

Physics Benchmarks

| Benchmarks | Main observables | Key performances | Status |
|-------------------|---|--|---|
| llH, H->X | Higgs recoil spectrum | Lepton Id efficiency, Tracker intrinsic momentum resolution | Well understood |
| H+X, H->di photon | Event reconstruction efficiency, Higgs invariant mass peak width | Tracker Material, Intrinsic ECAL energy Resolution | |
| ZH->4 jets, | Br(H->bb, cc, gg) | Jet clustering, PFA: Jet Energy Resolution, Jet Flavor Tagging | Studied at CEPC |
| vvH, H->di tau | Efficiency of Tau reconstruction with different tau decay mode | PFA separation, Impact parameter resolution | conceptual Detector (CEPC_v1) |
| qqH, H->invisible | Higgs recoil spectrum | PFA: Jet Energy Resolution | |
| vvH, H->WW->lvqq | Event Reconstruction Efficiency di-jet mass distribution | PFA, Simultaneous reconstruction of Lepton, Jets and Missing Energy | Studied at different Calorimeter Granularity |
| | 1 | 1 | |
| H+X, H->di muon | Event reconstruction efficiency, Higgs invariant mass peak width | Lepton Id efficiency, Tracker intrinsic momentum resolution | Studied at CEPC conceptual |
| vvH, H->2 jets | Br(H->bb, cc, gg) | Jet Energy Resolution & Flavor Tagging | Detector |
| | 1 | | |
| WW->lvqq | W mass | Jet Energy resolution & Systematic controls | Full simulation analysis not accomplished yet |

Each analysis will be repeated at different geometry, with full Higgs signal sample (and potentially WW sample)

Goal

- Toward
 - The Physics Benchmark
 - Physics + EW
 - The Detector Key Performance & Key Reconstruction Technology
 - The Key Detector Geometry Design & Parameter
 - Focus on Critical questions: potential No-Go problems
 - The Theoretical Errors
 - The CDR & TDR



Back up

Tasks ahead

- Detector Geometry Design
 - ILD-like:
 - Key parameter selections
 - Key performance
 - Key questions (Potentially No-Go)
 - Significant Beyond ILD: any good idea?
 - Systematic errors...
- Software Chain: A continuous effort of 3-5 years.
- Physics Potential
 - Higgs Mostly done. Benchmarks need be iterated at new geometry
 - EW, Z ??
 - Flavor, ??
 - ... theoretical errors Progressing



Fix Basic Geometry

- Benchmark detector geometry
 - ECAL Layout Done **HCAL** Layout ~ 2 months — **Tracker Size** _ for tracking performance Done PFA Performance ~ 2.5 months B-Field Strength \sim 1 months Integrated with a reliable MDI ??? —
- ~ half a year is needed to fix the basic geometry of the detector, with a full support from the analysis team.
- Very unfortunate, many key players will leave us soon

Detector performance



| Acceptance | $ \cos(\theta) < 0.995$ (from the inner radius of the outmost tracking disk) | |
|--|---|------------------|
| | | - |
| Tracking Efficiency | For isolated charged particle with energy $> 1 \text{GeV}$: $\sim 100\%$ | |
| Photon Reconstruction Efficiency | For isolated photon with energy > 0.5 GeV: $\sim 100\%$ | Pe |
| Tracker resolution | $\delta(1/P_T) = 2*10^{-5} (\text{GeV}^{-1})$ |] |
| ECAL intrinsic resolution | $\delta E/E = 16\%/\sqrt{E/GeV} \oplus 0.5\%$ | |
| HCAL intrinsic resolution | $\delta E/E = 60\%/\sqrt{E/GeV} \oplus 1\%$ | |
| Jet energy resolution | $\delta E/E = 4\%$ | Be |
| Typical Distance for shower separation | < 3 cm | |
| | 1 | · · - |
| Lepton identification | For charged particle with Energy >2GeV: Lepton identification | (les |
| | efficiency > 99.5%, P(hadron \rightarrow muon)~P(hadron \rightarrow electron): 1% | 5 (|
| b-tagging | At Z pole samples & eff(b \rightarrow b)) = 80%, P(uds \rightarrow b) < 1%, P(c \rightarrow b) ~ 10% | |
| c-tagging | At Z pole samples & eff($c \rightarrow c$)) = 60%, P(uds $\rightarrow c$) = 7%, P($b \rightarrow c$) = 12% |] |

Performance at full reconstruction

Benchmark separation distance < 3 cm Testing on 10 GeV Pion + 5 GeV Photon Sample)