## 1-loop RGE of dim=7 Operators in SMEFT

#### Xiaodong Ma School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin

Aug 23, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- From SM to SMEFT
- Basis for dim-7 operators
- ▶ 1-loop RGE of dim-7 operators
- Structure of anomalous dimension matrix γ<sub>ij</sub>

- Proton decay with  $\Delta L = -\Delta B = 1$
- Summary

### References

• Higher dimensional operators:

[Buchmuller & Wyler 1986], [B. Grzadkowski et al:1008.4884],

[L. Lehman:1410.4193].

 $\odot$  RGE of dim-5 operator:

[K. S. Babu:9309223], [S. Antusch et al:0108005].

 $\odot$  RGE of dim-6 operator:

[A. V. Manohar et al:1301.2588], [J. Elias-Miro et al:1302.5661],

[J. Elias-Miro et al:1308.1879], [A. V. Manohar et al:1310.4838],

[A. V. Manohar et al1312.2014], [A. V. Manohar et al:1405.0486].

 $\odot$  RGE of dim-7 operator:

[Y, Liao et al:1607.07309].

#### Convention

SM field content:  $H, Q, L, u, d, e, B_{\mu}, W_{\mu}^{I}, G_{\mu}^{A}$ Symmetry: Poincare  $\otimes$  Gauge =  $T_{1,3} \ltimes SO_{+}^{1}(1,3) \otimes SU(3)_{C} \otimes SU(2)_{L} \otimes U(1)_{Y}$ The SM Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{SM}} = -\frac{1}{4} G^{A}_{\mu\nu} G^{A\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} W^{I}_{\mu\nu} W^{I\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}H) + \lambda v^{2} (H^{\dagger}H) - \lambda (H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + \sum_{\Psi = Q, L, u, d, e} \bar{\Psi} i D \Psi - \left[ \bar{Q} Y_{u} u \tilde{H} + \bar{Q} Y_{d} dH + \bar{L} Y_{e} eH + \text{h.c.} \right]$$

A and I: the adjoint indices of the  $SU(3)_C$  and  $SU(2)_L$  group;  $Y_u$ ,  $Y_d$ ,  $Y_e$ : the Yukawa couplings in flavor space;

$$ilde{H}_i = \epsilon_{ij}H_j^*, \quad D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig_3 T^A G^A_\mu - ig_2 T^I W_\mu^I - ig_1 Y B_\mu,$$

 $T^A$ , T', Y: the generator matrices appropriate for the fields to be acted on.

## From SM to SMEFT

 SMEFT is the one which extends the SM by including higher dimensional operators with SM field contents and admits that the NP scale Λ is on top of electroweak scale.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{SM}} + rac{1}{\Lambda^{D-4}} \sum_{D \geq 5} C_i^D \mathcal{O}_i^D$$

Wilson coefficients  $C_i^D$  encode the contribution from unknown NP.

- SMEFT is powerful because it is model-independent.
- For a given specific model, the operator coefficients can easily be matched by integrating out the heavy degrees of freedom.

## From SM to SMEFT

- The complete basis of higher dimensional operators have been determined up to dim-7.
- ▶ Dim-5: 1  $\rightarrow$  neutrino mass operator  $\rightarrow$  unique in dim-D(odd):

$$\mathcal{O}_{n.m.}^{D} = \left[ (L^{\mathsf{T}} \epsilon H) C (L^{\mathsf{T}} \epsilon H)^{\mathsf{T}} \right] (H^{\dagger} H)^{\frac{D-5}{2}} + \text{h.c.}$$

- Dim-6:  $59 + 4(\beta : \Delta B \Delta L = 0)$ 
  - Higgs physics dominated by dim-6 operators: Higgs production at LHC  $gg \rightarrow h$  and decay  $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ ,  $h \rightarrow \gamma Z$ , ...

- Proton decay with  $\Delta B \Delta L = 0$ .
- ...
- Dim-7:  $12 + 6(\cancel{B} : \triangle B \triangle L = 2)$ 
  - Exotic proton decay with  $\Delta B \Delta L = 2$ , etc.

## Basis for dim-7 operators

	$\psi^2 H^4 +  ext{h.c.}$	$\psi^2 H^3 D$ + h.c.			
$\mathcal{O}_{LH}$	$\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mn}(L^iCL^m)H^jH^n(H^{\dagger}H)$	$\mathcal{O}_{LeHD}$	$\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mn}(L^iC\gamma_\mu e)H^jH^miD^\mu H^n$		
	$\psi^2 H^2 D^2 + h.c.$	$\psi^2 H^2 X + h.c.$			
$\mathcal{O}_{LHD1}$	$\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mn}(L^iCD^{\mu}L^j)H^m(D_{\mu}H^n)$	$\mathcal{O}_{LHB}$	$\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mn}(L^iC\sigma_{\mu u}L^m)H^jH^nB^{\mu u}$		
$\mathcal{O}_{LHD2}$	$\epsilon_{im}\epsilon_{jn}(L^iCD^{\mu}L^j)H^m(D_{\mu}H^n)$	$\mathcal{O}_{LHW}$	$\epsilon_{ij}(\epsilon \tau^{I})_{mn}(L^{i}C\sigma_{\mu u}L^{m})H^{j}H^{n}W^{I\mu u}$		
	$\psi^4 D$ + h.c.	$\psi^4 H +  ext{h.c.}$			
$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{d}uLLD}$	$\epsilon_{ij}(\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}u)(L^{i}CiD^{\mu}L^{j})$	$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{e}LLLH}$	$\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mn}(\bar{e}L^i)(L^jCL^m)H^n$		
$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{L}QddD}$	$(ar{L}\gamma_\mu Q)(dCiD^\mu d)$	$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{d}LQLH1}$	$\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{mn}(\bar{d}L^i)(Q^jCL^m)H^n$		
$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{e}dddD}$	$(ar e \gamma_\mu d)(dCiD^\mu d)$	$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{d}LQLH2}$	$\epsilon_{im}\epsilon_{jn}(\bar{d}L^i)(Q^jCL^m)H^n$		
		$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{d}LueH}$	$\epsilon_{ij}(\bar{d}L^i)(uCe)H^j$		
		$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Q}_{uLLH}}$	$\epsilon_{ij}(\bar{Q}u)(LCL^i)H^j$		
		$\mathcal{O}_{\overline{I} d u d \widetilde{H}}$	$(\overline{L}d)(uCd)\widetilde{H}$		
		$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{L}dddH}$	(Ēd)(dCd)H		
		$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{e}Qdd\tilde{H}}$	$\epsilon_{ij}(ar{e}Q^i)(dCd) ilde{H}^j$		
		$\mathcal{O}_{ar{L}dQQ ilde{H}}$	$\epsilon_{ij}(ar{L}d)(QCQ^i) ilde{H}^j$		
$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{d}\mu I D}^{(2)}$	$\epsilon_{ij}(ar{d}\gamma_{\mu}u)(L^{i}C\sigma^{\mu u}D_{ u}L^{j})$	$\mathcal{O}_{ar{L}dQdD}$	$(\bar{L}iD^{\mu}d)(QC\gamma_{\mu}d)$		

13(B) + 7(B) given by [L. Lehman:1410.4193]. Dim-7 Majorana neutrino mass operator; Baryon number violating operators with  $\Delta B = -\Delta L = 1$ ; Redundant operators.

#### Proof for redundancies: EoMs + Fierz identities

 $\blacklozenge$  EoMs from SM Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_4$ 

$$egin{array}{rcl} i oldsymbol{D} L &= Y_e e H \ i oldsymbol{D} d &= Y_d^\dagger H^\dagger Q \end{array}$$

♠ Feriz identities for charge conjugated fields

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\Psi_{1L}C\gamma_{\mu}\Psi_{2R})(\overline{\Psi_{3R}}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi_{4R}) & = & 2(\overline{\Psi_{3R}}\Psi_{1L})(\Psi_{4R}C\Psi_{2R}) \\ (\overline{\Psi_{1L}}\gamma_{\mu}\Psi_{2L})(\Psi_{3R}C\Psi_{4R}) & = & (\overline{\Psi_{1L}}\Psi_{3R})(\Psi_{2L}C\gamma_{\mu}\Psi_{4R}) + (\overline{\Psi_{1L}}\Psi_{4R})(\Psi_{2L}C\gamma_{\mu}\Psi_{3R}) \end{array}$$

where the notation  $\Psi^{C} = C\bar{\Psi}^{T}$  is used in actual calcution, and the charge conjugated field is defined by  $(\Psi C\chi) = \overline{\Psi^{C}}\chi$  with  $(\Psi^{C})^{C} = \Psi$ , where the matrix C satisfies the relations  $C^{T} = C^{\dagger} = -C$  and  $C^{2} = -1$ .  $\clubsuit$  Linear dependent operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{d}uLD}^{(2)prst} = 2(Y_e)_{tu}\mathcal{O}_{\bar{d}LueH}^{psru} - \mathcal{O}_{\bar{d}uLD}^{prst} \\ \mathcal{O}_{\bar{L}dQdD}^{prst} = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{L}QddD}^{pstr} - (Y_d^{\dagger})_{ru}\mathcal{O}_{\bar{L}dQQ\tilde{H}}^{ptsu}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

# Number of independent operators with flavor indices added

Class	Operator	[Yi Liao:1607.07309]-Direct counting			[H. Murayama:1512.03433]-Hilbert series		
		n <sub>f</sub>	1	3	n <sub>f</sub>	1	3
$\psi^2 H^4$	$\mathcal{O}_{LH}$	$\frac{1}{2}n_f(n_f+1)$	1	6	$\frac{1}{2}n_f(n_f+1)$	1	6
$\psi^2 H^3 D$	$\mathcal{O}_{LeHD}$	$n_f^2$	1	9	$n_f^2$	1	9
$\psi^2 H^2 D^2$	$O_{LHD1}$	$\frac{1}{2}n_f(n_f+1)$	1	6	$n_c(n_c+1)$	2	12
	$O_{LHD2}$	$\frac{1}{2}n_f(n_f+1)$	1	6	<i>n<sub>f</sub></i> ( <i>n<sub>f</sub></i> + ±)		
$\psi^2 H^2 X$	$O_{LHB}$	$\frac{1}{2}n_f(n_f - 1)$	0	3	$\frac{1}{2}nc(3nc-1)$	1	12
	$\mathcal{O}_{LHW}$	n <sub>f</sub> <sup>2</sup>	1	9	2 " ( ( ) " f )		
$\psi^4 H$	$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{e}LLLH}$	$\frac{1}{3}n_f^2(2n_f^2+1)$	1	57		5	381
	$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{d}LQLH1}$	$n_f^4$	1	81	1 2 2		
	O <sub>đLQLH2</sub>	$n_f^4$	1	81	$\frac{1}{3}n_{f}(14n_{f}+1)$		
	O <sub>dlueH</sub>	n <sub>f</sub> <sup>4</sup>	1	81			
	$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Q}uLLH}$	n <sub>f</sub> <sup>4</sup>	1	81			
$\psi^4 D$	Odulin	$\frac{1}{2}n_f^3(n_f+1)$	1	54	$\frac{1}{2}n_f^3(n_f+1)$	1	54
Total: B		$\frac{31}{6}n_f^4 + \frac{1}{2}n_f^3 + \frac{13}{3}n_f^2 + n_f$	11	474	$\frac{31}{6}n_f^4 + \frac{1}{2}n_f^3 + \frac{13}{3}n_f^2 + n_f$	11	474
$B : \psi^4 H$	$\mathcal{O}_{\overline{I} dud \widetilde{H}}$	n <sub>f</sub> <sup>4</sup>	1	81		2	213
	$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{I} dddH}$	$\frac{1}{3}n_f^2(n_f^2-1)$	0	24	$\frac{1}{2}n_{c}^{2}(17n_{c}^{2}-3n_{c}-2)$		
	$\mathcal{O}_{\bar{e}Odd\tilde{H}}$	$\frac{1}{2}n_f^3(n_f-1)$	0	27	6.17(		
	O <sub>ĪdQQĤ</sub>	$n_f^4$	1	81			
$B : \psi^4 D$	OLOddD	$\frac{1}{2}n_f^3(n_f+1)$	1	54	$1 r^2 (4 r^2 + 6 r + 2)$	2	01
	$O_{\bar{e}dddD}$	$\frac{1}{6}n_f^2(n_f^2+3n_f+2)$	1	30	$\overline{6} n_f (4n_f + 6n_f + 2)$		04
Total:₿		$\frac{7}{2}n_f^4 + \frac{1}{2}n_f^3$	4	297	$\frac{7}{2}n_f^4 + \frac{1}{2}n_f^3$	4	297
Total: <i>B</i> + ₿		$\frac{26}{3}n_f^4 + n_f^3 + \frac{13}{3}n_f^2 + n_f$	15	771	$\frac{26}{3}n_f^4 + n_f^3 + \frac{13}{3}n_f^2 + n_f$	15	771

Table: Comparison of operator counting: direct counting vs Hilbert series.

## 1-loop RGE of dim-7 operators

- ► RGE preserves the operator symmetry, e.g. B operators do not mix with B-conserving operators.
- ► RG running of six dim-7 both \nu\$ and \mathcal{B} operators: all correction calculated.
- ► RG running of twelve dim-7 ↓ but B-conserving operators: part of them finished.

- Operator mixing is ubiquitous among different classes.
- Interesting cancellation takes place between different diagrams.
- The rich and non-trivial flavor mixing.

## 1-loop RGE of dim-7 operators

Dimensional regularizaton +  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme +  $R_{\xi}$ -gauge

$$16\pi^2\beta_i = 16\pi^2 \frac{d}{d\mu} C_i = \sum_j \gamma_{ij} C_j$$

- Calculate the β-function or the anomalous dimension matrix γ is boiling down to determine the counterterm.
- Extracting counterterm by computing the generated amplitude with an insertion of dim-7 operator at 1-loop order.
- Also needing to include field strength renormalization constants.
- $\xi$  independent as a check for the calculation.
- Promote flavor symmetry as an another check.

1-loop RGE of six dim-7 both  $\not\!\!\!\!/$  and  $\not\!\!\!\!/$  operators(part)  $\dot{c}_1^{prst} = + \left(-4g_3^2 - \frac{9}{4}g_2^2 - \frac{17}{17}g_1^2 + W_H\right)c_1^{prst} - \frac{10}{2}g_1^2c_1^{ptsr} - \frac{3}{2}(Y_eY_e^{\dagger})_{pv}C_1^{vrst}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{C}_{1}^{-} &= + \left( -4g_{3}^{2} - \frac{4g_{2}}{12} - \frac{1}{12}g_{1}^{2} + W_{H} \right) \mathsf{C}_{1}^{-} - \frac{3}{3}g_{1}\mathsf{C}_{1}^{-} - \frac{2}{2}(2d_{e}^{2})_{pv}\mathsf{C}_{1}^{pvrt} \\ &+ 3(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{vv}\mathsf{C}_{1}^{pvst} + 3(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{vv}\mathsf{C}_{1}^{prsy} + 2(Y_{u}^{\dagger}Y_{u})_{vs}\mathsf{C}_{1}^{prvt} - 2(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{u})_{vs}(\mathbb{C}_{2}^{pvrt} + v \leftrightarrow r) \\ &+ 4(Y_{e})_{pv}(Y_{u})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{3}^{vwrt} - 2\left((Y_{u})_{vs}(Y_{d})_{wt} + s \leftrightarrow t\right)\mathsf{C}_{4}^{prvw} - \frac{1}{6}\left(11g_{1}^{2} + 24g_{3}^{2}\right)(Y_{u})_{vs}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{pvrt} \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\left(13g_{1}^{2} + 48g_{3}^{2}\right)(Y_{u})_{vs}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{pvrv} - \frac{3}{2}(Y_{d})_{vl}(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{u})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{prw} \\ &- 3(Y_{u})_{vs}\left((Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{pvrv} - r \leftrightarrow t\right) + \frac{3}{2}(Y_{e})_{pv}(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{u})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{6}^{vrvt} \\ &+ 2\left((Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{pvrv} - r \leftrightarrow t\right) + \frac{3}{2}(Y_{e})_{pv}(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{u})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{6}^{prvt} \\ &+ 2\left((Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{2}^{pvrvt} + (Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{vs}\mathsf{C}_{2}^{prvt} + (Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{w}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{prsv}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{4}\left[\left((Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{2}^{pvrvt} + (Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{pvrv} - \frac{3}{4}(Y_{d})_{vr}(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{pvsw}\right) + r \leftrightarrow t\right] - s \leftrightarrow t\right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(Y_{e})_{pv}\left\{\left[g_{1}^{2}\left(\mathsf{C}_{0}^{erst} + r \leftrightarrow s\right) + \frac{3}{4}\left((Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{prvt} - \frac{3}{4}(Y_{d})_{vr}(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{pvsw}\right) + r \leftrightarrow t\right] - s \leftrightarrow t\right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(Y_{e})_{pv}\left\{\left[g_{1}^{2}\left(\mathsf{C}_{0}^{erst} + r \leftrightarrow s\right) + \frac{3}{4}\left((Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{prvt} + r \leftrightarrow s\right) + (Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{qsvw}\right)\right] - s \leftrightarrow t\right\} \\ &+ \left[\left((Y_{e}^{\dagger}Y_{e})_{pv}\mathsf{C}_{3}^{vrst} + \frac{1}{4}(Y_{u}Y_{u}^{\dagger} + Y_{d}Y_{d}^{\dagger})_{vr}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{prst} + 3(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{vs}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{prvt} - (Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{qsvw}\right) - s \leftrightarrow t\right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(Y_{e}^{\dagger})_{pv}\left[\left((Y_{u}^{\dagger})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{1}^{rtw} + 2(Y_{d})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{4}^{rtw} + (Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{4}^{s}Y_{d})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{prvt} + 3g_{1}^{2}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{sst} + 3(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{5}^{stw}\right) - s \leftrightarrow t\right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(Y_{e}^{\dagger})_{pv}\left[\left((Y_{u}^{\dagger})_{wr}\mathsf{C}_{1}^{rtw} + 2(Y_{d})_{ws}\mathsf{C}_{s$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト ヨー のへで

#### Renormalize dim-7 neutrino mass operator



$$\mathcal{M}_{(7)}=0, \quad \mathcal{M}_{(8)}+\mathcal{M}_{(9)}= ext{finite}$$

And the  $\beta$ -function is

$$16\pi^{2}\mu\frac{d}{d\mu}C_{LH}^{\rho r} = (40\lambda + 4Y - \frac{3}{2}g_{1}^{2} - \frac{15}{2}g_{2}^{2})C_{LH}^{\rho r} - \frac{3}{2}\left[(Y_{e}Y_{e}^{\dagger})_{\nu p}C_{LH}^{\nu r} + p \to r\right] + \dots$$

where ... stands for contributions from all other 11  $\not L$  but *B*-conserving operators. Comparing it with dim-5 neutrino mass operator[S. Antusch et al:0108005]

$$16\pi^{2}\mu \frac{d}{d\mu}C_{5}^{pr} = (4\lambda + 2Y - 3g_{2}^{2})C_{5}^{pr} - \frac{3}{2}\left[(Y_{e}Y_{e}^{\dagger})_{vp}C_{5}^{vr} + p \rightarrow r\right]$$
$$Y \equiv \operatorname{Tr}\left[3(Y_{u}^{\dagger}Y_{u}) + 3(Y_{d}^{\dagger}Y_{d}) + (Y_{e}^{\dagger}Y_{e})\right]$$

### Operator mixing

Example: From class  $\Psi^4 H$  to  $\Psi^4 H$ ,  $\Psi^2 H^4$  and  $\Psi^2 H^2 X$ Consider the following diagrams with an insertion of the operator  $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{e}LLLH}$ 



$$\sum \text{diagrams} \propto L^2 H D^2 H + \mathcal{O}_{LHX}$$
$$\propto L^2 H^4 + \Psi^4 H + L^2 H^2 X$$

EoM:  $D^2 H = \lambda v^2 H - 2\lambda (H^{\dagger} H) H - \epsilon^{\mathsf{T}} \bar{Q} Y_u^{\dagger} u - \bar{d} Y_d Q - \bar{e} Y_e L$ 

### Structure of $\gamma$ -matrix

Based on nonrenormalization theorem[C. Cheung:1505.01844], one can understand the structure of anomalous dimension matrix  $\gamma_{ij}$ . First define the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic weights of an operator  $\mathcal{O}$  by

$$\omega(\mathcal{O}) = n(\mathcal{O}) - h(\mathcal{O}), \ \bar{\omega}(\mathcal{O}) = n(\mathcal{O}) + h(\mathcal{O})$$

 $n(\mathcal{O})$ : the minimal number of fields the operator  $\mathcal{O}$  generates;  $h(\mathcal{O})$ : the total helicity of the operator  $\mathcal{O}$ . And the SM field weights are as follows:

## Structure of $\gamma$ -matrix

The nonrenormalization theorem dictates that an operator  $\mathcal{O}_i$  can only be renormalized by an operator  $\mathcal{O}_j$  if  $\omega_i \geq \omega_j$  and  $\bar{\omega}_i \geq \bar{\omega}_j$ , and absent of non-holomorphic Yukawa couplings.

	weights	(3,5)	(3,5)	(3,7)	(4,6)	(4,6)	(5,7)
weights	$\gamma_{ij}$	$\Psi^2 H^2 D^2$	$\bar{\Psi}\Psi^3D$	$\Psi^2 H^2 X$	$\Psi^2 H^3 D$	$\overline{\Psi}\Psi^{3}H$	$\Psi^2 H^4$
(3,5)	$\Psi^2 H^2 D^2$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	0	0	0	0
(3,5)	$\bar{\Psi}\Psi^3D$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	0	0	0	0
(3,7)	$\Psi^2 H^2 X$	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	0	Øу	0
(4,6)	$\Psi^2 H^3 D$	$\checkmark$	×	Øу	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	0
(4,6)	$\overline{\Psi}\Psi^{3}H$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Øу	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	0
(5,7)	$\Psi^2 H^4$	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

0: vanishing due to nonrenormalization theorem

 $\times$ : vanishing because no corresponding diagrams

 $\sqrt{}$ : surviving pieces

#### Proton decay with $\Delta L = -\Delta B = 1$

Consider the dominant operator relating with proton decay after symmetry breaking,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{L}dud\tilde{H}}^{p111} = v(\bar{\nu}_{p}P_{R}d)(uCP_{R}d)$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{L}dQQ\tilde{H}}^{p111} = -v(\bar{\nu}_{p}P_{R}d)(uCP_{L}d)$$



Figure:  $p \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu$  with  $\Delta L = -\Delta B = 1$ 

Their Wilson coefficients decouple when we only keep gauge and top-Yukawa couplings,

$$\begin{split} \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} C_{\bar{L}dud\tilde{H}}^{p111} = & \frac{1}{4\pi} [-4\alpha_3 - \frac{9}{4}\alpha_2 - \frac{57}{12}\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_t] C_{\bar{L}dud\tilde{H}}^{p111} \\ \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} C_{\bar{L}dQQ\tilde{H}}^{p111} = & \frac{1}{4\pi} [-4\alpha_3 - \frac{27}{4}\alpha_2 - \frac{19}{12}\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_t] C_{\bar{L}dQQ\tilde{H}}^{p111} \\ \end{split}$$
where  $\alpha_i \equiv g_i^2 / 4\pi$  and  $\alpha_t \equiv y_t^2 / 4\pi$ .

Proton decay with  $\Delta L = -\Delta B = 1$ 

Estimating the coefficients from GUT scale( $M \ 10^{15}$ GeV) to proton mass scale( $m_p \ 1$ GeV), The overall RGE running results are

$$C_{\bar{L}dud\tilde{H}}^{p111}(m_p) = (2.034)(1.158)(1.262)(0.787)C_{\bar{L}dud\tilde{H}}^{p111}(M)$$
  
= 2.34 $C_{\bar{L}dud\tilde{H}}^{p111}(M)$ ,  
$$C_{\bar{L}dQQ\tilde{H}}^{p111}(m_p) = (2.034)(1.551)(1.081)(0.787)C_{\bar{L}dQQ\tilde{H}}^{p111}(M)$$
  
= 2.68 $C_{\bar{L}dQQ\tilde{H}}^{p111}(M)$ ,  
 $g_3, g_2, g_1, y_t$ 

They receive an enhancement factor of about 2.

## Summary

- Basis of dim-7 operator determined:  $12 + 6(\beta)$ .
- ▶ 1-loop RGE of six dim-7 both \nu\$ and \u00c8 operators calculated.
- ▶ 1-loop RGE of twelve dim-7 ∠ but B-conserving operators calculated in part.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- ► The structure of anomalous dimensional matrixdetermined.
- Proton exotic decay with  $\Delta B \Delta L = 2$  discussed.