Design of the new ATLAS Inner Tracker (ITk) for the High Luminosity LHC

Jike Wang (DESY)

for the ATLAS Collaboration

May/2017, TIPP 2017





LHC Machine Schedule



- In year 2015, ATLAS and CMS went into Run2
- Till now have already collected up to ~40 fb^{-1} pp collision data at 13 TeV.
- Detector will be upgraded after ~10 years (phase 2), then go to the HL-LHC running

DESY

Current ATLAS

ATLAS



HL-LHC Tracker of ATLAS: ITk

- ITk is a full upgrade of the current ATLAS Inner Detector (ID) as part of the Phase-II upgrade
- consists of a **new Pixel and Strip** detectors, "**all-silicon**" detector
 - ID can't survive with 3000 fb^{-1} (radiation damage), has to be replaced
 - remove the TRT (Transition Radiation Tracker). It can't work under HL-LHC

multiplicity



HL-LHC Tracker of ATLAS: ITk

• HL-LHC upgrade would unlock much larger physics potential:

- VBF $h\rightarrow$ ZZ \rightarrow 4l, BSM $hh\rightarrow$ 4b, higgs selfcoupling, etc

- The ITk would be the most important detector component, will be crucial for:
 - lepton measurements, b-tagging

- pileup jet rejection in wide kinematic and pseudo-rapidity range, etc.

• There will also be huge challenge: enormous pileup of up to $\mu = 200$

- Vital to optimize the layout to maximize tracking and vertexing

 Need to carefully re-design the Tracker



mu = 200 displays



Pileup: the additional pp collisions except the hard-scattering one



How to Design the ITk

• The basic idea is to build the whole detector, mimic the HL-LHC collision situation, implement the particle-detector interaction etc., using simulation; and use the simulated samples to look and compare the performances

Only detecting technique/sensor is good doesn't mean the built detector is good

- the shape of the sensor may not optimized; the sensor may not survive the radiation in HL-LHC; need to optimize how to arrange the sensors to minimize the material amounts; need to ensure the 4π coverage etc.

Need to tightly coordinate with engineering electrically and mechanically

- e.g. get information from them about which sensor/layouts are interested, then look; our optimized layouts may impossible in terms of engineering or cost too much ...

- write conclusions into reports/documents, to guide the building

• A very important component towards building ITk



The ITk Layout Evolution



- the Strip TDR layout, used for Strip TDR results. ~2016
- Now: converging on Pixel TDR layout, will be used for releasing the Pixel TDR.

Features a so-called "stub" layer, a short barrel layer between the 4th/5th layer of the Strip, to give robustness in the barrel to end-cap transition region
 |η|~2.7; 4 pixel + 5 strip

DES

Lol-VF (Very Forward) Layout

• Studies showed that many performances and physics at HL-LHC can benefit from larger Tracker coverage. One e.g.:



• Lol-VF:

- simple extension, $|\eta| \sim 4$

- was not optimized in terms of mechanical construction and maximum performance for a given silicon area.

Have 5 Pixel Layers

- There were strong motivations to change from 4 pixel + 5 strip \Rightarrow 5 pixel + 4 strip
 - better efficiency/fake balance at μ = 200
 - improve the performance of tracking in dense environment, etc





- For example, left plot shows τ reconstruction performance vs. pT in the 3-prong decay channel
- Better efficiency with more pixel information, especially for highly boosted case

Pixel Optimizations

• Extended vs. Inclined, both push the material of the end of barrel services and support region to large Z.

- the difference between the two are in the treatment of the forward part of the barrel layers.

- Extended use long barrel; Inclined uses rings



DES

Pixel Optimizations

• Preliminary estimation of the material budget for the two layouts

- as expected inclined has less material: the tracks are more perpendicular to the sensor



Extended has another intrinsic problem: the long clusters and the corresponding bad quality space points, make the seeding problematic.
Inclined is preferred.

Strip Description: General Idea

- A new Xml-based detector description framework has been developed
 - easy to understand and maintain

FE chips

- has highly flexibility geometry building and detector description





Strip Design: Endcap

Sensors⇒ Petals ⇒ Endcap



- Completely new endcaps
 - Complicated new sensor shape (stereo annulus).
- Petals are overlapping like turbo fans. Different sensor geometries for each petal.



The Milestone: Strip TDR Layout

- 4 pixel + 5 strip ⇒ 5 pixel + 4 strip
- Remove "stub"
- Inclined is picked up for Pixel



Baseline used for the recently released Strip TDR (Apr/2017)
 - CERN-LHCC-2017-005

DES

Various Tracking Performance Plots

- Reasonable distributions
- Demonstrate that the layout geometry implementation and the algorithms (simulation, reconstruction) are working well



DES)

Summary

- Extremely challenging situation at HL-LHC makes very hard to design the new Tracker.
- Several years' work successfully converged on the Strip TDR layout, used for the results in the Strip TDR
- Now trying to converge on Pixel TDR layout, then will be used for results for the Pixel TDR

Backup Slides



Lol-VF Layout



| May/2017 | Jike Wang | TIPP 2017 | Page 18



Look Beyond: Pixel and Strip

• To build the Inclined, two concepts are under study



• Some further studies for the Strip are also ongoing

- e.g. more investigation about the necessity and feasibility of better coverage in the barrel-endacp transition region