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## Background



Measuring angular coefficients of high pT Z boson leptonic decays Z boson pT balanced by jets $\longrightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ boson pT balanced by missing energy

## Recent expermental results on the angular coefficients of $Z$

 boson leptonic decays at the thic

$q_{T}$
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{T}}$



$q_{T}$

## Nice agreement!

CMS: Phys. Lett. B 750 (2015) 154

## ATLAS: JHEP08(2016)159

## Order in $Q C D$

$0^{\text {th }}$ A4 only, from qquar->Z
$1^{\text {st }} A 0-A 4$,
Lam-Tung relation: $\mathrm{A} 0=\mathrm{A} 2$
$2^{\text {nd }}$ A0-A7, all appear
Comparing the measured angular coefficients and the parton level predictions (L.O.)
> The Z boson e/mu decays have very clean signatures
$>$ QCD corrections to angular coefficients are very small

Angular coefficients in the Collins-Soper frame

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi} & =\left(\int \mathrm{d} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi}\right) \frac{3}{16 \pi} \\
& \left\{\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)+\frac{1}{2} A_{0}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)+A_{1} \sin 2 \theta \cos \phi\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} A_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos 2 \phi+A_{3} \sin \theta \cos \phi+A_{4} \cos \theta\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Nice agreement!

> The Z boson e/mu decays have very clean signatures
$>$ QCD corrections to angular coefficients are very small

Angular coefficients in the Collins-Soper frame
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\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi} & =\left(\int \mathrm{d} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi}\right) \frac{3}{16 \pi} \\
& \left\{\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)+\frac{1}{2} A_{0}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)+A_{1} \sin 2 \theta \cos \phi\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} A_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos 2 \phi+A_{3} \sin \theta \cos \phi+A_{4} \cos \theta\right\}
\end{aligned}
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## Parametrization of the lepton angular distribution

Measuring angular coefficients of high pT Z boson leptonic decays Z boson pT balanced by jets $\longrightarrow \mathrm{Z}$ boson pT balanced by missing energy

We parametrize the phase space such that the visible part is $\mathbf{x}=\left(y_{Z}, q_{\mathrm{T}}, \cos \theta_{C S}, \phi_{C S}\right)$ and the invisible part is ( $y_{\mathrm{Y}}, s_{\mathrm{Y}}, \cos \theta_{\chi}, \phi_{\chi}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{4}\left(k_{l}, k_{l}, k_{\chi}, k_{\bar{\chi}}\right)=\int \frac{\mathrm{d} s_{Z}}{2 \pi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{X}}}{2 \pi} \int \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(p_{\mathrm{Y}}, p_{\mathrm{Z}}\right) \mathrm{d} \Phi_{2}\left(k_{l}, k_{l}\right) \mathrm{d} \Phi_{2}\left(k_{\chi}, k_{\bar{\chi}}\right), \\
& \int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(p_{\mathrm{Y}}, p_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3} p_{\mathrm{Z}}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 p_{\mathrm{Z}}^{0}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} p_{\mathrm{Y}}}{(2 \pi)^{3} 2 p_{\mathrm{Y}}^{0}}(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{\mathrm{Z}}-p_{\mathrm{Y}}\right), \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi s} \int \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{Y}} q_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot q_{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \delta\left(x_{1}-\frac{x_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Z}}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{y \mathrm{Z}}-\frac{x_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Y}}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{y \mathrm{Y}}\right) \delta\left(x_{2}-\frac{x_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Z}}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-y \mathrm{Z}}-\frac{x_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Y}}}{2} \mathrm{e}^{-y \mathrm{Y}}\right) \\
& \int \mathrm{d} \Phi_{2}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \bar{\beta}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{1}^{2}}{s_{12}}, \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{2}^{2}}{s_{12}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} \cos \theta}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{2 \pi}, \\
& \bar{\beta}(a, b)=\sqrt{\lambda(1, a, b)}=\sqrt{1+a^{2}+b^{2}-2 a-2 b-2 a b} . \\
& x_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Z}}=\frac{2 \sqrt{s_{\mathrm{Z}}+q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{s}}, x_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Y}}=\frac{2 \sqrt{s_{\mathrm{Y}}+q_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}}{\sqrt{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$x_{1}, x_{2}$ fixed through delta functions


- The z-axis is defined as the bisector of the angle $\theta_{12}$ between $p_{1}$ and $-p_{2}$.

- $\tan \frac{\theta_{12}}{2}=\frac{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{T}}}{\sqrt{{ }_{\mathrm{S}}}}, \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{T}} \equiv\left|\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}\right|:$
- $\theta_{12}$ independent of longitudinal boost
- Minimize the impact of incoming quark transverse momentum
- Rotate around the x -axis by $\pi$ for events with $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{Z}}<0$ :
- Avoid possible dilutions by the initial states swapped processes
- Angular coefficients have symmetric $y_{z}$ distributions


## We consider the Z boson decay as a probe of the underlying

 production structure with a narrow width approximation.$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{2}\left(k_{\chi}, k_{\bar{\chi}}\right) \mathrm{d} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{P}}{\mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~d} \Phi_{2}\left(k_{\chi}, k_{\bar{\chi}}\right)} \cdot \operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right) \cdot 3 \sum_{s, s^{\prime}} \rho_{s s^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{P}} \rho_{s s^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{D}}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Tr} \rho^{\mathrm{P}}=\int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathrm{d} \Phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(p_{\mathrm{Y}}, p_{\mathrm{Z}}\right) \mathrm{d} \Phi_{2}\left(k_{\chi}, k_{\bar{\chi}}\right) \sum_{a, b} f_{a}\left(x_{1}, \mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right) f_{b}\left(x_{2}, \mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \frac{1}{2 \hat{s}} \overline{\sum_{\mathrm{ext}}} \sum_{s}\left|\mathcal{M}_{s}\right|^{2}
$$

$$
\rho_{s s^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{Tr} \rho^{\mathrm{P}}} \int_{\mathcal{R}} \mathrm{d} \Phi_{2}^{\prime}\left(p_{\mathrm{Y}}, p_{\mathrm{Z}}\right) \mathrm{d} \Phi_{2}\left(k_{\chi}, k_{\bar{\chi}}\right) \sum_{a, b} f_{a}\left(x_{1}, \mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right) f_{b}\left(x_{2}, \mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \frac{1}{2 \hat{s}} \sum_{\mathrm{ext}} \mathcal{M}_{s} \mathcal{M}_{s^{\prime}}^{*}
$$ coefficients

$\rho_{s s^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{P}, C S}=\sum_{\alpha, \beta} d_{\alpha s}^{J=1}(-\omega) d_{\beta s^{\prime}}^{J=1}(-\omega) \rho_{\alpha \beta}^{\mathrm{P}, H E L}$
$\cos \omega=\frac{2 \sqrt{\tau_{\mathrm{Z}}} \sinh y_{\mathrm{Z}}}{\sqrt{x_{\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{Z}}^{2} \cosh ^{2} y_{\mathrm{Z}}-4 \tau_{\mathrm{Z}}}}$,

- Analytic implementation (ALOHA generated HELAS subroutines): allows application of matrix element method (MEM).
- All evaluated angular coefficients checked with toy measurements based on MadGraph5 generated events.

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sin ^{2} \theta_{W}$ | 0.23129 |
| $1 / \alpha$ | 127.95 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{Z}}$ | 91.1876 GeV |
| $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Z}}$ | 2.4952 GeV |
| $\alpha_{S}$ | $0.13(\mathrm{NNPDF} 23)$ |
| $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{W}}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Z}} \cos \theta_{W}$ |
| $\operatorname{Br}(\mathrm{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{ll}), \mathrm{l}=\mathrm{e}, \mu$ | $6.73 \%$ |
| $\mu_{F}$ | $E_{T}=\sqrt{s_{Z}+q_{T}^{2}}$ |

We will show $y_{Z}-q_{T}$ distributions of $A_{0-4}$ in different scenarios

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\frac{\mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} s_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{cos} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi}{}}=\left(\int \mathrm{d} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} q_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~d} y_{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} s \mathrm{Z} \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi}\right) \frac{3}{16 \pi} \\
&\left\{\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)+\frac{1}{2} A_{0}\left(1-3 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)+A_{1} \sin 2 \theta \cos \phi\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2} A_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \cos 2 \phi+A_{3} \sin \theta \cos \phi+A_{4} \cos \theta\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ingular coefficients with dark sector models

ㅁ SMI ZZ $\rightarrow 21$ 2v background
$\square$ Spin-0 mediator
ㅁ Spin-1 mediator

- Spin-2 mediator


## Anguiar coefifionts with darl sector models

## Spin-0 models

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{S M E W}^{Y_{0}}= & \frac{1}{\Lambda} g_{h 3}^{S}\left(D^{\mu} \phi\right)^{\dagger}\left(D_{\mu} \phi\right) Y_{0} \\
& +\frac{1}{\Lambda} B_{\mu \nu}\left(g_{B}^{S} B^{\mu \nu}+g_{B}^{P} \tilde{B}^{\mu \nu}\right) Y_{0}+\frac{1}{\Lambda} W_{\mu \nu}^{i}\left(g_{W}^{S} W^{i, \mu \nu}+g_{W}^{P} \tilde{W}^{i, \mu \nu}\right) Y_{0}, \\
\mathcal{L}_{X}^{Y_{0}}= & \mathrm{m}_{\chi C} g_{X_{C}}^{S} \chi_{C}^{*} \chi_{C} Y_{0}+\bar{\chi}_{D}\left(g_{X_{D}}^{S}+i g_{X_{D}}^{P} \gamma_{5}\right) \chi_{D} Y_{0},
\end{aligned}
$$



| Benchmark | $\mathrm{S} 0_{a}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{b}$ | $\mathrm{~S} 0_{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g_{X_{D}}^{S}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $g_{X_{D}}^{P}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $g_{X_{C}}^{S}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $g_{W}^{S}$ | 0.25 | 0 | 0 |
| $g_{W}^{P}$ | 0 | 0.25 | 0 |
| $g_{h 3}^{S}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $\Lambda(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| Interaction | CP-even | CP -odd | CP -even |
| $\mathrm{m}_{\chi}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{Y}_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| $\Gamma_{Y_{0}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 41.4 | 41.4 | 1.05 |
| Cross section $(\mathrm{fb})$ | 0.0103 | 0.00977 | $2.98 \mathrm{e}-08$ |

- JHEP 02 (2016) 082
- Report of the ATLAS/CMS Dark Matter Forum, 1507.00966
- Eur. Phys. J. C77 (2017) 326


## Spin-2 models

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{X}^{Y_{2}} & =-\frac{1}{\Lambda} g_{X}^{T} T_{\mu \nu}^{X} Y_{2}^{\mu \nu} \\
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}}^{Y_{2}} & =-\frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{i} g_{i}^{T} T_{\mu \nu}^{i} Y_{2}^{\mu \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Spin-1 models

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{X_{D}}^{Y_{1}}=\bar{\chi}_{D} \gamma_{\mu}\left(g_{X_{D}}^{V}+g_{X_{D}}^{A} \gamma_{5}\right) \chi_{D} Y_{1}^{\mu} \\
& \mathcal{L}_{S M}^{Y_{1}}=\bar{d}_{i}\left(g_{d_{i j}}^{V}+g_{d_{i j}}^{A} \gamma_{5}\right) d_{j} Y_{1}^{\mu}+\bar{u}_{i}\left(g_{u_{i j}}^{V}+g_{u_{i j}}^{A} \gamma_{5}\right) u_{j} Y_{1}^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$



| Benchmark | $\mathrm{S} 1_{a}$ <br> Spin independent | $\mathrm{S} 1_{b}$ <br> Right handed | $\mathrm{S} 1_{c}$ <br> Left handed | $\mathrm{SM}(\mathrm{ZZ} \rightarrow 2 l 2 \nu)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g_{X_{D}}^{V}$ | 1 | $1 / \sqrt{2}$ | $1 / \sqrt{2}$ | - |
| $g_{X_{D}}^{A}$ | 0 | $1 / \sqrt{2}$ | $-1 / \sqrt{2}$ | - |
| $g_{X_{C}}^{V_{D}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |
| $g_{u}^{V}$ | 0.25 | $\sqrt{2} / 8$ | $\sqrt{2} / 8$ | - |
| $g_{u}^{A}$ | 0 | $\sqrt{2} / 8$ | $-\sqrt{2} / 8$ | - |
| $g_{d}^{V}$ | 0.25 | $\sqrt{2} / 8$ | $\sqrt{2} / 8$ | - |
| $g_{d}^{A}$ | 0 | $\sqrt{2} / 8$ | $-\sqrt{2} / 8$ | - |
| $\mathrm{m}_{\chi}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | - |
| $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Y}_{1}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | - |
| $\Gamma_{Y_{1}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 56.3 | 55.9 | 55.9 | - |
| Cross section $(\mathrm{fb})$ | 2.50 | 0.533 | 4.50 | 239 |


| Benchmark | $\mathrm{S} 2_{a}$ | $\mathrm{~S} 2_{b}$ | $\mathrm{~S} 2_{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g_{X_{D}}^{T}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $g_{X_{R}}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $g_{X_{V}}^{T}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $g_{S M}^{T}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{\chi}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{Y}_{2}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| $\Lambda$ | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| $\Gamma_{Y_{2}}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 95.3 | 93.7 | 97.7 |
| Cross section $(\mathrm{fb})$ | 2.73 | 0.0462 | 0.578 |

$y_{Z}-q_{T}$ differential cross section


## A0 in the $y_{Z}-q_{T}$ plane



## Al in the $y_{Z}-q_{T}$ plane



## Distributions look similar <br> Exception: Al in $\mathrm{SOc}=0$

## A2 in the $y_{Z}-q_{T}$ plane



Sensitive to spin-0 models
Spin-2 signature similar but different from the one of the spin-1 model

## A3 in the $y_{Z}-q_{T}$ plane



A3, A4: Sensitive to the left- and right- handed couplings

## A4 in the $y_{Z}-q_{T}$ plane

SM ZZ $\boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{2 1 2 v}$
Spin-0 mediator (a-c)


A3, A4: Sensitive to the left- and right- handed couplings

Visible part:

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(y_{\mathrm{Z}}, q_{\mathrm{T}}, \cos \theta_{C S}, \phi_{C S}\right)
$$

Invisible part (integrated): $\quad\left(y_{\mathrm{Y}}, s_{\mathrm{Y}}, \cos \theta_{\chi}, \phi_{\chi}\right)$

## Setting limits on the coupling strength parameters

- Benchmark scenarios S0a, SOb, S0c
$\square$ Benchmark scenarios Sla, Slb, Slc

We exploit a dynamically constructed matrix element based
likelihood function to set limits on the coupling strength parameters:

$$
\rho\left(\mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{vis}} \mid \lambda\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma_{\lambda}} \sum_{a, b} \int \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \mathrm{~d} x_{2} f_{a}\left(x_{1}, \mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right) f_{b}\left(x_{2}, \mu_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \int \mathrm{d} \Phi \frac{\mathrm{~d} \hat{\sigma}}{\mathrm{~d} \Phi} \prod_{i \in \mathrm{vis}} \delta\left(\mathbf{p}_{i}-\mathbf{p}_{i}^{v i s}\right)
$$

Visible part:
Invisible part (integrated): $\quad\left(y_{\mathrm{Y}}, s_{\mathrm{Y}}, \cos \theta_{\chi}, \phi_{\chi}\right)$

An unbinned likelihood fit is performed to extract limit
$\lambda$ scales couplings of the dark mediator to the dark matter and the SM particles at the same time
$\mathcal{L}($ data $\mid \lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta})=\operatorname{Poisson}(N \mid S(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta})+B(\boldsymbol{\theta})) \rho(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_{i} \rho\left(\mathbf{x}^{i} \mid \lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta}\right)$,

$$
\rho(\mathbf{x} \mid \lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta})=\frac{S(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \rho_{s}\left(\mathbf{x}^{i}, \lambda\right)+B(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rho_{b}\left(\mathbf{x}^{i}\right)}{S(\lambda, \boldsymbol{\theta})+B(\boldsymbol{\theta})}
$$

Evaluate test statistics in the large sample limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{\lambda}=-2 \ln \frac{\mathcal{L}\left(\text { data } \mid \lambda, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\lambda}\right)}{\mathcal{L}(\text { data } \mid \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})} \\
& t_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty}-2 \ln \frac{\operatorname{Poisson}(N \mid S(\lambda)+B)}{\text { Poisson }(N \mid B)}+2 N \int \operatorname{d} \mathbf{x} \rho(\mathbf{x} \mid \lambda=0) \ln \frac{\rho(\mathbf{x} \mid \lambda=0)}{\rho(\mathbf{x} \mid \lambda)} \\
&=-2 \ln \frac{\text { Poisson }(N \mid S(\lambda)+B)}{\text { Poisson }(N \mid B)}+2 N \cdot D(\rho(\mathbf{x} \mid \lambda=0) \| \rho(\mathbf{x} \mid \lambda)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dual integration

- Integrate over the invisible part
- Evaluate the KL divergence term


## Background modeling and

event selections

Consider the same selections as in the 13 TeV CMS measurement:

Distributions distorted by selections. Shown for background only hypothesis JHEP 03 (2017) 061

Selections implemented in numerical integration (BL-selections):

| Variable | Requirements |
| :---: | :---: |
| $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{l}$ | $>20 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $s_{\mathrm{Z}}$ | NWA |
| $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ | $>80 \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $\left\|\eta_{l}\right\|$ | $<2.4$ |
| $\Delta R_{l l}$ | $>0.4$ |
| $\left\|y_{\mathrm{Z}}\right\|$ | $<2.5$ |


$A_{2}$ in the Collins-Soper frame


Other selection effects are included through an ancillary $A \cdot \epsilon$ factor.
Event rate corresponds to 13 TeV LHC with $150 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$ data.

|  | Process |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix Element | Cross section with BL-selections (fb) | Ancillary $A \cdot \epsilon$ | Events |  |
|  | $\mathrm{ZZ} \rightarrow 2 l 2 \nu$ | 27.7 | 0.488 | 2028 |
| Phase space | Non-resonant-ll | $1.57 \times 10^{3}$ | $5.80 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1370 |
| Matrix Element | $\mathrm{WZ}(\rightarrow e \nu 2 l)$ | 17.05 | 0.296 | 757 |
| Matrix Element | $\mathrm{Z} / \gamma^{*} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$ | $3.61 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.23 \times 10^{-4}$ | 665 |

## Seting linitis on the coupting stiength paraneters

Upper limits on the coupling strength parameters of the SO benchmark scenarios.


Upper limits on the coupling strength parameters of the SO benchmark scenarios.


. Simplified dark sector models with scalar, vector, and tensor mediators have different signatures in the distribution of AO-A4.
I Angular coefficients can be used to distinguish different scenarios of the spin- 0 and spin- 1 models, including the ones with P - and CP -odd operators.

- Shape differences provide significant improvements in the limits, especially for the scalar mediator models.
- Example Matrix Element Kinetic Discriminator results available in a new version of the paper.
- W boson leptonic decay channel

