π^0 - hadron correlations and $\pi^0~v_2$ in pp and PbPb collisions at 2.76 TeV in ALICE

Qi-Ye Shou (寿 齐烨) Central China Normal University

The work is done by PhD student Xinye Peng (and collaborators)

CLHCP Workshop 2016, PKU



π^0 - h correlations

- Study away-side parton energy loss and jet modification via high $p_{\rm T}$ -hadron correlations.
- An important step to study direct photon-hadron correlations.
- Two main steps:

1. Azimuthal correlations: $C = \frac{1}{N_{trig}} \frac{dN^{pair}}{d\Delta \varphi}$, $J = C - B(flat \text{ or } v_n \text{ background})$

2. Modification of the yield in the correlations: $Y = \frac{1}{N_{trig}} \int J d\Delta \varphi$, $I_{AA} = \frac{Y_{Pb-Pb}}{Y_{pp}}$ or $I_{CP} = \frac{Y_{Pb-Pb}^{central}}{Y_{Pb-Pb}^{peripheral}}$



Hard Scattering in pp



Two particles are selected in given $p_{\rm T}$ region. One is named "trigger particle", another one is called "associated particle". Generally, $p_{\rm T}^{assoc} < p_{\rm T}^{trig}$.



 $\pi^0 \, v_2$

The investigation of neutral and charged pions is of significance since they are considered to carry direct information from the early stage of collisions.



- Low p_T: collective hydrodynamic expansion of the medium initial-state spatial info
- Mid p_T: difference between baryons and mesons production mechanism
- High p_T: suppression of hadron yields
 path-length dependence of energy loss

PHENIX Collaboration, PRL 105, 142301 (2010) CMS Collaboration, PRL 110, 042301 (2013)



ALICE

- ITS (Inner Tracking System) six cylindrical layers of silicon detectors, $|\eta| < 0.9$
 - localize the primary and secondary vertices.
 - track and identify particles down to $p_{\rm T}$ \sim 100 MeV/c
- TPC (Time Projection Chamber) a cylindrical gas detector, $|\eta| < 0.9$
 - charged particle momentum $(0.15 < p_T < 100 \text{ GeV}/c)$
 - particle identification (dE/dx)resolution better than 10%)



• EMCal (Electro Magnetic Calorimeter) a lead-scintillator sampling calorimeter,

 $|\eta| < 0.7, \quad \frac{\sigma_E^2}{E^2} = A^2 + \frac{B^2}{E} + \frac{C^2}{E^2} \text{ with } A = (1.65 \pm 0.04)\%, B = (8.0 \pm 0.2)\%, C$ $= (7.4 \pm 0.2)\%$

- high- $p_{\rm T}$ neutral mesons, photons and electrons
- high energy jets

data taken in year 2011; pp and Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76$ TeV

- ALICE

π^0 selection





ALICE



- π^0 decay photons start to merge for E > 6 GeV
- Select clusters with elongated λ_0^2 shower shape
- A cluster is split in 2 sub-clusters, the seed are the 2 highest energy cells or local maxima. Select cells around
- Select those with invariant mass within 3 sigma of the π^0 mass

Yield and background



red, green: Correlated blue: Un-correlated

- Per-trigger yield in two region
 - ✓ Near side $|\Delta \varphi| < 0.7$ rad
 - $\checkmark \text{ Away side } |\Delta \varphi \pi| < 1.1 \text{ rad}$
- Subtract the background with ZYAM
 - \checkmark Flat background (pp)
 - v_n (up to v_5) background (Pb-Pb)

$$J(\Delta\varphi) = C(\Delta\varphi) - b_0(1 + 2\sum_{n=2}^{5} \langle v_n^{trig} \rangle \langle v_n^{assoc} \rangle \cos(n\Delta\varphi))$$



Azimuthal distribution in pp





Azimuthal distribution in PbPb



Low p_{T} away side broadens

Near side yield is higher than away side yield at high $p_{\rm T}$, but lower than away side yield at low $p_{\rm T}$

0-10% most central Pb-Pb collisions



Near side IAA



- $I_{AA} \sim 1.2$ to 1.8 at low p_T
 - ✓ Change of the fragmentation function?
 - ✓ Change of the quark vs gluon jet ratio?
 - ✓ Bias on the parton $p_{\rm T}$ spectrum?



Away side I_{AA}





I_{AA} compared with models



Near-side

Only AMPT can qualitatively describe the enhancement at low $\ensuremath{\mathsf{P}}\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}$

Away-side

All can qualitatively describe the suppression at high p_T Only AMPT can describe the enhancement at low p_T



Summary 1

- Azimuthal angle difference $\Delta \varphi$ at midrapidity in pp and central Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76$ TeV have been measured.
- The per-trigger yield modification factor, I_{AA} , has been measured for the near and away side in 0–10% most central Pb–Pb collisions.
 - \checkmark Extend the results to low $p_{\rm T}$, both near and away side show enhancement.
 - \checkmark Measured I_{AA} comparison to models, away side suppression reproduced by JEWEL, NLO and AMPT but enhancement on near and away side only qualitatively reproduced by AMPT.



collisions at 2.76 TeV

CrossMark

ALICE Collaboration*



Analysis strategy

 v_2 is measured in event plane method. The Forward VZERO system is used to reconstruct the event plane. The large η gap between EMCal and VZERO is able to ensure the quality of flow measurement by reducing the non-flow contribution. EP resolutions are studied in 3-sub-event method.

 The ALICE VZERO system, consists of two scintillator arrays at forward asymmetric positions, VZERO-A (2.8 < η < 5.1) and VZERO-C (-3.7 < η < -1.7). The VZERO system is used as a trigger source, and also plays an important role in monitoring beam conditions and measuring luminosity, multiplicity, centrality and **event plane direction**.



π^0 selection



- V2 clusterization with Eseed/Ecell = 300/150 MeV
- Ncell>1, Eclust>1 GeV, M02<0.5
- Gaussian+poly2 fit, within 3σ



V1 clusterization with Eseed/Ecell = 300/150 MeV
 Ncell>2, M02>0.3, shower shape cut according to NLM (1 or 2)

Cases of with or without slipt method, NLM=1,2 or 1+2 are studied.



v₂ extraction

After obtaining the information of π^0 yield in different azimuthal angle intervals, one can use function

$$Yield(\Delta\phi) = A(1 + 2v_2 cos 2\Delta\phi) \tag{1}$$

to fit the $\Delta \phi$ dependence of π^0 yield and then extract the v_2 parameter. Here $\Delta \phi$ denotes the difference between the azimuthal angle of the emitted π^0 and the event plane angle. In this analysis, this method is chosen to measure the center value of v_2 . In addition, another two formulas (with sine term and with v4 term) are also used to fit. Sine term is used to check the event plane performance, while v2 extracted from the equation with v4 term is used to estimate the systematic uncertainty.

$$Yield(\Delta\phi) = A(1 + 2v_2 cos 2\Delta\phi + 2v_2^{sin} sin 2\Delta\phi)$$
⁽²⁾

$$Yield(\Delta\phi) = A(1 + 2v_2 cos 2\Delta\phi + 2v_4 cos 4\Delta\phi)$$

For those mid- $p_T \pi^0$ (identified by reconstructing invariant mass of two photons), there is an alternative way to measure v_2 , which studies the correlation between the invariant mass of the photon pairs and their corresponding $\cos 2\Delta \phi$. Such correlation can be fitted by

$$v_2^{tot}(m_{\gamma\gamma}) = v_2^{sig} \frac{N_{sig}}{N_{tot}}(m_{\gamma\gamma}) + v_2^{bg} \frac{N_{bg}}{N_{tot}}(m_{\gamma\gamma}), \tag{4}$$

where v_2^{sig} is the flow information. In this analysis, this method is also used to estimate the systematic uncertainty of $\pi^0 v_2$ in mid- p_T range.

EP performance check

Systematic check of v₂ extraction

(3)

Systematic check of v₂ extraction

v₂ extraction



Fit $cos2\Delta \phi$ vs $m^{\gamma\gamma}$

Azimutal anisotropy are clearly observed at full $\ensuremath{p_{\text{T}}}$ range



Combined (EMCal+PHOS+PCM) π⁰ v₂ results



 v_2 of π^0 are consistent with that of charged π within systematic uncertainty

Charged pion results: Nuclear Physics A 904–905 (2013) 483c–486c



Summary 2

- π⁰ v₂ at ALICE in wide p_T coverage (up to 20 GeV/c) are presented, in both narrow (5-10%, 10-20%...) and wide (0-20%, 20-40%) centrality intervals
- The results are consistent with charged π results within the uncertainties

Is it possible that v₂ between charged and neutral mesons ($\pi^{0/+-}$, K^{0/+-}...) can be different?

- v₂ difference between particles and anti-particles have been found at RHIC-STAR, in particular, at BES low energies
- STAR claims that no difference of v_2 between $K_s^{0/+-}$ within the uncertainties
- Same constituent quarks -> same quark flow
- Different charge -> different interactions
- [low p_T] Chiral Magnetic Effect could give rise to the difference?

