
- e Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Science, Krakow, Poland
- f Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Los Angeles, USA
- g Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche Università Federico II di Napoli and INFN, Napoli, Italy
- h INR RAS, Moscow, Russia
- i CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
- j National Centre for Nuclear Research, Otwock/Swierk, Poland
- k Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland
- I INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy
- m GSSI, Gran Sasso Science Institute, L'Aquila, Italy
- n Institute of Radioelectronics, Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland
- o INFN Pisa. Pisa, Italy

#### The ICARUS detector at LNGS Laboratory

- ICARUS T600 is the first large mass LAr-TPC (760 tons) operated underground (in Hall B of LNGS Laboratory).
- Exposed to CNGS  ${\rm v}$  beam, taking data also with cosmic rays to study the detector capability for atmospheric  ${\rm v}$  and proton decay search.

In operation since May 2010 ICARUS decommissioning started on



June 27<sup>th</sup>, cryo empty on July 25<sup>th</sup>



740 tons of LAr recovered

## Outline

- Plenty of high quality data from CNGS and cosmic rays allows for physics studies, for deep investigation of all the detector technical aspects and for development of advanced reconstruction algorithms
- In this talk, focus will be on
  - Validation of the muon momentum measurement with the Multiple Scattering technique
  - Search for  $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$  oscillations in the framework the "LSND/MiniBooNE" anomaly

#### ICARUS @ LNGS: the first LARGE LAr-TPC



- Two identical T300 modules (2 TPC chambers per module)
- □ LAr active mass 476 t:;
  - drift length = 1.5 m;
  - E<sub>drift</sub> = 0.5 kV/cm; v<sub>drift</sub> = 1.6 mm/µs



- **]** 3 readout wire planes at 0° ,  $\pm$ 60
  - ≈ 53000 wires, 3 mm pitch
  - 2 Induction planes, 1 Collection
- □ PMT for scintillation light (128 nm):
  - (20+54) PMTs
  - trigger and t<sub>0</sub>
- Total energy reconstruction of events from charge integration.
- Full sampling, homogeneous calorimeter; excellent accuracy for contained Nurevents.

## ICARUS CNGS RUN (Oct 2010 - Dec 2012)

- Exposed to CNGS v beam since 2010 October 1<sup>st</sup> up to 2012 December 3<sup>rd</sup>
- CNGS trigger: coincidence of PMT sum signals with beam extraction
- Total collected event statistics: 8.6 10<sup>19</sup> pot with a remarkable detector live-time > 93 %
- Excellent results on Lar purification
- LAr continuously filtered
- τ<sub>ele</sub> > 5ms (~60 ppt [O<sub>2</sub>]<sub>eq</sub>), maximum charge attenuation at 1.5 m: 17%.



Nufact 2013

#### Measurement of muon momentum via multiple scattering

- In the T600 and in future LAr TPCs, a method to measure the momentum of escaping  $\mu$  is needed in order to reconstruct  $v_{\mu}$  CC events
- Deflections due to Multiple Coulomb Scattering (MS) provide such a tool

The RMS of  $\theta$  depends on p and on the meas. error  $\sigma$ 

• Horizontal  $\mu$  stopping in the T600 are an excellent benchmark



- Calorimetric measurement is possible
- The energy range (0.5-4 GeV) is perfectly matched to those of future short and long baseline experiments
- A sample of 130 stopping muons from CNGS v interactions in the upstream rock has been selected and analyzed

Muon momentum reconstructed by calorimetric measurement for the stopping muon sample

 $\theta_{RMS} \div \frac{13.6MeV}{p} \sqrt{\frac{l}{X_{\circ}}} \oplus \frac{\sigma_{noise}}{l^{3/2}}$ 

#### Results



#### $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e}$ "anomalous" oscillations

#### See Carlo Giunti's talk on sterile $\boldsymbol{v}$

LSND has observed an excess of  $\bar{v}_e$  events in a  $\bar{v}_\mu$  beam, 87.9 ± 22.4 ± 6.0  $\Rightarrow$  3.8  $\sigma$ 





neutrino 162±47.8 events (3:4σ) but the energy distribution is marginally compatible with a two neutrino oscillation formalisms/ide: 9

#### A search for LSND effects

• The CNGS facility delivered an almost pure  $v_{\mu}$  beam peaked in 10-30 GeV energy range (beam associated  $v_e \sim 1\%$ ) at a distance L=732 km from target

Expected CNGS neutrino CC spectra at LNGS



Differences w.r.t. the LSND experiment:

 L/E<sub>v</sub> ≈ 1 m/MeV at LSND, but L/E<sub>v</sub> ≈ 36.5 m/MeV at CNGS
 LSND-like short distance oscillation signal averages to: sin<sup>2</sup>(1.27∆m<sup>2</sup><sub>new</sub> L /E) ≈ <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> and <P>v<sub>µ</sub>→v<sub>e</sub> ≈ <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> sin<sup>2</sup>(2θ<sub>new</sub>)

 When compared to other long baseline results (MINOS,T2K) ICARUS operates in a L/E, region in which contributions from standard neutrino oscillations are not yet too relevant..

#### **Event Selection**

- $v_e$  CC eventcandidates are selected visually
- Fiducial volume (for shower id.) : > 5 cm from TPC walls and 50 cm downstream
- Energy cut: < 30 GeV</p>

hightarrow pprox 50% reduction on beam  $v_e$ 

only 15% signal events rejected

- $v_{\mu}$  CC events identified by L > 250 cm primary track without had. int.
- The "Electron signature" requires:

A charged track from primary vertex, m.i.p. on 8 wires, subsequently building up into a shower; very dense sampling: every 0.02 X<sub>0</sub> !!!

Clearly separated (150 mrad) from other ionizing tracks near the vertex in at least one of 2 transverse views.

• Electron efficiency studied with events from a MC (FLUKA) reproducing in every detail the signals from wire planes:  $\eta = 0.74 \pm 0.05$  ( $\eta' = 0.65$ Nufter 0.006 for intrinsic  $v_e$  beam due to its harder spectrum).



#### Event rates

- First results published in Eur. Phys. J. C 73 (2013).
- New analysis presented here refers to 1995 v interactions (6.0 10<sup>19</sup> pot statistics).
- The expected number of v<sub>e</sub> events due to conventional sources in the energy range and fiducial volume are:

> 5.7 ± 0.8 events due to the estimated v<sub>e</sub> beam contamination;

- > 2.3  $\pm$  0.5 v<sub>e</sub> events due to the oscillations from sin<sup>2</sup>( $\theta_{13}$ ) = 0.0242  $\pm$  0.0026;
- > 1.3 ± 0.1 ν<sub>τ</sub> with τ → e events from the three neutrino mixing standard model predictions,

 $\blacktriangleright$  Giving a total of 9.3  $\pm$  0.9 expected events

- Taking into account the selection efficiency, the expected number of e- events from intrinsic  $v_e$  beam,  $\theta_{13} \sim 9^0$  and  $v_{\mu} v_{\tau}$  oscillations is then 6.4±0.9 (syst. only).
- The measurement error is dominated by statistics

#### Sources of systematic errors : 1

- v<sub>e</sub> component in the CNGS beam: from MC predictions on particle production and transport
- Normalization errors cancel out in the  $v_e / v_\mu$  ratio



Comparison of FLUKA predictions with NA49 data for primary  $\pi^{\pm}$  (on C) and K<sup>\pm</sup> production (on free proton).

~5% extimated uncertainty on particle production mostly based on NA49 angle integrated data at 158 GeV (3.8% exp. systematics), assuming the  $X_F$  scaling between reality and MC is the same within few %.

Conservative estimate on  $v_e / v_\mu$ : 10%

Work in progress for next analyses

#### Sources of systematic errors : 2

Effect of 30 GeV energy cut on background estimate:



#### e/ $\gamma$ separation and $\pi^0$ reconstruction in ICARUS



Unique feature of LAr to distinguish e from  $\gamma$  and reconstruct  $\pi^0$   $\Rightarrow$  Estimated bkg. from  $\pi^0$  in NC and  $\nu_{\mu}$  CC : negligible (from MC and scanning) Nufact 2013 Slide: 15

#### $v_e$ events



In the sample of 1995 v events, 4 were identified as  $v_e CC$ The expected backgr. being 6.4±0.9

- (1)  $E_{tot} = 11.5 \pm 1.8 \text{ GeV},$   $p_t = 1.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ GeV/c}$ (2)  $E_{tot} vis = 17 \text{ GeV},$  $p_t = 1.3 \pm 0.18 \text{ GeV/c}$
- (3)  $E_{tot} = 27 \pm 2.0 \text{ GeV},$   $p_t = 3.5 \pm 0.8 \text{ GeV/c}$ (4)  $E_{tot} = 14 \pm 1 \text{ GeV},$  $p_t = 1.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ GeV/c}$

In all events: single electron shower opposite to had. component in the transverse plane

Nufact 2013

#### Event n. 3



- Experimental pictures of the third event with a clear electron signature
- The evolution of the actual dE/dx from a single track to an e.m. shower for the electron shower is shown along the individual wires.
- The event has a total energy of ~27 GeV and an electron of 6.3  $\pm$  1.5 GeV with a transverse momentum of 3.5  $\pm$  0.9 GeV/c.

#### Event N.4



#### ICARUS results on the LSND-like anomaly

- The first ICARUS result (Eur. Phys. J. C 73 2013) limits the window of possible parameters for LSND anomaly to a narrow region around  $(\Delta m^2 \sin^2 2\theta) = (0.5 \text{ eV}^2 0.005)$ , where all experiments are compatible.
- This analysis is based on a doubled statistics => in total 6.0 x 10<sup>19</sup> pot and 1995 v events
- → 4 evt observed , 6.4±0.9
   expected background
- Limits on number of events due to LSND anomaly:
   3.68 (90% CL)

8.34 (99% CL)

the corresponding limits on oscillation probability are:  $P_{\nu\mu\rightarrow\nu e} \leq 3.4 \ 10^{-3} \ (90\% \ CL)$  $P_{\nu\mu\rightarrow\nu e} \leq 7.6 \ 10^{-2} \ (99\% \ CL)$ 

Nufact 2013



Neutrino

#### Antineutrino

The LSND result was based on anti-neutrino events. A small ~2% antineutrino event contamination is also present in the CNGS beam

According to simulations, the  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$ CC event rate is (1.2 ± 0.25) % of  $v_{\mu}$  CC for E<sub>v</sub> < 30 GeV

In the limiting case in which the whole effect is due to  $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ , the absence of an anomalous signal gives a limit of

4.2 events at 90% CL.

Corresponding to  $\langle P(\bar{v}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{v}_{e}) \rangle \leq 0.32$ Or  $\sin^{2}(2\theta_{new}) \leq 0.64$ .



#### Conclusions on $v_{\text{e}}$ search

- A major fraction of the two dimensional plot [Δm<sup>2</sup>, sin<sup>2</sup>(2θ)]<sub>new</sub> from the experiments sensitive to the LSND anomaly is excluded by ICARUS
- The ICARUS result allows to define a small region around  $(\Delta m^2, sin^2(2\theta)) = (0.5 \text{ eV}^2, 0.005)$  in which there is 90 % CL agreement among all experiments
- A similar search performed at the CNGS beam by the OPERA exp. has confirmed our finding with an independent limit  $\sin^2(2\theta_{new}) < 7.2 \times 10^{-3}$
- There is tension between the limit  $\sin^2(2\theta_{new}) < 6.8 \times 10^{-3}$  at 90% CL and  $< 1.52 \times 10^{-2}$  at 99% CL of ICARUS and the neutrino lowest energy points of MiniBooNE (see also Giunti's talk)
- As a conclusion, the LSND anomaly appears to be still alive and further experimental efforts are required to prove the possible existence of sterile neutrinos. The recently proposed ICARUS/NESSiE experiment at the CERN-SPS neutrino beam, based on two identical LAr-TPC detectors, complemented with magnetized muon spectrometers and placed at two ("near" and "far") distances from proton target, has been designed to definitely settle the origin of these v-related anomalies. Nufact 2013

#### ICARUS at the (proposed) CERN North Area Neutrino Facility

#### New CERN SPS 2 GeV neutrino facility in North Area

100 GeV primary proton beam fast extracted from SPS in North Area: C-target station + two magnetic horns,  $\approx$ 100 m decay pipe, Fe/graphite dump, followed by  $\mu$  stations.

Interchangeable n and anti n focussing.



# Exploring all channels: expected sensitivity

#### e-appearance: MiniBooNE (90%) 1 year $v_{\mu}$ beam (left) Bugey CCFR \_ KARMEN 2 year antiv<sub>u</sub> beam (right) 10 LSND (99%) for 4.5 1019 pot/year, ۵m² [eV²/c<sup>4</sup>] .m<sup>2</sup> [eV<sup>2</sup>/c<sup>4</sup>] 3% syst. uncertainty BNL 776 LSND (99% LNSD (90%) 99% C.I 99% C I LSND allowed region is 90% C.L 0.1 2 | Ar-TPC's @ CERN-SPS (2v) Anti Neutrino Beam fully explored in both cases Neutrino Beam 10-4 10-3 10-2 10-1 10-3 10-4 $sin^{2}(2\theta)$



e/µ-disappearance: 1 year  $v_{\mu}$  beam (left) 1 year  $v_{\mu}$  + 2 years anti- $v_{\mu}$  beams (right)

combined "anomalies": from reactor vs, Gallex and Sage experiments.

In addition: Detector R&D (T150) Neutrino cross sections (huge statistcs of  $v_e$ ) Event reconstruction "pave the way for future LBL experiments"

10-2

 $sin^{2}(2\theta)$ 

1iniBooNE anti-ν

90% CL 99% CL

Bugey

BNL 776

10-1

LNSD (90%)

CCFR



Minutes of the 108<sup>th</sup> SPSC meeting - Jan 2013:

The SPSC recommends moving the ICARUS detector from LNGS to CERN during LHC LS1, to a position suitable for use as the far detector of a short baseline experiment for search for sterile neutrinos.

The SPSC supports the physics cases

- The SPSC supports the focus of the European neutrino community on the LAr TPC technology,
- The SPSC recommends that future European R&D for neutrino beam physics at CERN should be made in close contact with the US groups in anticipation of cooperation on future projects.

#### Backup slides

#### 3D reconstruction (example of stopping $\mu$ )



**NEW**: Simultaneous **3D** polygonal fit  $\rightarrow$  **2D** hit-to-hit associations no longer needed

Adv.High Energy Phys. 2013 (2013) 260820





#### Beam and detector systematics on $v_{\mu}$ disappearance



#### Results at Muon pits: data vs MC

Effect of Earth B field (in 1 km decay tunnel) included in MC.

Experimental uncertainties: muon detector calibration (work ongoing), density of rock in between the two pits (67 m).

Spill by spill corrections for (small) horn/reflector instabilities

- ICARUS trigger system efficiency
- Selection efficiency & possible contamination from interactions in the materials around the active LAr: data and MC scanning ongoing
- Detector response uniformity/stability for interaction vertices. SPS-C\_June.2013

## Performance of the ICARUS T600 Trigger

- Main trigger source: scintillation light signals from PMT system integrated with low noise (RC=10 μs) preamps to efficiently exploit the 6ns fast and 1.6 μs slow components
- CNGS neutrino trigger:



PMT-Sum signal (thr. ~100 phe) for each chamber Time Samples [x 50 in coincidence with CNGS "Early Warning" beam gate (60 μs)

≻~80 triggers/day (few tens events expected).

• Cosmic Rays trigger:

PMT-Sum signal coincidence of two adjacent chambers (50% central cathode transparency)

>~130 events/h (~160 expected)

Preliminary analysis done, needing a more detailed study of the collected data and comparison with MC simulation.

## Additional trigger on local charge deposition

 Dedicated algorithm implemented on FPGA on SuperDAEDALUS chip: on-line hit-finding of ionization charge signal from single TPC wires



Slide#Slidg030

## LAr purification (<60 parts per trillion $O_2$ equivalent)



operation of the cryogenic plant.

SPS-C\_June.2013

Slide# : 31

Date

2013

2013

2013

2013

2013

2013

#### Automation of reconstruction

• CNGS v event primary vertex: automatic reconstruction

> Validation with visually identified CNGS vertices

>algorithm efficiency ~ 97%

- •automatic event segmentation algorithm
  - Track identification
  - Shower identification
  - >Ready in 2D, to be extended in 3D

FIRST STAGE, output from segmentation: clusters and vertices Candidates for shower: high density of vertices

Just single hits-> neutron, noise



#### ICARUS LAr-TPC detection technique

- 2D projection for each of 3 wire planes per TPC
- 3D spatial reconstruction from stereoscopic 2D projections
- charge measurement from Collection plane signals



**CNGS**  $v_{\mu}$  charged current interactio



## The method





3 dimensional reconstruction

Two method developed for ICARUS:

- Variable track segmentation ("classical")
- Kalman filter

- The projection of the track in the Collection plane is split in segments of length /
- Deflections between segments are calculated
- The RMS of deflection angles  $\theta$ depends on the momentum p and on the measurement error  $\sigma$

$$\theta \div \frac{13.6MeV}{p} \sqrt{\frac{l}{X_0}} \oplus \frac{\sigma_{noise}}{l^{3/2}}$$

#### Signal selection efficiency check in MC simulation

automatic cuts mimicking data selection, large sample of MC

C1: inside fiducial volume and  $E_{dep} < 30 \text{ GeV}$ ;

C2: no identified muon, at least one shower;

C3: one shower: initial point (or  $\gamma$  conversion point) < 1 cm from vtx, separated from other tracks;

C4: ionisation signal from single mip in the first 8 wires.

Sel. cut	$ u_e \text{ CC} $ beam	${\displaystyle \begin{array}{c}  u_e \ { m CC} \  heta_{13} \end{array}}$	$\nu_{\tau} \ {\rm CC}$	NC	$ u_{\mu}  { m CC}$	$ u_e \ CC $ signal
C1 C2 C3 C4	$0.47 \\ 0.47 \\ 0.33 \\ 0.30$	$0.92 \\ 0.92 \\ 0.79 \\ 0.71$	$0.93 \\ 0.17 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.13$	$0.89 \\ 0.66 \\ 0.10 \\ 0.0002$	$0.89 \\ 0.19 \\ 0.03 \\ 0.00005$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.81 \\ 0.81 \\ 0.66 \\ 0.60 \end{array}$

Signal selection efficiency (after the fiducial and energy cuts): 0.6/0.81 = 0.74, in agreement with the visual scanning method.