

# Secondary electron yield of nano-thick aluminum oxide and its application on MCP detector

Baojun Yan, Shulin Liu, Tianchi Zhao, Kaile Wen, Yuzhen Yang

Institute of High Energy Physics of CAS

05/25/2017

# Outline

- Background
- Experimental
- Results and Discussion
- Application and Challenges Forward
- Conclusions and Perspectives



How to improve the performance of microchannel plate (MCP) assembly



### Definition



Total reflective secondary electron yield ( $\sigma$ )

At low primary electron energy, the  $\sigma$  is low and gradually increase to maximum  $\sigma_m$  at optimum  $E_m$ , and then decreases slowly. For lead glass,  $\sigma_m$  is less than 2.5 at the optimum energy of 300 ~ 500 eV.

# Experimental

## A. Sample preparation

• Aluminum oxide deposited by atomic layer deposition (ALD) technique



• Substrate: N-type silicon

• Thickness: ~10 nm (100 AB cycles)

(by Spectroscopic Ellipsometry)

Microstructure: amorphous

Nanoscale Research Letters (2015) 10: 162.

Applied Surface Science 371 (2016) 118–128

(by X-ray diffraction)

Schematic illustration of ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> growth process.

#### Typical waveform for $\sigma > 1$ Pulsed electron gun Generator beam (20.0µs **⊡→**▼0.000000 s 50.0MS/s 20.0mV Ω 2 10.0mV Ω **(4)** 5 20.0mV 10k points 100mV Q 4 Mean Min Max Std Dev Value PC/Labview 55.2mV 60.5m 47.2m 99.2m Sample Amplitude 4.83m 9 May 2013 21:20:48 2.80m Amplitude 30.8mV 33.7m 26.8m 53.2m 224mV 238m collector MDO3104 - 21:46:36 2017/5/10 <10<sup>-6</sup> Pa Suppression grid ☑ Charge-integration method Oscilloscope inner grid □ Current-profile method +40V holder $\sigma = \frac{\int (I_{col.} + I_{sup.} + I_{inn.}) \cdot dt}{\int (I_{col.} + I_{sup.} + I_{inn.} \pm I_{sam.}) \cdot dt}$ <sub>6</sub> Amplifier Stepper motor

### B. Pulsed-Yield Measurement

# **Results and discussion**

### □ Aluminum oxide characterization



### □Yield vs. pulse No.

50 electron beam pulses with pulse width 20 microseconds, duration 2 seconds



No need neutralization between pulses.

### □Yield vs. primary energy

### □Yield vs. incident current (charge/pulse)



We obtain the typical  $\sigma$  curve for aluminum oxide, in good agreement with previous work. At the same primary energy, there is obvious difference in yield for two sets of incident current.

 $\Box$ Yield vs. incident angle  $\theta$ 



The yield deviate from the traditional law of monotonic increase with the incident angle.
The critical angle increases with increasing primary energy.
The region of the excited secondary electrons is distributed within a certain angle *α*.
The smaller the primary electron energy is,

the larger the angle  $\alpha$  is.

(3) With the incident angle increase, the inner secondary electrons are closer to the surface. When incident angle exceeds the critical angle  $\theta_0$ , the total yield does not rise but decreases.

# Application







Although bias voltages for coated MCP are 100 eV lower than that of uncoated MCP, the gains of the coated MCP are higher than that of uncoated one. This is due to  $\sigma_m$  is higher for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> than for the lead glass. The resolution also improves for coated MCP.



(a) single electron charge spectrum in semi-logarithmic scale; (b) single electron peak in linear scale.

# **Challenges Forward**

#### Advantages of MCP coated with high σ materials

- Reduce MCP bias voltages.
- > Improve gain, resolution and P/V.
- > Long lifetime.

#### Challenges Forward

- > Improve  $\sigma_m$  of traditional materials.
- $\succ$  Reduce the  $E_{\rm m}$  as low as possible.



# **Conclusions and Perspectives**

#### The secondary electron properties of nano-thick aluminum oxide have been studied.

- > There is no need neutralization between pulses for nano-thick sample.
- > There is obvious difference in yield for different incident current.
- > When incident angle exceeds the critical angle, the corresponding total yield does not rise but decreases.

#### The MCP assembly performance improvement through coating aluminum oxide is investigated.

- > The gain, the charge resolution and the peak-to-valley ratio of the MCP detector are significantly improved.
- > Timing and lifetime performance of coated MCP are studied on the way.

There are two possible solutions to further improve the  $\sigma_m$  with reduced  $E_m$  of SEY material.

- > Doping and surface modification for traditional material.
- > Finding alternative materials and still need to do more study.

#### References

.....

1. R.L. Puurunen. Journal of Applied Physics 97 (2005) 121301.

2. S.M. George. Chemical Reviews 110 (2010) 111-131.

3. M. Ritala, et al. Chemical Vapor Deposition 12 (2006) 655-658.

4. W.J. Maeng, et al. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 44 (2011) 445305.

5. M.D. Groner, et al. Thin Solid Films 413 (2002) 186-197.

6. J.W. Elam, et al. ECS Transactions 58 (2013) 249-261.

7. J.W. Elam, et al. Journal of Nanomaterials 2006 (2006) 1-5.

8. J.W. Elam, et al. Journal of The Electrochemical Society 150 (2003) G339.

9. T. Dhakal, et al. Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films 30 (2012) 021202.

10. O.H.W. Siegmund, et al. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section A 695 (2012) 168-171.

11. A. O'Mahony, et al. Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A 34 (2016) 01A28.

12. S.J. Jokela, et al. Physics Procedia 37 (2012) 740-747.

13. A.U. Mane. Chemical Vapor Deposition 19 (2013) 186-193.

14. W.M. Tong, et al. Applied Physics Letters 102 (2013) 252901.

15. A. Chvyreva, et al. IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation 21 (2014) 2274-2282.

16. G.F. Dionne. Journal of Applied Physics 46 (1975) 3347.

17. M.M. El-Gomati, et al. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section A 645 (2011) 68-73.

18. Z. Insepov, et al. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research Section A 639 (2011) 155-157.

Apologies for incomplete list.

#### We express our sincere gratitude to the authors.

### **Acknowledgments**









# Thank you for your attention! Q&A