

# Update on BSM Higgs searches

---

**Xiaohu SUN, IHEP, Beijing, 08-04-2014**

# 2HDM $Hhh \rightarrow ??yy$

- $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow hh \rightarrow WW/ZZ yy$ 
  - Let's take SM branching ratios for  $h \rightarrow WW/ZZ$  @  $m_h=125\text{GeV}$
  - 21.5%  $h \rightarrow WW$
  - 2.64%  $h \rightarrow ZZ$ , very small, not yet multiplied by Z decay brs
- Well, 6.32%,  $H \rightarrow \tau\tau$ , no need to multiply any further brs
  - Could be a channel to search in the future
  - But needs a lot of manpower (hadhad, hadlep, lelep)
  - Evidence in paper [ATLAS-CONF-2013-108]

- Consider  $h \rightarrow WW \rightarrow jjjj$ 
  - jjjj features:
    - Largest branching ratio
    - need to exclude bbbb carefully (small fraction of events) if combination
    - Backgrounds mainly from continuum jjjjyy, jjjjyy, jjjjjj, and ggh, vbf, Vh, tth, qcd, ttbar
  - Event counting, because bbyy analysis has very small statistics after all cuts and their branching ratio even after being multiplied by 70% btagging eff is still larger than ours with fully hadronic W decays

Comparison:

$$h \rightarrow WW \rightarrow jjjj: 0.215 * 0.676 * 0.676 = 0.098$$

$$h \rightarrow bb * btag\%: 0.577 * 50\% * 50\% = 0.283$$

- Stream: Egamma stream?
- Trigger: EF\_g35\_loose\_g25\_loose?
- This is the best channel maybe, but quite challenging

# WWyy

- Consider  $h \rightarrow WW \rightarrow jj+lv$ 
  - $jj+lv$  features:
    - Branching ratio is close to  $jjjj$  due to the two flavors (e,mu) and the permutation
    - Backgrounds mainly from ?  $V(lv)H(yy)$ ,  $tth$ , single top,  $t\bar{t}$ bar, qcd
    - It is difficult to reconstruct W boson due to existences of one neutrino and one off-shell  $W^*$

Comparison:

$h \rightarrow WW \rightarrow jjlv$ :  $0.215 * 0.676 * 0.108 * 2 * 2 = 0.063$

$h \rightarrow bb * b\text{tag\%}$ :  $0.577 * 50\% * 50\% = 0.283$

- Consider  $h \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\nu+l\nu$ 
  - $l\nu+l\nu$  features:
    - Branching ratio is **very small**
    - Backgrounds mainly from ? tth, Vh, ttbar, single top, WW
    - It is very difficult to reconstruct W boson due to existences of two neutrino and one off-shell  $W^*$
    - If possible, fit on transverse mass of  $h(WW)$  or H, concerned about the very low statistics

Comparison:

$$h \rightarrow WW \rightarrow l\nu l\nu: 0.215 * 0.108 * 2 * 0.108 * 2 = 0.01$$

$$h \rightarrow bb * b\text{tag}\%: 0.577 * 50\% * 50\% = 0.283$$

# ZZyy

- Consider  $h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jjjj$ 
  - jjjj features:
    - Branching ratio is **very** small
    - Backgrounds mainly from continuum jjjjyy, jjjjyy, jjjjjj, and ggh, vbf, Vh, tth, qcd, ttbar
    - Maybe it is better to be **merged** into WW  $\rightarrow$  jjjj analysis by enlarging the mass windows to cover both Z and W masses
    - Event counting with respect to this statistics

Comparison:

$h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jjjj: 0.0264 * 0.70 * 0.70 = 0.013$

$h \rightarrow bb * btag\%: 0.577 * 50\% * 50\% = 0.283$

# ZZyy

- Consider  $h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jj+ll$ 
  - $jj+ll$  features:
    - Branching ratio is **very** small, but more clear signature
    - Backgrounds mainly from  $V(ll)H(yy)$ ,  $tth$ , single top,  $t\bar{t}$ bar, qcd
    - It is better than  $WW \rightarrow jj+ll$ , since one can check  $\text{inv.mass}(ll)$  close to on-shell Z mass, if yes, then good, if not, then constrain  $jj$  by requiring the on-shell Z mass
    - Maybe there is a possibility of fitting inv. mass of H, since no MET exists

Comparison:

$h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jjll$ :  $0.0264 * 0.70 * 0.034 * 2 = 0.0126$

$h \rightarrow bb * b\text{tag}\%$ :  $0.577 * 50\% * 50\% = 0.283$

# ZZyy

- Consider  $h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow llll$ 
  - $llll$  features:
    - Branching ratio is **extremely** small, but very clear signature
    - Backgrounds mainly from ZZ
    - Fully reconstruct all masses
    - Event counting with probably zero backgrounds?!

Comparison:

$h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow llll$ :  $0.0264 * 0.034 * 2 * 0.034 * 2 = 0.0001$

$h \rightarrow bb * btag\%$ :  $0.577 * 50\% * 50\% = 0.283$

# ZZyy

- Consider  $h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jj + \text{inv.}$ 
  - $jj + \text{inv.}$  features:
    - Branching ratio is quite small, signature is not clear
    - Backgrounds mainly from  $V(l\nu)H(yy)$ ,  $t\bar{t}h$ , single top,  $t\bar{t}\bar{b}$ , qcd
    - Can be hacked in very high MET regime, in which case jets are probably boosted
    - Fit on MET distribution if statistics allows

Comparison:

$h \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow jj + \text{inv.}: 0.0264 * 0.70 * 0.20 * 2 = 0.007$

$h \rightarrow b\bar{b} * b\text{tag}\%: 0.577 * 50\% * 50\% = 0.283$

# All channel or which channel

- Look at  $jjjj$  final states including  $WW$  and  $ZZ$  by event counting
- Look at  $WW \rightarrow jj+l\nu$  by transverse mass
- Look at  $ZZ \rightarrow jj+ll$  with fully reconstructed  $H$
- Maybe look at  $ZZ \rightarrow ll ll$  with probably zero background
- There are many promising channels, well, we cannot look at them all since we need a sizable amount of manpower

- It is good to discuss after making sure which final state we will focus on
- Jianming proposed inclusive samples:
  - With 500k, the statistics for individual final states will be:
    - $WW \rightarrow 4j$ : 100k
    - $WW \rightarrow l+2j$ : 62k
    - $ZZ \rightarrow 4j$ : 13k
    - $ZZ \rightarrow 2l2j$ : 2400 ?
    - $ZZ \rightarrow \nu\nu 2j$ : 7k
- I am not sure this is the best idea
  - One cannot guarantee the interesting final states dominate in the inclusive sample
  - The branching ratios are functions of H mass which vary

So the other  $\sim 300k$  events?