New contribution to Single-spin Asymmetry

Hsiang-nan Li Academia Sinica, Taipei Presented at PKU July 15, 2017

Process with polarization

 Semi-inclusive deeply inelastic scattering off polarized proton



• Set $\phi_S = 90^\circ$, $\phi_h < \phi_S (\phi_h > \phi_S)$, produced hadron moves to left (right)

Single transverse spin asymmetry (SSA)

 Consider a transversely polarized proton scatter off an unpolarized proton or electron



Mechanism

• There exists correlation proportional to

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}S^{\mu}_{T}p^{\nu}_{hT}\cdots$$

- To generate such term in Feynman diagram, need $tr[\gamma_5 S_T p_{hT} \cdots] = i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} S_T^{\mu} p_{hT}^{\nu} \cdots$
- Projector for polarized proton $(p+m)\gamma_5 S_T$
- Projector for produced hadron $p_h + m_h$
- But need strong phase to make cross section real

Where is phase?

• Phase comes from on-shell internal particles

$$\frac{1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} = \frac{P}{k^2} - i\pi\delta(k^2)$$

- Need time-like final states with FSI
- No strong phase at LO and one loop



Phase at two loops

- Need two final-state particles with one gluon exchange (FSI) between them
- Nonvanishing phase appears at two loops, and comes from box diagram



Brodsky, Hwang, Schmidt 2002



Collinear to initial state

• Picking up plus signs, gluons collimate to polarized proton

$$\begin{split} l_{1,2}^{+} &\sim O(p_{2}^{+}) >> l_{1T,2T} >> l_{1,2}^{-} \\ p_{1} - l_{2} &\approx p_{1}^{+} - p_{2}^{+} &\longleftarrow \\ p_{2} - l_{1} &\approx p_{2} - l_{2} \approx p_{2}^{-} \end{split}$$
 collinear

- Phase goes into Sivers function
- FSI gluon is soft

Sivers function

Sivers 1990

 Eikonalize outgoing quark and insert Fierz identity $(\gamma^{-})_{ik}$ $I_{ij}I_{lk} = \frac{1}{4}I_{ik}I_{lj} + \frac{1}{4}(\gamma^{\alpha})_{ik}(\gamma_{\alpha})_{lj}$ $+\frac{1}{4}(\gamma^5\gamma^{\alpha})_{ik}(\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma^5)_{lj}+\frac{1}{4}(\gamma^5)_{ik}(\gamma^5)_{lj}$ $(\gamma^+)_{l\,i}$ $+\frac{1}{8}(\gamma^5\sigma^{\alpha\beta})_{ik}(\sigma_{\alpha\beta}\gamma^5)_{lj}$ give dominant pick up $p = p^+ \gamma^-$ (twist-2) contribution

Parton transverse momentum

• Sivers function demands inclusion of parton transverse momentum



• This correlation determines preferred direction of k_T for polarized proton , which then propagates into p_h

Spin-transverse-momentum correlation $f_{q/p\uparrow}(x,k_T,\overrightarrow{S_T}) = f_{q/p}(x,k_T) - \frac{1}{M} f_{1T}^{\perp q}(x,k_T) \overrightarrow{S_T} \cdot (\hat{p}_h \times k_T)$ Unpolarized proton Transversely-polarized proton u PDF u Sivers 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.5 ky 0.0 ky 0.0 0.5 0.5 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.5 0.0 1.0 0.5 0.0 1.0 1.0 0.5 1.0 k_x k_x

produced hadron tends to move to right

Collinear to final state

• Picking up minus signs, gluons collimate to produced hadron

$$l_{1,2}^- \sim O(p_2^-) >> l_{1T,2T} >> l_{1,2}^+$$
 collinear
 $p_2 - l_1 \sim O(p_2^-), \quad p_2 - l_2 \sim O(p_2^-) <$

Phase goes into Collins fragmentation function

Collins 1993

Collins function

- Eikonalize incoming quark and insert Fierz identity
- $\gamma_5 \sigma^{-\gamma}$ dominates
- Collins function demands inclusion of parton k_T
- LO hard kernel demands projector for initial state











Mechanism

- Transversity distribution for polarized proton determines preferred direction of quark spin
- This polarized quark scattered into final state
- Collins function then determines direction of produced hadron preferred by polarized quark fragmentation
- Without preferred direction of quark spin from initial state, Collins function cannot work



Twist-2 TMDs

see J.P. Chen's talk

 $\Phi^{[\gamma^+]} = f_1 - \frac{\epsilon_T^{\rho\sigma} p_{T\rho} S_{T\sigma}}{M} (f_{1T}^{\perp}),$ $\Phi^{[\gamma^+\gamma_5]} = S_L g_{1L} - \frac{p_T \cdot S_T}{M} g_{1T},$ Sivers function
$$\begin{split} \Phi^{[i\sigma^{\alpha+}\gamma_{5}]} &= S_{T}^{\alpha}(h_{1}) + S_{L} \frac{p_{T}^{\alpha}}{M} h_{1L}^{\perp} \\ \text{transversity} \qquad - \frac{p_{T}^{\alpha} p_{T}^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} p_{T}^{2} g_{T}^{\alpha\rho}}{M^{2}} S_{T\rho} h_{1T}^{\perp} - \frac{\epsilon_{T}^{\alpha\rho} p_{T\rho}}{M} h_{1}^{\perp} \end{split}$$
in the case of FFs, Boer, Mulders 1997 Goeke, Meta, Schlegel 2005 it is Collins function Bacchetta et al., 2007

Phase in hard kernel

- For other sign combinations, or arbitrary transverse momenta
- phase appears in hard kernel

- How to extract this phase?
- Use $\gamma_5 \gamma^{\perp}$
- A new contribution to SSA

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Twist-3\ TMDs} \\ \Phi^{[i\gamma_5]} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[S_L e_L - \frac{p_T \cdot S_T}{M} e_T \bigg], \qquad \Phi^{[1]} = \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[e - \frac{\epsilon_T^{\rho\sigma} p_{T\rho} S_{T\sigma}}{M} e_T^{\perp} \bigg] \\ \Phi^{[\gamma^{\alpha}]} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[- \epsilon_T^{\alpha\rho} S_{T\rho} f_T - S_L \frac{\epsilon_T^{\alpha\rho} p_{T\rho}}{M} f_L^{\perp} \\ &\quad - \frac{p_T^{\alpha} p_T^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} p_T^2 g_T^{\alpha\rho}}{M^2} \epsilon_{T\rho\sigma} S_T^{\sigma} f_T^{\perp} + \frac{p_T^{\alpha}}{M} f^{\perp} \bigg] \\ \Phi^{[\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma_5]} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[S_T^{\alpha} g_T + S_L \frac{p_T^{\alpha}}{M} g_L^{\perp} \\ &\quad - \frac{p_T^{\alpha} p_T^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} p_T^2 g_T^{\alpha\rho}}{M^2} S_{T\rho} g_T^{\perp} - \frac{\epsilon_T^{\alpha\rho} p_{T\rho}}{M} g^{\perp} \bigg] \\ \Phi^{[i\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_5]} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[\frac{S_T^{\alpha} p_T^{\beta} - p_T^{\alpha} S_T^{\beta}}{M} h_T^{\perp} - \epsilon_T^{\alpha\beta} h \bigg], \\ \Phi^{[i\sigma^{+-}\gamma_5]} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[S_L h_L - \frac{p_T \cdot S_T}{M} h_T \bigg], \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Boer, Mulders 1997} \\ \text{Goeke, Meta, and Schlegel 2005} \\ \text{Bacchetta et al., 2007} \end{array}$$

Factorization of new contribution

- Unpolarized twist-2 fragmentation function
- Polarized quark scattered into preferred direction of produced hadron

$$tr[\gamma_5\gamma^{y}p_{hT}\gamma^{+}\gamma^{-}\cdots]=i\varepsilon_{yx+-}p_{hT}^{x}\cdots$$

• 2-parton twist-3 TMD g_T defined for polarized proton







Lesson learned

- Both Sivers and Collins functions contribute starting from LO hard kernel
- If allowed to go to higher orders of hard kernel, other projectors can be used
- Though higher orders, COMPASS data Q ~ few GeV, hard kernel effect may be sizable
- Hard kernel is process-dependent
- Rich phenomenology!

At 3 loops

- At 3 loops, we can have 2-loop TMD for polarized proton and 1-loop hard kernel
- In addition to Sivers function, can use γ^x to extract phase in initial state in this case
- 2-parton twist-3 TMD f_T defined
- Another new contribution



$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Twist-3 TMDs} \\ \Phi^{[i\gamma_5]} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[S_L e_L - \frac{p_T \cdot S_T}{M} e_T \bigg], \qquad \Phi^{[1]} = \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[e - \frac{e_T^{\rho\sigma} p_{T\rho} S_{T\sigma}}{M} e_T^{\perp} \bigg] \\ \Phi^{[\gamma^{\alpha}]} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[- \frac{e_T^{\alpha\rho} S_{T\rho} f_T}{M} - S_L \frac{e_T^{\alpha\rho} p_{T\rho}}{M} f_L^{\perp} \\ &- \frac{p_T^{\alpha} p_T^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} p_T^2 g_T^{\alpha\rho}}{M^2} e_{T\rho\sigma} S_T^{\sigma} f_T^{\perp} + \frac{p_T^{\alpha}}{M} f^{\perp} \bigg] \\ \Phi^{[\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma_5]} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[S_T^{\alpha} g_T + S_L \frac{p_T^{\alpha}}{M} g_L^{\perp} \\ &- \frac{p_T^{\alpha} p_T^{\rho} - \frac{1}{2} p_T^2 g_T^{\alpha\rho}}{M^2} S_{T\rho} g_T^{\perp} - \frac{e_T^{\alpha\rho} p_{T\rho}}{M} g^{\perp} \bigg] \\ \Phi^{[i\sigma^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_5]} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[\frac{S_T^{\alpha} p_T^{\beta} - p_T^{\alpha} S_T^{\beta}}{M} h_T^{\perp} - e_T^{\alpha\beta} h \bigg], \\ \Phi^{[i\sigma^{+-\gamma_5]}} &= \frac{M}{P^+} \bigg[S_L h_L - \frac{p_T \cdot S_T}{M} h_T \bigg], \end{aligned}$$

2-parton twist-3

 A contribution to SSA at 2-parton twist-3, with 1-loop hard kernel

$$d\sigma = f_T \otimes H^{(1)}_{\gamma^x,\gamma^+} \otimes D_1$$

- f_T proportional to S_T^y , 1-loop hard kernel to k_T^x
- k_T^x flows into produced hadron, giving rise to p_h^x with probability described by twist-2 FF D_1
- Compared to Sivers and Collins functions, this $S_T^y P_h^x$ correlation is perturbative

3-parton twist-3

 New contribution is of the same order as 3parton twist-3 (Qiu-Sterman) one in, for example, Drell-Yan

 $\phi_{i/A}^{(3)}(x,x') \otimes \phi_{j/B}(y) \otimes D_{h/c}(z) \otimes H_{ij \to c}^{(A)}(x,x',y,z)$ $+\phi_{i/A}(x) \otimes \phi_{j/B}^{(3)}(y,y') \otimes D_{h/c}(z) \otimes H_{ij \to c}^{(B)}(x,y,y',z)$ $+\phi_{i/A}(x) \otimes \phi_{j/B}(y) \otimes D_{h/c}^{(3)}(z,z') \otimes H_{ij \to c}^{(C)}(x,y,z,z')$

New contribution deserves study

Qiu, Sterman 1991 Kouvaris, Qiu, Vogelsang, Yuan 2006 Yuan, Zhou 2008 Kang, Qiu, Vogelsang, Yuan 2008

Questions to you

- Is my observation correct?
- Is SSA more complicated than we though?
- If yes, how to do subleading analysis?
- What's impact on extraction of Sivers, Collins,...?
- Is sign flip between SIDIS and DY exact?
- Can twist-3 effect be revealed by precise measurement of SSA?
- Is sign mismatch really serious?
- Other spin-dependent observables?

Up to twist-3 NLO

• Up to 2-parton twist-3, 1-loop in hard kernel, SSA is given by

$$d\sigma = f_{1T}^{\perp} \otimes H_{\gamma^{-},\gamma^{+}}^{(0)} \otimes D_{1} + h_{1} \otimes H_{\gamma_{5}\sigma^{x-},\gamma_{5}\sigma^{x+}}^{(0)} \otimes H_{1}^{\perp}$$

+
$$f_{1T}^{\perp} \otimes H_{\gamma^{-},\gamma^{y}}^{(1)} \otimes D^{\perp} + g_{1T} \otimes H_{\gamma_{5}\gamma^{-},\gamma_{5}\gamma^{x}}^{(1)} \otimes G^{\perp}$$

+
$$e_{T} \otimes H_{\gamma_{5},\gamma_{5}\sigma^{x+}}^{(1)} \otimes H_{1}^{\perp} + f_{T} \otimes H_{\gamma^{y},\gamma^{+}}^{(1)} \otimes D_{1}$$

+
$$h_{T}^{\perp} \otimes H_{\gamma_{5}\sigma^{xy},\gamma_{5}\sigma^{x+}}^{(1)} \otimes H_{1}^{\perp} + h_{T} \otimes H_{\gamma_{5}\sigma^{-+},\gamma_{5}\sigma^{x+}}^{(1)} \otimes H_{1}^{\perp}$$

More terms up to NNLO

Sign change of Sivers function



Sign-mismatch problem

• No sign flip was seen in $p^{\uparrow}p \rightarrow \pi + X$



• Now there are other twist-3 contributions...

Kang, Qiu, Vogelsang, Yuan 2011

Back-up slides

Twist-2 TMDs



Twist-3 TMDs

	$e(x,k_{\perp}), f^{\perp}(x,k_{\perp})$	number density
* - ?	$e_T^{\perp}(x,k_{\perp}),$ $f_T^{\perp 1}(x,k_{\perp}), f_T^{\perp 2}(x,k_{\perp})$	Sivers function
	$e_L(x,k_\perp), g_L^\perp(x,k_\perp)$	helicity distribution
i - i	$e_T(x,k_\perp), \\ g_T(x,k_\perp), g_T^\perp(x,k_\perp)$	Worm gear: trans-helicity
	$h(x,k_{\perp})$	Boer-Mulders function
👌 - 👌	$h_T^{\perp}(x,k_{\perp})$	transversity distribution
-	$h_T(x,k_\perp)$	pretzelocity
? → - ? →	$h_L(x,k_\perp)$	Worm gear: longi-transversity
●→ ■ ● →	$f_L^{\perp}(x,k_{\perp})$	
😑 🗕 😔	$g^{\perp}(x,k_{\perp})$	



Strong phase in DY

- Strong phase appears in box diagram
- As gluons collimate to polarized proton, strong phase goes into Sivers function
- Eikonalize another incoming quark and insert Fierz identity
- Only difference from SIDIS is the sign of antiquark in DY

$$\operatorname{Im} \frac{p_h - l}{(p_h - l)^2 + i\varepsilon} \propto -\delta(l^+)$$

$$\operatorname{Im}\frac{-(\overline{p}+l)}{(\overline{p}+l)^2+i\varepsilon} \propto \delta(l^+)$$

p



Sivers Asymmetry in Drell-Yan: Hint of Sign Change!

