## EW precision measurement at Z pole

## Zhijun Liang <br> IHEP

## Z pole physics in pre-CDR

- Some study on expected precision based on extrapolation from LEP results.
- No full simulation study yet

| Observable | LEP precision | CEPC precision | CEPC runs | $\int \mathcal{L}$ needed in CEPC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{Z}$ | 2 MeV | 0.5 MeV | $Z$ lineshape | $>150 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| $m_{W}$ | 33 MeV | 3 MeV | $Z H(W W)$ thresholds | $>100 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| $A_{F B}^{b}$ | $1.7 \%$ | $0.15 \%$ | $Z$ pole | $>150 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| $\sin ^{2} \theta_{W}^{\text {eff }}$ | $0.07 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $Z$ pole | $>150 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| $R_{b}$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $Z$ pole | $>100 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| $N_{\nu}$ (direct) | $1.7 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $Z H$ threshold | $>100 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| $N_{\nu}$ (indirect) | $0.27 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $Z$ lineshape | $>150 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| $R_{\mu}$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $Z$ pole | $>100 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |
| $R_{\tau}$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $Z$ pole | $>100 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ |

## Z pole physics: Plan for CDR

- Study Physics Requirement for accelerator
- Z mass
- Weak mixing angle
- W mass
- Requirement for detector
- Z->bb branching ratio (R_b)
- Z->cc branching ratio (R_c)


## Plan for Weak mixing angle

- More details in Mengran's talk



## Truth distribution <br> From Z fitter

## Physics Requirement for accelerator

- Expected Beam momentum scale uncertainty
- CEPC pre-CDR : 500keV ( $10^{10} \mathrm{Z}$ )
- FCC-ee : 100keV ( $10^{13}$ Z)
- Requested by FCC-ee experts to do more study
- Propagate beam momentum scale uncertainty to all EW measurement.
- Give a clear physics requirement to accelerator

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Correlations |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m_{\mathrm{Z}}$ | $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Z}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{had}}^{0}$ | $R_{\ell}^{0}$ | $A_{\mathrm{FB}}^{0, \ell}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\chi^{2} /$ dof $=172 / 180$ |  | ALEPH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m_{\mathrm{Z}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $91.1893 \pm 0.0031$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Z}}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $2.4959 \pm 0.0043$ | 0.038 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{had}}^{0}[\mathrm{nb}]$ | $41.559 \pm 0.057$ | -0.092 | -0.383 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $R_{\ell}^{0}$ | $20.729 \pm 0.039$ | 0.033 | 0.011 | 0.246 | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $A_{\mathrm{FB}}^{0, \ell}$ | $0.0173 \pm 0.0016$ | 0.071 | 0.002 | $0.001-0.076$ | 1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## W Mass measurement

- Two methods for W mass measurement
- WW threshold scan (beam momentum uncertainty)
- Requested by FCC/ILC experts at ICHEP2016
- Direct measurement in ZH runs in WW->lvjj events
- Jet energy scale/resolution uncertainty
- beam momentum uncertainty

WW threshold scan by LEP


Mij \& Mlv, Scaled


## Candidate of branch mark channel at Z pole

- Requirement on CEPC beam momentum uncertainty
- Weak mixing angle and $Z$ mass, semi-fullsim
- W Mass (threshold scan), Z fitter level study
- Requirement on TPC detector occupancy (track efficiency)
- Weak mixing angle
- Requirement on pixel detector optimization (impact parameter)
- Z->bb branching ratio ( $\mathrm{R} \_$b) , need fullsim
- Z->cc branching ratio (R_c)
- Requirement on calorimeter (Jet energy scale/resolution ) - W mass (direct method) , fullsim
- Requirement on calorimeter (granularity, taulD )
- Z-> tautau branching ratio


## Summary

- Lots of work for Z pole physics CDR study.
- Aim for publication of CEPC Z pole physics prospect in one year.
- Lots of room for contribution
- We need your contribution!

