



# Status of R value measurement at BESIII

### Haiming HU

(For BESIII Collaboration)

# Outline

- Motivation
- Data samples of QCD and R scan
- Status of R value measurement
- Summary

## Main projects of BESIII Physics



# Main projects for R scan

- R value at energies BEPCII can reach (2.0 4.6 GeV)
- $\bullet \ \psi\mbox{-family}$  line shape and resonant parameters
- Form factors of mesons and baryons (QCD study)

### What is R Value

The Born cross section of  $e^+e^-$  annihilation into hadrons normalized by theoretical  $\mu^+\mu^-$  cross section.

$$R = \frac{\sigma_{had}^{0}(e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma_{\mu\mu}^{0}(e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \gamma^{*} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-})}$$

Feynman diagram of R value

![](_page_4_Figure_4.jpeg)

**Groups ever measured R value:** BESII, VEPP, DA $\Phi$ NE, DM2, DASP, PLUTO, Crystal-Ball, MARKI, MARKII, CLEO-c, AMY, JADE, TASSO, CUSB, MD-1, MARKJ, SLAC-LBL, MAC,  $\gamma\gamma2.....$ <sup>5</sup>

### **R** value measurements at **BESII**

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

## The significance of R value to the SM

### **R** values test QCD predictions

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

# R value is the input parameter of $\alpha(s)$

At high energies, vacuum is polarized, the effective coupling is energy dependent, the so called EM running coupling constant:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(s) &= \frac{\alpha(0)}{1 - \Delta \alpha(s)} \\ \Delta \alpha(s) &= \Delta \alpha_{e\mu\tau}(s) + \Delta \alpha_{top}(s) + \Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Effective coupling

quarks with flavors (u, d, s, c, b)

Δ

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

# R value of BESII improved $\Delta \alpha(M_z)$

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

	S. Eidelman (1995)	H.Burkhardt & B.Pietrzyk (2001)
BESII R	no used	used
$\Delta \alpha_{had}^{(5)}(M_Z^2)$	$0.0280 \pm 0.0007$	$0.0276 \pm 0.00036$
$\alpha^{-1}(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}^2)$	$128.90 \pm 0.09$	$128.936 \pm 0.0046$

# R value is input parameter of $(g-2)_{\mu}$

The Standard Model (SM) prediction for muon (g-2):

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\omega, \varphi$ 

11

1.5

0

0.5

1s (GeV<sup>2</sup>)

# R value of BESII improved uncertainty of (g-2)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Status of $(g-2)_{\mu}$ in theory and experiment

#### Discrepancy between SM and experiments:

 $a_{\mu}^{EXP}$  = 116592089 (63) × 10<sup>-11</sup>

E821 – Final Report: PRD73 (2006) 072 with latest value of  $\lambda = \mu_{\mu}/\mu_{p}$  (Codata '06)

$a_{\mu}^{\rm SM} \times 10^{11}$	$(\Delta a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{EXP}} - a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{SM}}) \times 10^{11}$	$\sigma$
[1] 116 591 773 (53)	316 (82)	3.8
[2] 116 591 782 (59)	307 (86)	3.6
[3] 116 591 834 (49)	255 (80)	3.2
[4] 116591773(48)	316 (79)	4.0
[5] 116 591 929 (52)	160 (82)	2.0
	100 (0-)	2.0

[1] HMNT06, PLB649 (2007) 173.

with a\_HHO(lbl) = 105 (26) x 10-11

- [2] F. Jegerlehner and A. Nyffeler, arXiv:0902.3360.
- [3] Davier et al, arXiv:0908.4300 August 2009 (includes BaBar)
- [4] Hagiwara, Liao, Martin, Nomura, Teubner, Oct '09 (preliminary)
- [5] Davier et al, arXiv:0906.5443v2 August 2009 (τ data).

Questions : if SM agrees experiments? If new physics behind?

Solutions : more accurate theoretic calculations & precise experiments.13

# Determination of $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ by R values

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

**PDG2006** 

 $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1170 \pm 0.0012$ 

# R value error $\rightarrow$ error of $\alpha_s$

a, Rerror	or 3.0%		2.5%		2.0%		1.5%		1.0%	
Ecm/GeV	Up(%)	Dw(%)	$\operatorname{Up}(\%)$	$\operatorname{Dw}(\%)$	$\operatorname{Up}(\%)$	Dw(%)	$\operatorname{Up}(\%)$	Dw(%)	$\operatorname{Up}(\%)$	$\operatorname{Dw}(\%)$
2.00	37.7	35.4	31.1	29.6	24.7	23.7	18.4	17.8	12.2	11.9
2.10	38.1	35.9	31.4	29.9	25.0	24.0	18.6	18.1	12.3	12.1
2.20	38.4	36.3	31.8	30.3	25.3	24.3	18.8	18.3	12.5	12.2
2.30	38.8	36.8	32.0	30.7	25.5	24.6	19.0	18.5	12.6	12.4
2.40	39.2	37.2	32.4	31.0	25.8	24.9	19.2	18.7	12.8	12.5
2.50	39.6	37.6	32.8	31.4	26.0	25.2	19.4	18.9	12.9	12.6
2.60	40.0	38.1	33.0	31.8	26.3	25.4	19.6	19.1	13.0	12.7
2.70	40.2	38.5	33.3	32.1	26.5	25.8	19.8	19.3	13.1	12.9
2.80	40.6	38.9	33.6	32.4	26.7	26.0	20.0	19.5	13.2	13.0
2.90	41.0	39.3	33.9	32.7	27.0	26.2	20.2	19.7	13.3	13.2
3.00	41.4	39.7	34.3	33.1	27.3	26.5	20.4	19.9	13.5	13.3
3.10	41.6	40.1	34.4	33.4	27.4	26.7	20.4	20.1	13.5	13.4
3.20	42.0	40.4	34.8	33.7	27.7	27.0	20.7	20.2	13.7	13.5
3.30	42.3	40.8	35.0	34.0	27.8	27.2	20.8	20.4	13.8	13.7
3.40	42.6	41.1	35.3	34.2	28.1	27.4	21.0	20.6	14.0	13.7
3.50	42.9	41.5	35.6	34.6	28.3	27.6	21.1	20.8	14.1	13.8
3.60	43.1	41.8	35.8	34.8	28.3	27.9	21.3	20.9	14.1	14.0
3.70	43.4	42.1	36.0	35.1	28.7	28.1	21.4	21.0	14.2	141

So,  $\alpha_s$  can be determined based on R, and independent of any model, but the error of  $\alpha_s$  larger than that of R value, it is not an "economical" way.

### R value error $\rightarrow$ error of $\alpha_s$

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Data samples of R scan at BESIII

### Status of R&QCD data taking

• Phase I: test run (2012)

@ Ecm = 2.232, 2.400, 2.800, 3.400 GeV, 4 energy points, ~12/pb

- Phase II: fine scan for heavy charmonium line shape (2014)
   @ 3.800 4.590 GeV, 104 energy points, ~ 800/pb
- Phase III: R&QCD scan (2015)
   @ 2.000 3.080 GeV, 21 energy points, ~ 500/pb

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

R value line shape has scanned in whole BEPCII energies.

### R scan below open charm

#### Data samples between 2.0 – 3.08 GeV collected in 2015

$E_{cm}$	$E_{th}$	LNeeded	$t_{beam}$	Purpose	
(GeV)	(GeV)	$(pb^{-1})$	(days)		
2.0		$\geq 8.95$	14.6	Nucleon FFs	
2.1		10.8	14.8	Nucleon FFs	
2.15		2.7	2.29	Y(2175)	
2.175		10(+)	8.5	Y(2175)	
2.2		13	11	Nucleon FFs, $Y(2175)$	
2.2324	2.2314	11	4	Hyp threshold $(\Lambda \overline{\Lambda})$	
2.3094	2.3084	20	16	Nucleon & Hyp FFs	
				Hyp Threshold $(\Sigma^0\overline{\Lambda})$	
2.3864	2.3853	20	8.7	Hyp Threshold $(\Sigma^0 \overline{\Sigma}^0)$	
				Hyp FFs	
2.3960	2.3949	$\geq 64$	27.8	Nucleon & Hyp FFs	
				Hyp Threshold $(\Sigma^{-}\overline{\Sigma}^{+})$	
2.5		0.4895	8h	R scan	
2.6444	2.6434	65	18	Nucleon & Hyp FFs	
				Hyp Threshold $(\Xi^{-}\overline{\Xi}^{+})$	
2.7		0.5542	4.2h	R scan	
2.8		0.6136	4h	R scan	
2.9		100	18.5	Nucleon & Hyp FFs	
2.95		15	2.8	$m_{p\bar{p}}$ step	
2.981		15	2.8	$\eta_c$ , $m_{p\bar{p}}$ step	
3.0		15	2.8	$m_{p\bar{p}}$ step	
3.02		15	2.8	$m_{p\bar{p}}$ step	
3.08		120	13.2	Nucleon FFs $(+30 \text{ pb}^{-1})$	

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

4. New hadronic states ?

# J/psi line shape scan

#### Data have been taken around the J/psi peak at 12 energy points

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Physical goal: measure the resonant parameters of J/psi by channels:

- $l. \quad e^+e^- \to e^+e^-$
- 2.  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$
- 3.  $e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons$

## R line shape scan above open charm

- 104 energy points, total luminosity ~ 800 pb<sup>-1</sup>;
- More than100k hadronic events collected at each point.

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Integrated luminosities of the data samples

• The QED processes

$$e^+ \ e^- \rightarrow (\gamma) \ e^+ \ e^- \qquad e^+ \ e^- \rightarrow (\gamma) \ \gamma \ \gamma \qquad e^+ \ e^- \rightarrow (\gamma) \ \mu^+ \ \mu^-$$

are used to measure luminosity.

The luminosities at all of the 149 scanned energy points are measured with about precision of 1%.

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

Source	$\Delta^{sys}(\%)$
$ \cos\theta  < 0.8$	0.18
$ \Delta \phi  < 2.5^{\circ}$	0.07
Deposited energy of $\gamma$	0.10
Cluster reconstruction	0.10
Monte Carlo statistics	0.15
Background estimation	0.23
Trigger efficiency	0.10
Generator	1.00
Total	1.07
$ \Delta \phi  < 0.8$ $ \Delta \phi  < 2.5^{\circ}$ Deposited energy of $\gamma$ Cluster reconstruction Monte Carlo statistics Background estimation Trigger efficiency Generator Total	0.18 0.07 0.10 0.10 0.15 0.23 0.10 1.00 1.07

## Data samples collected at BESIII

Taking data	Total Num. / Lum.	Taking time		
<i>J/</i> ψ	225+1086 M	2009+2012		
ψ(2 <i>S</i> )	106+350 M	2009+2012		
ψ(3770)	2916 pb <sup>-1</sup>	2010~2011		
$\tau$ scan	24 pb <sup>-1</sup>	2011		
Y(4260)/Y(4230)/Y(4360)/scan	806/1054/523/488 pb <sup>-1</sup>	2012~2013		
4600/4470/4530/4575/4420	$506/100/100/42/993 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	2014		
$J/\psi$ line-shape scan	100 pb <sup>-1</sup>	2012		
R scan (2.23, 3.40) GeV	12 pb <sup>-1</sup>	2012		
R scan (3.85, 4.59) GeV	795 pb <sup>-1</sup>	2013~2014		
R scan (2.0, 3.08) GeV	~525 pb <sup>-1</sup>	2014~2015		
Y(2175) D physics at 4.18 GeV	~100 pb <sup>-1</sup> ~ 3 fb <sup>-1</sup>	2015 2016, no finisl <del>?2</del> d		

### **Status of R value measurement**

## **R** value measurement with data

#### In experiment, R values are measured with

$$R = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mu+\mu-}} \cdot \frac{N_{had} - N_{bg}}{L \cdot \varepsilon_{had} \cdot (1 + \delta)}$$

#### Tasks in experiment:

- $N_{had}$  observed hadronic events
- **N**<sub>bg</sub> background events
- *L* integrated luminosity
- *Ehad* detection efficiency for hadronic events
- $1+\delta$  radiative correction factor
- $\sigma_{\mu\mu}$  Born cross section of μ pair production in QED

## The efficiency and ISR factor correction

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Present status of R value measurement

$$R = \frac{1}{\sigma_{\mu+\mu-}} \cdot \frac{N_{had} - N_{bg}}{L \cdot \varepsilon_{had} \cdot (1 + \delta)}$$

 $N_{had}$  ,  $N_{bg} \rightarrow \ {\rm event \ selection}$  :

below open charm finished, above open charm in progress.

 $L \rightarrow$  integrated luminosity:

finished, error ~ 1%.

 $\varepsilon_{had} \rightarrow$  hadronic generator, exclusive  $\oplus$  LUARLW:

parameters are optimized, cross checks, largest error source?

 $1+\delta \rightarrow$  theoretical calculations:

finished, error ~1.5%, including the from  $\Delta\sigma^{0}_{had}$ 

 $\text{Error analysis:} \quad \underline{\Delta R}{R} \cong \sqrt{(\frac{\Delta \tilde{N}_{had}}{\tilde{N}_{had}})^2 + (\frac{\Delta L}{L})^2 + (\frac{\Delta \epsilon_{trg}}{\epsilon_{trg}})^2 + (\frac{\Delta (1+\delta)}{(1+\delta)})^2 }$ 

- final goal  $\Delta R/R \sim 2.5 3.0\%$ .
- being reviewed with in BES Collaboration.

### **Theoretic cross section and ISR factor**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hadronic Born and total cross section

Initial state radiation factor (1+ $\delta$ )

The calculations based on the Feynman diagrams and structure function schemes are compared, they are consistent within theoretical accuracy.

### The generators used in R measurement

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Simulation functions of LUARLW**

# LUARLW can simulate ISR inclusive continuous channels and $J^{PC} = 1^{-1}$ resonances from 2–5 GeV, phenomenological parameters need tuning.

$$\begin{split} e^+e^- \Rightarrow \gamma^* \Rightarrow & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} q\bar{q} \Rightarrow \text{string} \Rightarrow \text{hadrons} \\ gq\bar{q} \Rightarrow \text{string} + \text{string} \Rightarrow \text{hadrons} \\ ggq\bar{q} \Rightarrow \text{string} + \text{string} \Rightarrow \text{hadrons} \\ ggq\bar{q} \Rightarrow \text{string} + \text{string} \Rightarrow \text{hadrons} \\ e^+e^- \Rightarrow \gamma^* \Rightarrow & J/\psi \Rightarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma^* \Rightarrow e^+e^-, \ \mu^+\mu^-, \ \tau^+\tau^- \\ \gamma^* \Rightarrow q\bar{q} \Rightarrow \text{string} + \text{string} \Rightarrow \text{hadrons} \\ ggg \Rightarrow \gamma^* \Rightarrow \psi(2S) \Rightarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma^* \Rightarrow e^+e^-, \ \mu^+\mu^-, \ \tau^+\tau^- \\ \gamma^* \Rightarrow q\bar{q} \Rightarrow \text{string} + \text{string} + \text{string} \Rightarrow \text{hadrons} \\ \gamma gg \Rightarrow \gamma^+ \text{string} + \text{string} \Rightarrow \gamma^+ \text{hadrons} \\ \gamma gg \Rightarrow \gamma^+ \text{string} + \text{string} \Rightarrow \gamma^+ \text{hadrons} \\ \gamma gg \Rightarrow \gamma^+ \text{string} + \text{string} \Rightarrow \gamma^+ \text{hadrons} \\ \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi, \ \pi^0 \pi^0 J/\psi, \ \pi^0 J/\psi, \ \eta J/\psi, \ \gamma \chi_{cJ}, \ \phi\eta \\ e^+e^- \Rightarrow \gamma^* \Rightarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi(4040) \Rightarrow D\bar{D}, D^*\bar{D}^*, D\bar{D}^*, \bar{D}D^*, D_s\bar{D}_s, D_s\bar{D}_s^*, D_s^*\bar{D}_s^*, D_s^*\bar{D}_s^*. \end{array} \right\} \right\} \\ e^+e^- \Rightarrow \gamma^* \Rightarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi(4160) \Rightarrow D\bar{D}, D^*\bar{D}^*, D\bar{D}^*, D\bar{D}^*, D_s\bar{D}, D_s\bar{D}_s, D_s\bar{D}_s^*, D_s^*\bar{D}_s^*. \end{array} \right\} \\ e^+e^- \Rightarrow \gamma^* \Rightarrow \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi(4415) \Rightarrow D\bar{D}, D^*\bar{D}^*, D\bar{D}^*, D\bar{D}^*, D_s\bar{D}, D_s\bar{D}_s, D_s\bar{D}_s^*, D_s^*\bar{D}_s^*. \end{array} \right\} \\ \end{array} \right\} \\ \end{array} \right\}$$

 $e^+e^- \Rightarrow \gamma^* \Rightarrow X(4160), X(4260) \cdots$  with  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ 

### Picture of Lund string fragmentation to hadrons

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

31

## **Basic formula of LUARLW**

The lowest cross section for the exclusive channel

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to m_1, m_2, \cdots m_n) = \int d\Omega_{q\bar{q}} \frac{d\sigma(e^+e^- \to q\bar{q})}{d\Omega_{q\bar{q}}} \cdot \wp_n(q\bar{q} \to m_1, m_2, \cdots m_n; s)$$

The QED cross section for quark pair production

$$\frac{d\sigma(e^+e^- \to q\bar{q})}{d\Omega_{q\bar{q}}} = N_c \frac{\alpha^2}{4s} \cdot e_q^2 \beta [1 + \cos^2\theta + (1 - \beta^2)\sin^2\theta]$$

The string fragmentation probability in Lund area law

$$\begin{split} d\varphi_{n}(q\bar{q} \rightarrow m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots m_{n}; s) &= \cdot (2\pi)^{4} \delta(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{m_{\perp j}^{2}}{sz_{j}}) \cdot \delta(1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j}) \cdot \delta^{(2)}(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \vec{k}_{j}) \cdot \overline{\sum} |\hat{\mathcal{T}}_{con}^{(n)f}|^{2} d\Phi_{n} \\ d\Phi_{n} &= \prod_{j=1}^{n} d^{2} \vec{k}_{j} \frac{dz_{j}}{z_{j}} \\ \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{con}^{(n)f}(q\bar{q} \rightarrow m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots m_{n}) &\equiv \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{con}^{(n)f} = \cdot N^{n} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{con\perp}^{(n)f} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{con//}^{(n)f} \\ \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{con\perp}^{(n)f} &= \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \vec{k}_{j}^{2}) \\ \hat{\mathcal{T}}_{con//}^{(n)f} &= \exp(i\xi\mathcal{A}_{n}) \cdot \overline{\xi} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} + i\frac{b}{2} \cdot \underline{\xi} \end{split}$$

0

# **ISR sampling in LUARLW simulation**

#### In LUARLW simulation, the events are classed into two types

1 non real radiation: tree level, virtual and soft radiations events.

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

2 real radiation: hard bremsstrahlung events. Weight:  $\sigma^{HB} = \int_{k}^{k_m} dk \frac{\partial \sigma^{HB}}{\partial k}$ 

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

The energy and polar angle distribution of real emission photon

![](_page_32_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Simulation of hadron production and decay

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

34

# **Parameters optimization of LUARLW**

• Assume LUARLW is approximately described by a parameterized response function

Z. Phys. C 26, 157 (1984)
Z. Phys. C 41, 359(1988)
Eur. Phys. J. C 65, 331 (2010)

$$f(\mathbf{p}_0 + \delta \mathbf{p}, x) = a_0^{(0)}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{(1)}(x)\delta p_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^{(2)}(x)\delta p_i\delta p_j \approx MC(\mathbf{p}_0 + \delta \mathbf{p}, x)$$

The parameters in LUARLW are treated as free numbers in fit, the optimal values are obtained by fit this function to the data.

• The known channels employ experimental values, the unknown channels use LUARLW.

![](_page_34_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Parameter optimization of LUARLW @3.06GeV

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 5. Comparison of data to the MC distributions at 3.06 GeV, where the MC sample is produced with the optimized parameters. (a) multiplicity of charged tracks, (b) cosine of polar angle of charged tracks, . (c) Energy of charged tracks, (d) multiplicity of photon, (e) energy of photon, (f) cosine of polar angle of photons, (g)azimuthal distribution, (h) pseudorapidity and (g) thrust. Where the points with errors are data, and shaded histogram is MC distribution.

### Parameter optimization of LUARLW @3.65GeV

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 4. Comparison of data to the MC distributions at 3.65 GeV, where the MC sample is produced with the optimized parameters. (a) multiplicity of charged tracks, (b) cosine of polar angle of charged tracks, (c) Energy of charged tracks, (d) multiplicity of photon, (e) energy of photon, (f) cosine of polar angle of photons, (g)azimuthal distribution, (h) pseudorapidity and (g) thrust. Where the points with errors are data, and shaded histogram is MC distribution.

### **Multiplicity of data and MC**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 6. Comparison of distributions between data and MC for the number of charged track at (a) 2.2324 GeV, (b) 2.4 GeV, (c) 2.8 GeV, (d) 3.05 GeV, (e) 3.06 GeV, (f) 3.08 GeV, (g) 3.4 GeV, (h) 3.5 GeV, (i) 3.5424 GeV, (j) 3.5538 GeV, (k) 3.5611 GeV, (l) 3.6002 GeV, (m) 3.65 GeV, (n) 3.671 GeV. The dots denote data, and the open bars denote MC.

### Heavy charmonia line shape scan

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Aim to understand resonant structure

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Parameters of the excited charmonia

Similar work like did at BESII, but improved measurement at BESIII

At BESII, parameters (M,  $\Gamma_{tot}$ ,  $\Gamma_{ee}$ ) of the J<sup>PC</sup> = 1<sup>--</sup> conventional charmonia  $\psi(3770)$ ,  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$ ,  $\psi(4415)$ 

remain quite uncertain and model dependent:

	M (MeV)	Γ <sub>tot</sub> (MeV)	Γ <sub>ee</sub> (keV)	δ(°)	
ψ(3770)	3772.92 ± 0.35	27.3 ± 1.0	$0.265 \pm 0.018$		PDG09
	3772.0 ± 1.9	30.4 ± 8.5	$0.22\pm0.05$	0	BES08
ψ(4040)	4039 ± 1	$80 \pm 10$	0.86 ± 0.07		PDG09
	4039.6 ± 4.3	$84.5 \pm 12.3$	$0.83\pm0.20$	130 ± 46	BES08
ψ(4160)	4153 ± 3	103 ± 8	$0.83\pm0.07$		PDG09
	4191.7 $\pm$ 6.5	71.8 $\pm$ 12.3	$0.48\pm0.22$	293 ± 57	BES08
ψ(4415)	4421 ± 4	62 ± 20	$0.58\pm0.07$		PDG09
	4415.1 ± 7.9	71.5 ± 19.0	0.35 ± 0.12	234 ± 88	BES08

41

### PDG2014

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### ψ(4040) MASS

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

<sup>1</sup> Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the  $\psi(3770)$ ,  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$ , and  $\psi(4415)$  resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to  $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^{\circ}$ .

### PDG2014

$$\psi$$
(4160)

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{-}(1^{-})$$

#### ψ(4160) MASS

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

### PDG2014

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 0^{-}(1^{-})$$

#### $\psi(4415)$ MASS

![](_page_43_Figure_4.jpeg)

<sup>1</sup> Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the  $\psi(3770)$ ,  $\psi(4040)$ ,  $\psi(4160)$ , and  $\psi(4415)$  resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to  $\delta = (234 \pm 88)^{\circ}$ .

## Summary

- The planed data sets for QCD and R scan between 2.0-4.6 GeV energies have been collected.
- Data analysis between 2.2324-3.671 GeV finished, the analysis for data above 3.85 GeV are in progress.
- The integrated luminosity at all 149 energy points are measured with about 1% precision.
- The LUARLW parameters are being optimized, the uncertainty of  $\varepsilon_{had}$  could be about 2%, but need further check.
- Preliminary results of R value measurement between 2.2324-3.671 GeV are being reviewed in BES Collaboration.
- It can be expected that R value measured with BESIII data will improve the calculations of  $\Delta \alpha$ (s) and (g-2).