B5: Exotic States from Lattice QCD

Ying Chen, Marcus Petschlies, Carsten Urbach

HISKP, University of Bonn Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics, European Twisted Mass Collaboration

CRC110 General Assembly, 8/2017

- Principal Investigators: Ying Chen Carsten Urbach
- Students:

Yunheng Ma Max Oehm Fernando Romero López Martin Ueding Marcus Werner Wei Sun

- Associate Professor: Zhaofeng Liu
- Post-Docs: Marcus Petschlies Liuming Liu Bartosz Kostrzewa
- External Collaborator: Bernard Metsch

ρ Meson Properties



- here: ρ meson phase shift for different lattice irreps
- analysis in progress

• two-particle operator for I = 0 (with $\Gamma = \gamma_5, 1$):

$$\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{I=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{+} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{-} + \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{-} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{+} + \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{0} \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma}^{0}) \,, \quad \text{e.g.} \quad \mathcal{O}_{\gamma_{5}}^{\pm,0} \equiv \pi^{\pm,0}$$



- in the elastic region sufficient to determine δE from $C_{\pi\pi}$!
- $a_0^{I=0}$ in principle from same analysis as for I=2

- Twisted Mass Lattice QCD explicitly breaks isospin symmetry at finite lattice spacing values
- \Rightarrow cannot project to states with I = 0

see also [Buchoff et al., (2009)]

- way out \Rightarrow valence action with explicit isospin symmetry
- con: have to deal with lattice artefacts from unitarity violations here: mixing with lower lying states (due to vacuum diagram)
- use different discretisation with reduced isospin splitting
- apply generalized eigenvalue problem (GEVP) to identify state of interest [Michael and Teasdale (1983); Lüscher and Wolff (1990)]

$\pi-\pi$ Scattering with I=0

- much more difficult due to disconnected contributions
- I = 0 channel with the σ resonance
- weakly attractive interaction
- only one lattice spacing value



• we obtain (see arXiv:1701.08961)

$$M_{\pi}a_0^{\rm I=0} = 0.198(9)_{\rm stat}(6)_{\rm sys}$$

• compare to NA48-2 result $M_{\pi}a_0^{I=0} = 0.220(3)(2)$

[NA48-2, (2010)]

Lessons learned from I = 0 investigation:

- in principle possible with twisted mass
- but very hard to control systematic uncertainties
- and very hard to compute the phase shift

We decided to generate new gauge configurations, requiring

- physical point possible at $a \approx 0.1$ fm
- parameters known from the literature
- simulation software available and tested
- no isospin breaking
- not too expensive to simulate

- $\Rightarrow~$ Wilson-clover with $6\times$ stout smearing with $N_f=2+1$ $_{\rm [BMW (2013)]}$
 - can be simulated using chroma
 - simulation parameters available in the literature [BMW, (2013)]
 - we have slightly re-tuned the strange quark mass
 - use extra-long time extend to avoid unwanted pollution
 - currently running $M_{\pi} \approx 270$ MeV with L/a = 24 and L/a = 32 with T/a = 96

- first I = 0 result on new ensemble
- limited statistics
- single volume
- compared to twisted-clover result



- \Rightarrow on a good track
 - optimistic to deliver the milestones with this action!

+ U(1) axial anomaly thought to be responsible for large η' mass

$$\partial^{\mu}J^{q}_{\mu 5} = 2m_{q}(\bar{q}i\gamma_{5}q) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi}F\tilde{F}$$

- tightly connected to topology
- results at physical pion mass missing so far
- · how do errors scale towards the physical point?
- $N_f = 2$ technically easier: no mixing of $\eta \eta'$
- Glueball spectrum? Mixing of η' with Glueballs?
- \Rightarrow see the talk of Wei Sun

The η' in $N_f = 2$ QCD at the physical point



• with $r_0 = 0.4907(5)$ fm we arrive at

$$M_{\eta_2}^{N_f=2} = 768(24) \text{ MeV}$$

[B. Kostrzewa+, in preparation]

The Pion electromagnetic form factor at the physical point

1.0

0.9

07

0.6

0.000

0.050

Electromagnetic pion form factor

$$F_{\pi}((p-p')^{2})(p+p')_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 \\ \langle \pi^{+}(p') | V_{\mu}(0) | \pi^{+}(p) \rangle & \underset{D^{k}}{\overset{\circ}{\bigcirc}} & 0.8 \end{pmatrix}$$

- two volumes at physical point
- additional ensembles at $M_{\pi} = 250 \text{ MeV}$ and $M_{\pi} = 340 \text{ MeV}$
- two volumes each



$$r_{\pi}^2 = 0.443 \,(29) \, \mathrm{fm}^2$$

[B. Kostrzewa+, in preparation]

compare
$$(r_{\pi}^{exp})^2 = 0.452(11) \text{ fm}^2$$

[PDG]





- motivation: Higgs a bound state of W bosons?
- derived formalism for scattering of vector particles in finite volume [see also: Briceno, (2014)]
- test in toy model: scalar QED
- allows variation of interaction strength



- motivation: Higgs a bound state of W bosons?
- derived formalism for scattering of vector particles in finite volume [see also: Briceno, (2014)]
- test in toy model: scalar QED
- allows variation of interaction strength
- \Rightarrow energy shift can be determined



QPhiX: Towards New Architectures



- ⇒ significant speedup compared to standard kernel
- \Rightarrow speedup of 1.6 in our gauge configuration generation

Milestones

- 2016:
 - Spectrum of 1⁺⁺ charmonium states on ensemble A40.24
 - Calculation of pion form factors as test of generalised perambulators
- 2017:
 - Spectrum of 1^{++} charmonium states and X(3872)
 - Exploratory study on the radiative transitions between charmonium and X(3872) using distillation
 - The study of J/ψ radiatively decaying into the $s\bar{s}$ tensor meson
 - ρ meson properties on $N_f = 2$ physical point ensemble \checkmark
 - *a*₀, ... change of strategy, instead:
 - \Rightarrow Generate new gauge configurations first to avoid isospin breaking \checkmark