Introduction to Online System Trigger and DAQ (TriDAS) and beyond

北京大学物理学院 王大勇 dayong.wang@pku.edu.cn

The 3rd International Summer school on TeV Experimental Physics Tsinghua, July 17, 2016

是从天上掉下来的吗? ...不是。 是自己头(电)脑里固有的吗?不是。只能从....科学实验...中来。



我们的数据 是从哪里来 的?





A modern HEP experiment





D712/mb-26/06/97



CMS Detector

SILICON TRACKER Pixels (100 x 150 μm²) ~1m² ~66M channels Microstrips (80-180μm) ~200m² ~9.6M channels

> *CRYSTAL ELECTROMAGNETIC CALORIMETER (ECAL)* ~76k scintillating PbWO₄ crystals

PRESHOWER

Silicon strips ~16m² ~137k channels

STEEL RETURN YOKI ~13000 tonnes

Flexible trigger Large silicon tracker Strong magnetic field Broad acceptance

SUPERCONDUCTING SOLENOID Niobium-titanium coil carrying ~18000 A

Total weight: 14000 tonnesOverall diameter: 15.0 mOverall length: 28.7 mMagnetic field: 3.8 T

Niobium-titanium coil carrying ~18000 A

> HADRON CALORIMETER (HCAL) Brass + plastic scintillator ~7k channels

FORWARD CALORIMETER Steel + quartz fibres ~2k channels

MUON CHAMBERS

Barrel: 250 Drift Tube & 480 Resistive Plate Chambers Endcaps: 473 Cathode Strip & 432 Resistive Plate Chambers

Online: Trigger, DAQ, Monitoring & Control ...





Collisions at the LHC:



Proton - Proton

Protons/bunch Beam energy Luminosity

2804 bunch/beam **10**¹¹ 7 TeV (7x10¹²eV) 10³⁴cm⁻²s⁻¹

Crossing rate 40 MHz(every25ns) Collision rate ≈

7x10⁸ s⁻¹

data recording rate 300 Hz 200-300 MB/sec

New physics rate ≈ .00001 Hz **Event selection: 1** in 10,000,000,000,000



Task: inspect detector information and provide a first decision on whether to keep the event or throw it out

The trigger is a function of :



Event data & Apparatus Physics channels & Parameters

 Detector data not (all) promptly available
Selection function highly complex
⇒T(...) is evaluated by successive approximations, the TRIGGER LEVELS (possibly with zero dead time)

General trigger requirements

- The role of the trigger is to make the online selection of particle collisions potentially containing <u>interesting</u> <u>physics</u>
- Need high efficiency for selecting processes of interest for physics analysis
 - Efficiency should be precisely known
 - Selection should not have biases that affect physics results
- Need large reduction of rate from unwanted high-rate processes (capabilities of DAQ and also offline computers)
 - Instrumental background
 - High-rate physics processes that are not relevant for analysis
- System must be affordable
 - Limits complexity of algorithms that can be used
- Not easy to achieve all the above simultaneously!
- And never forget that an event rejected by the Trigger is lost for ever!

1960/70s:Simple trigger for spark chamber



Dead time

Experiments frozen from trigger to end of readout

- Trigger rate with no deadtime = R per sec.
- Dead time / trigger = τ sec.
- For 1 second of live time = $1 + R\tau$ seconds
- Live time fraction = $1/(1 + R\tau)$
- Real trigger rate = $R/(1 + R\tau)$ per sec.

Rate in Hz	Dead time ms.	Live time %	Trigger rate Hz
10	10	91	9.1
1000	10	9.1	91

Solution: multi-level triggers!

$$S = \frac{pfR}{1 + R(t + f\tau)}$$

Exercise: the good event trigger rate

of the two level trigger is

where p is the purity of the event sample selected by the secondlevel trigger, R is the first-level trigger rate, t is the second-level decision time, f is the fraction of events that pass the second-level trigger, and τ is the readout time.

Trigger systems 1980's and 90's

- bigger experiments \rightarrow more data per event
- higher luminosities → more triggers per second
 - both led to increased fractional deadtime
- use multi-level triggers to reduce dead-time
 - first level fast detectors, fast algorithms
 - higher levels can use data from slower detectors and more complex algorithms to obtain better event selection/background rejection

Trigger systems 1990's and 2000's

- Dead-time was not the only problem
- Experiments focussed on rarer processes
 - Need large statistics of these rare events
 - But increasingly difficult to select the interesting events
 - DAQ system (and off-line analysis capability) under increasing strain - limiting useful event statistics
 - This is a major issue at hadron colliders, but will also be significant at ILC
- Use the High Level Trigger to reduce the requirements for
 - The DAQ system
 - Off-line data storage and off-line analysis



实验的取数环境:加速器的时间结构,事例率和数据率,数据量,死时间

一些对撞机及相应探测器的参数

对撞机	<i>最大束流</i> 能量 /GeV	<i>亮度/(</i> 10³⁰ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	周长 /km	東团数	<i>对撞周期</i> /ns	探测器	电子学道数
DAΦNE	0.75	5	0.0977	30~120	2.7 ~ 10.8	KLOE	23k
BEPC	2.2	5	0.2404	1	802	BES	20k
CESR	6	830	0.768	9X4	14-220	CLEO	400k
LEP	101	10000	26.66	5120	22000	ALEPH etc	100~ 300k
KEKB	8+3.5	3000	3.016	1658	2	BELLE	133k
HERA	e30+ p920	14	6.336	189+180	96	H1 etc	250k
Tevatron	1000	210	6.28	36	396	CDF etc	75~100k
LHC 2016/7/17	7000	10000	26.66	2835	25	CMS etc	100M 19





Data Rate: TrigDAQ Comparisons



ATLAS/CMS Data Flow Rates

- From detectors> 10¹⁴ Bytes/sec
- After Level-1 accept~ 10¹¹ Bytes/sec
- Into event builder~ 10⁹ Bytes/sec
 - Onto permanent storage~ 10⁸ Bytes/sec

LHC Trigger and DAQ summary

ATLAS	No.Levels Trigger	First Level Rate (Hz)	Event Size (Byte)	Readout Bandw.(GB/s)	Filter Out MB/s (Event/s)
CMS	3	10 ⁵ v-2 10 ³	10 ⁶	10	100 (10 ²)
	2	10 ⁵	10 ⁶	100	100 (10 ²)
LHCb	3 LV LV	-₀ 10 ⁶ -1 4 10 ⁴	2x10 ⁵	4	40 (2x10 ²)
Pictore worker Pictore worker	4 Рр. р-г	-Pp 500 ⊳ 10 ³	5x10 ⁷ 2x10 ⁶	5	1250 (10 ²) 200 (10 ²) 24

Trigger Levels



Collision rate 10⁹ Hz

Channel data sampling at 40 MHz

Level-1 selected events 10⁵ Hz

Particle identification (High $p_{T} e, \mu$, jets, missing E_{T})

- Local pattern recognition
- Energy evaluation on prompt macro-granular information

Level-2 selected events 10³ Hz

Clean particle signature (Z, W, ..)

- Finer granularity precise measurement
- Kinematics. effective mass cuts and event topology
- Track reconstruction and detector matching

Level-3 events to tape 100-400 Hz

Physics process identification

Event reconstruction and analysis

Level-1 Trigger

Level-1 trigger: reduce 40 MHz to 10⁵ Hz

This step is always there



Three physical entities(ATLAS)

Additional processing in LV-2: reduce network bandwidth requirements





- Reduce number of building blocks

 Rely on commercial components (especially processing and communications)

Comparison of 2 vs 3 physical levels

Three Physical Levels

- Investment in:
 - Control Logic
 - Specialized processors





Two Physical Levels

- Investment in:
 - Bandwidth
 - Commercial Processors







CMS CSC Track Finder





Dayong Wang

Technologies in Level-1 systems

- ASIC(Application-Specific Integrated Circuit) used in some cases
 - Highest-performance option, better radiation tolerance and lower power consumption (a plus for on-detector electronics)
- FPGA(Field-Programmable Gate Array) used in all systems
 - Impressive evolution with time. Large gate counts and operating at 40 MHz (and beyond)
 - Biggest advantage: flexibility
 - Can modify algorithms (and their parameters) in situ
- Communication technologies
 - High-speed serial links (copper or fiber)
 - LVDS up to 10 m and 400 Mb/s; HP G-link, Vitesse for longer distances and Gb/s transmission
 - Backplanes
 - Very large number of connections, multiplexing data
 - operating at ~160 Mb/s
 - High speed optical links (fibers)
 - Up to 10Gb/s per link

Level-1 Trigger: decision loop

- Synchronous 40 MHz digital system Global Trigger 1
 - Typical: 160 MHz internal pipeline
 - Latencies:
 - Readout + processing: < 1µs
 - Signal collection & distribution: ≈ 2µs
- At LvI-1: process only calo+µ info



Global Trigger

- A very large OR-AND network that allows for the specification of complex conditions:
 - I electron with P_T>20 GeV OR 2 electrons with P_T>14 GeV OR 1 electron with P_T>16 and one jet with P_T>40 GeV...
 - The top-level logic requirements (e.g. 2 electrons) constitute the "trigger-table" of the experiment
 - Allocating this rate is a complex process that involves the optimization of physics efficiencies vs backgrounds, rates and machine conditions



Detical System:
Single High-Power
Laser per zone
Reliability, trans

- Reliability, transmitter upgrades
- Passive optical coupler fanout

1310 nm Operation

 Negligible chromatic dispersion

InGaAs photodiodes

 Radiation resistance, low bias
Synchronization



2835 out of 3564 p bunches are full, use this pattern:





2016/7/17

Trigger Latency



At Level-1: only calo and muon info

Pattern recognition much faster/easier



- Simple algorithms
- Small amounts of data
- Local decisions



Need to link sub-detectors

The ATLAS Trigger System





Overview of CMS L1 Trigger



Trigger alg: based on Particle signatures



Lvl-1 Calo Trigger: e/γ algorithm (CMS)



ATLAS em cluster trigger algorithm

¢



- E.M. calorimeter
- Hadronic calorimet

 $\Delta \eta \mathbf{x} \Delta \phi \approx \mathbf{0.1} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{0.}^{*}$

"Sliding window" algorithm repeated for each of ~4000 cells



> E.M. cluster threshold
 AND
< E.M. isolation threshold
AND
< Hadronic isolation thresh





Extrapolation: using look-up tables
Track Assembler: link track segmentpairs to tracks, cancel fakes
Assignment: P_T (5 bits), charge, η (6 bits), φ(8 bits), quality (3 bits)

Lvl-1 muon trigger (CMS)



Pattern of strips hit:

Implemented in FPGAs

The LVL1 Muon Trigger (ATLAS)

Safe Bunch Crossing
Identification
Wide p_T-threshold

range

- Strong rejection of fake muons (induced by noise and physics background)

→Fast and high redundancy system



However this system: ⁰

- 1. Looks only for tracks coming from the pp collision point
- 2. Looks only for ultrarelativistic tracks

2016/7/17



Lvl-1 Calo e/γ trigger: performance

Global muon trigger

- Combine results from RPC, CSC and DT triggers
- Match muon candidates from different trigger systems; use complementarity of detectors
- improve efficiency and rate
- assign muon isolation
- deliver the 4 best (highest P_T, ^{*}/₂
 highest-quality) muons to
 Global Trigger
- Pt resolution:
 - 18% barrel
 - 35% endcaps

Efficiency: ~ 97%



50





HLT/DAQ Hardware(ATLAS)

First 4 racks of HLT processors, each rack contains

- ~30 HLT PC's (PC's very similar to Tier-0/1 compute nodes)
- 2 Gigabit Ethernet Switches
- a dedicated Local File Server



High Level Triggers (HLT)

- Run on farm of commercial CPUs: a single processor analyzes one event at a time and comes up with a decision
- Has access to full granularity information
- Freedom to implement sophisticated reco algorithms, complex selection requirements, exclusive triggers ...

Constraints:

- CPU time (Cost of filter farm)
 - Reject events ASAP: set up internal "logical" selection steps
 - L2: muon+ calorimeter only
 - □ L3: use full information including tracking
- Must be able to measure efficiency from data
 - Use inclusive selction whenever possible
 - □ Single/double object above pT/ET, etc.
 - Define HLT selection paths from the L1
- Keep output rate limited (obvious...)

2016/7/17

HLT Challenge: Compromise





HLT design principles

- Early rejection
 - Alternate steps of feature extraction with hypothesis testing: events can be rejected at any step with a complex algorithm scheduling
- Event-level parallelism
 - Process more events in parallel, with multiple processors
 - Multi-processing or/and multi-threading



- Queuing of the shared memory buffer within processors
- Algorithms are developed and optimized offline, often software is common to the offline reconstruction



Trigger performance: Efficiency vs background rejection



- Example: B meson trigger in LHCb
- Discriminating variable: Transverse momentum (P_T)



The evolution of DAQ systems







1970-80
MiniComputers
first standard:
CAMAC
•kByte/s

1980-90 Microprocessors Distributed systems •MByte/s

1990-2000+ Communications networks Control & Data networks Embedded processors •GByte/s

Typical architecture 2000+

Basic Architecture: ~ same for most experiments



- Readout (units/drivers/buffers/...)
- Switching network
- Processor Farm
- Control & Monitor System

Overview of the CMS DAQ and useful terminology





• Detector signals are collected through individual data acquisition systems (cables and boards) that end up at the FEDs: the first element of Global Data Acquisition system (DAQ)

• FED (detector FrontEnd boards): multiple FEDs per detector collect event fragments that are sent to the online event processing farm

• **Builder Units:** Computing farm that collects event fragments from all FEDs and merge them to produce full event information

• Filter Units: Computing farm where the High Level Trigger (HLT) is run to filter interesting events

• Storage Manager: application that saves to local disks events selected by the HLT

Event Building

Event builder :

Physical system interconnecting data sources with data destinations. It has to move each event data fragments into a same destination



Fabric of switches for builder networks PC motherboards for data Source/Destination nodes

运行控制(RunControl)

>负责系统运行控制,提供 DAQ系统的状态管理,控 制数据获取的动作行为

▶ 遵循有限状态机模型的控制器分级系统,组织成树型层次结构,避免单一控制结点产生消息瓶颈



Propagating transitions

 Each component or sub-system is modeled as a FSM
 The state transition of a component is completed only if all its subcomponents completed their own transition
 State transitions are triggered by commands sent through a *message*

State transitions are triggered by commands sent through a *message* system





HLT: All processing beyond Level-1 performed in the Filter Farm Partial event reconstruction "on demand" using full detector resolution 2016/7/17 64





Central Processing @ CERN





^{2016/7/17}

Data Streams and Tier0 workflow

- Data streams & Tier0 workflows \rightarrow specialized for different tasks
- Depending on the latency
 - express \rightarrow prompt feedback & calibrations
 - short latency: 1-2 hours
 - ~40Hz bandwidth shared by:
 - calibration (1/2)
 - detector monitoring (1/4)
 - physics monitoring (1/4)
 - Alignment & Calibration (AlCa) streams
 - bulk data → sample for physics analysis (prompt reconstruction)
 - split in Primary Datasets (using High Level Trigger (HLT) decision)
 - will be delayed of 48h \rightarrow get latest calibrations
 - writing ~300Hz



Data Tiers and Algorithms





- The complexity of the offline workflows requires robust validation
- Several stages of Data Quality Monitoring (DQM):
 - online DQM \rightarrow monitor detector performance during data-taking
 - dedicate event stream (sampling)
 - offline DQM \rightarrow monitor performance of physics objects
 - runs on full statistics available for analysis:
 - express reco \rightarrow fast feedback
 - prompt-reco \rightarrow continuous monitor
 - offline re-reco $\ _{\rightarrow}\ validation$ of software and condition updates
- Physics Validation Team → coordinates the validation activity.
 Feedback from:
 - groups responsible for physics objects
 - detector performance groups
 - analysis group





Scope of Online DQM Shifts:

Identify problems with detector performance or data integrity during the run SPOT PROBLEMS QUICKLY FOR OPTIMAL OPERATION EFFICIENCY

2016/7/17

2




TriDAS Challenges: HL-LHC@10³⁵





2023/24:在座诸位大有可为!

• 230 min.bias collisions per 25 ns. crossing N_{ch}(|y|≤0.5)

- ~ 10000 particles in $|\eta| \le 3.2$
- mostly low p_T tracks

requires upgrades to detectors

Further References:

Bi-annual CHEP:

http://chep2015.kek.jp/

http://www.chep2013.org/

Annual TWEPP conference:

<u>http://www.lip.pt/events/2015/TWEPP/</u> 2015(in Sep)

<u>https://indico.cern.ch/event/299180/overview</u> 2014

CMS TriDAS TDR.

V1: CERN-LHCC-2000-038 ; CMS-TDR-6-1

V2: CERN-LHCC-2002-026 ; CMS-TDR-6

ATLAS TriDAS TDR

V1: CERN-LHCC-1998-014, ATLAS-TDR-12

V2: CERN-LHCC-2003-022, ATLAS-TRD-016

ISOTDAQ: the international school of trigger and data acquisition

http://isotdaq.web.cern.ch/isotdaq/isotdaq/Home.html



物理学是实验科学 ■ 以大搏小,见微知著 $dp \cdot dx \sim \hbar \xrightarrow{p \sim E} 1 \text{ TeV} \propto \frac{1}{2 \times 10^{-19} \text{ met}}$ ■ 以今日之物理探究明日之物理 □ 技术支撑 □ 标准烛光 ■研无定法, 无所不用其极