BSM gg → H → hh → WWyy Search with jjjjyy final state

Yaquan Fang, <u>Xiaohu Sun</u> 21-05-2014 IHEP, Beijing

A first look at sideband region

- Sideband region:
 - mass(yy) within [100,160] GeV
 - mass(yy) is excluded from $|m_h \Delta m_h m_{\gamma\gamma}| < 2\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$
 - where mh=125.6, deltamh=0.15, sigma=1.6

sideband	# of evt
ggH	0.467175
VBF	0.123474
WH	0.0638113
ZH	0.0405459
ttH	0.138622
Continuum	?
In data	1170



There are large components in backgrounds not yet clear Need to at least introduce $pp \rightarrow jjjyy$ and $pp \rightarrow jjyy$

Niets>=4

Bkg samples, use bbyy continuum samples? ²

A first look at signal region

- Signal region:
 - mass(yy) is required by $|m_h \Delta m_h m_{\gamma\gamma}| < 2\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$
 - where mh=125.6, deltamh=0.15, sigma=1.6

sideband	# of evt
ggH	4.91724
VBF	1.0963
WH	0.570564
ZH	0.374228
ttH	1.34295
Continuum	?
est bkg*	143

* bkg in signal region estimated by fitting to exponential with sideband data

Njets>=4





5





7



Reconstructed jets

 By matching with truth information of jets, one find calculate the correctness of the well-reconstructed jet pairs

specific	#evts	%
none (all cuts form yy side)	2914	-
any pairs from W	1887	65%
1 pair from W	1704	58%
2 pairs from W	183	6%
the pair from 1 st W	1243	43%
the pair from 2 nd W	827	28%

*all numbers except the case of 'none' are calculated by asking njets>=4 pT > 25 GeV when |eta| < 2.4 pT > 30 GeV when |eta| > 2.4

So, instead of asking for >=4 jets and trying to reconstruct both Ws, one may keep higher statistics and obtain better sensitivities by Looking also 2,3,>=4 jets and reconstruct <u>only one of the Ws from 2 jets</u>

Jet bin

- Look at different events with different jet multiplicities separately
 - Njets = 2
 - Njets = 3
 - Njets >= 4

specific	2jets	3jets	>=4jets
evts	2702	2702	2908
Opair of jets from real W	75%	51%	35%
1pair of jets from real W	25%	49%	59%
2pair of jets from real W	0%	0%	6%

Sig vs bkg (sideband data)

- Using only side band data as bkg for the time-being by rejecting yy invariant mass window
- S is absolute evt Nbrs from MC, B is absolute evt Nbrs from data in side band, so S/sqrt(B) is calculated as a relative value, their absolute values are meaningless

specific	2jets	3jets	>=4jets
Sig evts	2702	2702	2908
Bkg evts	9121	3014	1168
Rel. S/sqrt(B)	28	49	85

Run II

- A new page of searching for heavy Higgs resonance
- Continue to look at $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow hh \rightarrow WWyy \rightarrow jjjjyy$
- Start to look at gg → H → hh → Wwyy → lvjjyy and lvlvyy, which become possible with high statistics under higher center of mass energy
- Manpower:
 - 1 researcher (permanent)
 - 1 postdoc till the end of 2015
 - 2 PhD student till 2017/2018
 - + ?





Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences





Introduction

• A

Additional cuts

- Additionally, we will cut on kinematics of the children from the other Higgs boson
 - To do some studies on cuts: deltaPhi(j,j) deltaEta(j,j)
 - To find the more correct combinations of jets originating from the same W boson



Njets {flag_all}

Cutflow

- Use $h \rightarrow yy$ trigger and get the gamma pair •
- Follow the same preselection in HSG1, check on cutflow (private • MC production 28k):

		Unweighted evt	Cut eff (%)
	generated	28000	100%
	trigger	19953	71%
	GRL	19953	71%
	detector errors	19953	71%
	vertex tracks	19953	71%
	pre-selection	15547	56%
	photon pT	13996	50%
	photon ID	12041	43%
	photon isolation	9525	34%
	diphoton mass	9516	34%
Additionally	Niotc>-4	2012	10%
Audicionally	NJELSZ-4	2915	1070
And	XXX	-	5%

Descriptions of cuts

description	
d Generated events	generated
EF g35 loose g25 loose (8TeV	trigger
L Good Run Lis	GRL
s LAr error, TileError, BadJe	detector errors
s Primary vertex track requirements	vertex tracks
n At least two loose photons	pre-selection
T 1 st > 40 GeV, 2 nd > 30 GeV	photon pT
IsEM & 0x45fc01 == 0	photon ID
n Calo (etcone40) < 6GeV && track < 2.6GeV	photon isolation
s [100GeV, 160GeV	diphoton mass

	SM		R	Resonant NV	VA	
	Benchmark	260 GeV	300 GeV	350 GeV	500 GeV	1000 GeV
Generated	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Trigger	73.1%	72.5%	71.6%	71.8%	73.6%	81.0%
Preselection	57.3%	56.7%	56.1%	56.2%	57.7%	65.1%
Photon p_T	51.6%	51.6%	49.8%	49.2%	52.5%	62.4%
Photon Identification	45.3%	44.2%	42.8%	42.6%	46.4%	56.2%
Isolation	39.1%	33.1%	33.8%	35.9%	40.6%	47.4%
$105 < m_{\gamma\gamma} < 160 \text{ GeV}$	39.0%	33.0%	33.8%	35.9%	40.5%	47.4%
2 Central Jets	33.9%	25.5%	26.9%	29.8%	36.2%	45.1%
Tagging	12.5%	8.4%	8.9%	10.0%	14.1%	19.1%
$b p_T$ Cuts	10.1%	4.8%	5.6%	7.2%	12.0%	18.1%
$95 < m_{b\bar{b}} < 135~{\rm GeV}$	7.4%	4.0%	4.3%	5.3%	8.6%	14.2%

Reconstructed jets >=2

• Redo the cutflow and the correctness tables

	Unweighted evt	Cut eff (%)			
generated	28000	100%			
trigger	19953	71%	specific	#evts	%
GRL	19953	71%	none (all cuts form yy side)	8312	-
detector errors	19953	71%	any pairs from W	3909	47%
vertex tracks	19953	71%	1 pair from W	3726	45 %
pre-selection	15547	56%	2 pairs from W	183	2%
photon pT	13996	50%	the pair from 1 st W	2631	32%
photon ID	12041	43%	the pair from 2 nd W	1461	18%
photon iso	9525	34%			
diphoton mass	9516	34%			

Njets>=2	8312	30%

BSM gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow hh \rightarrow WWyy \rightarrow jjjjyy

- Triggered by the recent results on $H \rightarrow hh \rightarrow bbyy$ search
 - There is a significance of 3.0 standard deviations at $mH{=}300 GeV$
- We look at second largest decay: $H \rightarrow hh \rightarrow WWyy \rightarrow jjjjyy$
- Look at the pT of jets in parton level, find it quite difficult to reconstruct all four jets

