Electroweak SUSY search with ditau

<u>Chenzheng Zhu</u>, Huajie Cheng, Yang Liu, Da Xu, Feng Lyu, Mhamad Kassem, Xuai Zhuang, Shan Jin

CLHCP2017, Nanjing

22-24, Dec, 2017



国科学院高能物理研究所

Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences

Introduction



- Supersymmetry: one of the most appealing BSM theories
 - Solve problems such as hierarchy problem, grand unification of gauge couplings, dark matter...
- If SUSY is at TeV scale, it will be produced copiously at LHC
- SUSY search is one of the most hot topics at LHC and beyond

Overview

Movitation

If colored sparticles much heavier than EW

partners, EWK production may dominant at LHC



- Light stau models are consistent with current dark matter cosmological observations
- There is no sensitivity in direct stau at LHC yet, so we only consider charginos and neutralinos productions via stau decay
- **Model:** Two simplified models of $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ production are considered in this work
- Signature: two or more hadronic taus, low jet activity and large MET
- **Data:** 13TeV pp collision data recorded by ATLAS Detector in 2015 and 2016 with integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb^{-1}

Object selection

• Event: At least one reconstructed primary vertex with at least two associated charged-particle tracks with $p_T > 400 \text{ MeV}$

• Object:

| Electrons | Baseline Signal | | Muons | Baseline | Signal |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| $p_{\rm T}$ η -acceptance quality isolation tracking cuts | $p_{\rm T} > 10 {\rm GeV}$ $ \eta_{\rm cluster} < 2.47$ LooseLH | $p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{GeV}$ $ \eta_{\rm cluster} < 2.47$ TightLH GradientLoose $ d_0/\sigma(d_0) < 5$ $ z_0 \sin(\theta) < 0.5 \text{mm}$ | $P_{\rm T}$ η -acceptance quality isolation tracking cuts | $p_{\rm T} > 10 {\rm GeV}$ $ \eta < 2.7$ xAOD::Muon::Medium | $p_{\rm T} > 25 {\rm GeV}$ $ \eta < 2.7$ xAOD::Muon::Medium GradientLoose $ d_0/\sigma(d_0) < 3$ $ z_0 \sin(\theta) < 0.5 {\rm mm}$ |
| Taus | | Baseline | Jets | Baseline | <i>b</i> -jets |
| PT η-acceptance n-prongs quality/jet BDT WP electron OR | $p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm GeV}$ $ \eta < 2.5$ $1 {\rm or} 3$ medium yes | | Collection p_{T} η -acceptance JVT cut b-tag | AntiKt4EMTopo $p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm GeV}$ $ \eta < 2.8$ $> 0.59 ({\rm or} p_{\rm T} > 60 {\rm GeV})$ | AntiKt4EMTopo $p_{\rm T} > 20 {\rm GeV}$ $ \eta < 2.5$ $> 0.59 ({\rm or} p_{\rm T} > 60 {\rm GeV})$ MV2c10 @ 77 % OP |

The overlap removal for each objects is using SUSY group default recommendation

Signal region definition

- Preselection:
 - Event cleaning
 - At least two taus with opposite sign
 - Pass asymmetric di-tau trigger or di-tau + MET trigger
 - $M\tau\tau > 12GeV$
- Two SRs: SR-lowMass and SR-highMass are designed to improve the sensitivity of signal models with for different mass difference between the $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$

| SR-lowMass | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------|
| At least one opp | osite-sign tau pair | | | |
| b-jet | veto | | | |
| Z-ve | eto | | | |
| At least two medium tau candidates | | | | |
| $m(\tau_1, \tau_2) > 110 \text{ GeV}$ | | | | Mainly use this variable |
| $m_{\rm T2} > 70 {\rm ~GeV}$ | m _{T2} | > 90 GeV | | to discriminate the signal |
| Di-tau+ $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger | di-tau+ $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger | asymmetric di-tau | trigger | and background in SR! |
| $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 150 {\rm ~GeV}$ | $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 150 {\rm GeV}$ | $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 110 {\rm G}$ | eV | |
| $p_{T,\tau_1} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ | $p_{T,\tau_1} > 80 \text{ GeV}$ | $p_{T,\tau_1} > 95 \text{ Ge}$ | eV | |
| $p_{T,\tau_2} > 40 \text{ GeV}$ | $p_{T,\tau_2} > 40 \text{ GeV}$ | $p_{T,\tau_2} > 65 \text{ Ge}$ | eV | 5 |

Background estimation

- Reducible backgrounds: (>= 1 fake tau)
 - Multi-jet background: Using Data-driven ABCD method
 - W+jets: Using a dedicated control region (W-CR) to normalize it to data

- Irreducible background estimation
 - mainly from $t\bar{t}$, single top quark, $t\bar{t}$ +V, Z+jets, and diboson (WW, WZ and ZZ)
 - Estimated based on MC simulation
 - Using a dedicated validation region to validate the MC production

multi-jet estimation

- Using ABCD Method
 - Four exclusive regions, labelled as A, B, C, and D are defined in a two-dimensional plane as a function of two (or more) uncorrelated discriminating variables
 - Multi-jet in $SR_D = A * TF$ while TF = C/B
 - Two sets of validation regions (VR), are defined to verify the extrapolation of the ABCD estimation to the SRs and estimate the systematic uncertainty



7

multi-jet estimation - result

- Good data/SM agreement for E_T^{miss} and m_{T2} !
- the signal contamination in CR has been considered in the final combined fit

| SR | Sample | CR-B | CR-C | CR-A | T = C/B | Multi-jet in SR-D |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|
| | Data | 556 | 674 | 8 | | |
| | Z+jets | 3.4 ± 2.1 | 19 ± 5 | 0.8 ± 0.4 | 1 | |
| | W+jets | 8.9 ± 1.8 | 20 ± 5 | 1.8 ± 1.0 | 1 | |
| lowMass | Diboson | 0.94 ± 0.12 | 3.3 ± 0.2 | 0.29 ± 0.07 | 1.16 | 4.3 |
| | Тор | 1.61 ± 0.30 | 4.7 ± 0.5 | 1.4 ± 1.1 | ± 0.07 | ± 4.0 |
| | Multi-jet | 541 ± 24 | 627 ± 27 | 3.7 ± 1.6 | 1 | |
| | Reference point 1 | 0.06 ± 0.01 | 0.16 ± 0.02 | 1.68 ± 0.16 | | |
| | Data | 1565 | 836 | 5 | | |
| highMass | Z+jets | 56 ± 31 | 93 ± 42 | 0.02 ± 0.29 | | |
| | W+jets | 151 ± 22 | 125 ± 17 | 1.1 ± 0.4 | | |
| | Diboson | 9.6 ± 1.1 | 20.5 ± 2.0 | 0.8 ± 0.4 | 0.43 | 1.3 |
| | Тор | 9.2 ± 1.5 | 25.4 ± 3.4 | 0.01 ± 0.01 | ± 0.04 | ± 1.1 |
| | Multi-jet | 1340 ± 50 | 570 ± 50 | 3.1 ± 0.6 | | |
| | Reference point 2 | 0.53 ± 0.08 | 237 ± 0.21 | 1.92 ± 0.16 | 1 | |





The E_T^{miss} and m_{T2} distributions in the VR-F lowmass(top) and VR-F highmass (bottom) regions 8

W+jet estimation

• Definition:

| W-CR | W-VR | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| One isolated muon and one medium tau lepton with opposite sign | | | | |
| <i>b</i> -jet veto | | | | |
| $m(\mu, \tau) > 70 \text{ GeV}$ | | | | |
| $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 60 { m ~GeV}$ | | | | |
| $50 < m_{T,\mu}$ | < 150 GeV | | | |
| $m_{T,\mu} + m_{T,\tau} > 80 \text{ GeV}$ | | | | |
| $0.5 < \Delta R(\mu, \tau) < 3.5$ $0.5 < \Delta R(\mu, \tau) < 4.5$ | | | | |
| $10 < m_{T2} < 60 \text{ GeV}$ | $m_{\rm T2} > 60 {\rm GeV}$ | | | |

- High W+jets purity
- The signal contamination in the W-CR and W-VR is negligible
- Agreement between data and SM predictions is observed



The E_T^{miss} and m_{T2} distributions in the W-CR (top) and W-VR (bottom) regions

Irreducible background estimation

 Use Z-VR, Top-VR, WW-VR and ZZ-VR to validate them





- High background purity in their validation region
- Agreement between data and SM predictions is observed

Systematic uncertainty

- Main sources
 - Experimental:
 - Mainly from tau lepton identification, pile-up, object energy scale resolution
 - Theoretical
 - Mainly from the choice of QCD renormalisation scale and resummation scale, theory uncertainty in background production
 - Uncertainties in ABCD Method
- The relative systematic uncertainty (%) for background in SR is:

| Source of systematic uncertainty | SR-lowMass | SR-highMass |
|---|------------|-------------|
| Normalisation uncertainties of the multi-jet background | 32 | 32 |
| Statistical uncertainty of MC samples | 18 | 24 |
| Multi-jet estimation | 14 | 13 |
| Pile-up reweighting | 8 | 8 |
| Jet energy scale and resolution | 11 | 4 |
| Tau identification and energy scale | 6 | 8 |
| $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ soft-term resolution and scale | 2 | 6 |
| Total | 40 | 38 |

• The total uncertainty in the signal yield for SUSY reference point is about 20% and is mainly from the experimental uncertainty (15%)

Results in Signal Region

- Dominant backgrounds are diboson and multi-jet
- No excess observed in signal regions

| SM process | SR-lowMass | SR-highMass |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Diboson | 5.9 ± 2.2 | 1.0 ± 0.8 |
| W+jets | 1.8 ± 1.1 | 0.7 ± 0.5 |
| Top quark | 1.2 ± 1.0 | $0.03^{+0.26}_{-0.03}$ |
| Z+jets | $0.6^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ | 0.6 ± 0.5 |
| Multi-jet | 4.3 ± 4.0 | 1.3 ± 1.1 |
| SM total | 14 ± 6 | 3.7 ± 1.4 |
| Observed | 10 | 5 |
| Reference point 1 | 11.8 ± 2.8 | 11.6 ± 2.6 |
| Reference point 2 | 11.4 ± 2.6 | 10.0 ± 2.1 |
| <i>p</i> ₀ | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Expected $\sigma_{\rm vis}^{95}$ [fb] | $0.31^{+0.12}_{-0.08}$ | $0.17^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$ |
| Observed $\sigma_{\rm vis}^{95}$ [fb] | 0.26 | 0.20 |



The 95% Confidence level exclusion limit



- C1C1: Chargino masses up to 630 GeV are excluded for a massless LSP
- C1N2+C1C1: Chargino/neutralino masses up to 760 GeV are excluded for a massless LSP 13

Summary and Outlook

- Summary
 - The electroweak SUSY search with at least two hadronically decaying taus in the final state is performed with 2015+2016 data 36.1 fb^{-1}
 - No excess beyond the SM expectation in all signal regions
 - Limits on the chargino/neutralino are significantly extended compared with previous study
 - Paper links at <u>arXiv:1708.07875</u>
- Outlook
 - direct stau: Aiming for 2018 spring/summer conf note, first LHC sensitivity
 - Gaugino with taus: Will update with full run2 data

Summary of Ewk Production



- Powerful exclusions in decays via sleptons and staus (C1/N2 up to 0.6-1.1 TeV)
- Exclusion limits is not so large in decays via bosons



Samples

- Signal grids
 - The charginos and neutralinos decay through intermediate staus with 100% BR to final states containing tau leptons
 - The only free parameters of the considered models are the masses of the SUSY particles
- Data
 - 2015 data(3.19 fb^{-1}) + 2016 data(32.91 fb^{-1})
- MC event generating:
 - W+jets, Z+jets: Shepra 2.2.0 and 2.2.1
 - Diboson: Sherpa 2.2.1 (2.1.1 for with one of the bosons decaying hadronically and the other leptonically)
 - ttV: MadGraphPythia8EvtGen
 - Top: PowhegPythiaEvtGen
 - Signal: MadGraphPythia8EvtGen
- Analysis Release
 - SUSYTools-00-08-55 and AnalysisBase 2.4.28

Crossections



Crossections in pb for $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ production(left), $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ (upper right)and $\tilde{\chi}_1^- \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ production (lower right). The applied grid points(circle) is also shown here



Trigger

- 2015 data:
 - ditau-trigger: HLT_tau35_medium1_tracktwo_tau25_medium1_tracktwo
 - single muon trigger(Tau-Muon channel): HLT_mu20_iloose_L1MU15
- 2016 data:
 - di-tau + MET trigger: HLT_tau35_medium1_tracktwo_tau25_medium1_tracktwo_xe50
 - asymmetric di-tau trigger : HLT_tau80_medium1_tracktwo_L1TAU60_tau50_medium1_tracktwo_L1TAU12
 - single muon trigger: HLT_mu26_imedium
- To ensure that only events in the plateau region of the trigger are selected, additional requirements are applied

| Trigger leg | HLT | Offline |
|-----------------------------------|-----|---------|
| leading tau $p_{\rm T}$ [GeV] | 35 | 50 |
| 2nd leading tau $p_{\rm T}$ [GeV] | 25 | 40 |
| $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV] | 50 | 150 |
| leading tau $p_{\rm T}$ [GeV] | 80 | 95 |
| 2nd leading tau $p_{\rm T}$ [GeV] | 50 | 65 |

Variables Definition

• the "stransverse mass", m_{T2} , which can be shown to have a kinematic endpoint for events where two massive pair produced particles each decay to two objects, one of which is detected (the lepton in our case) and the other escapes undetected (the neutralino) [16, 17]. It is defined as:

$$m_{\mathrm{T2}} = \min_{\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}} \left[\max \left(m_{\mathrm{T},\tau 1}(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T},\tau 1},\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}), m_{\mathrm{T},\tau 2}(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T},\tau 2},\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} - \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}) \right) \right],$$

where \mathbf{p}_{T,τ_1} and \mathbf{p}_{T,τ_2} are the transverse momenta of the two taus, and \mathbf{q}_T is the transverse vector that minimises the larger of the two transverse masses m_{T,τ_1} and m_{T,τ_2} . The latter is defined by

$$m_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}},\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}}) = \sqrt{2(p_{\mathrm{T}}q_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{T}}\cdot\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{T}})}.$$

In events with more than two taus, m_{T2} is calculated using all possible tau pairs and the largest value is chosen (the reason for this choice can be found in Section H.4);

- $m_{T\tau 1} + m_{T\tau 2}$, the sum of the transverse mass values of the leading and next-to-leading taus;
- $m_{\rm eff}$, the scalar sum of the missing transverse energy ($E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$) and the transverse momenta of the leading and next-to-leading taus;
- $\Delta R(\tau, \tau)$, the cone size between the leading and next-to-leading tau. An upper cut on this variable is powerful to discriminate against back-to-back events such as di-jets or Z decays.

Distributions after pre-selection











(c) $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$

(d) $m_{T\tau 1} + m_{T\tau 2}$

Distributions after pre-selection











SR Definition

- Using $Z_n = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{erf}^{-1}(1-2p)$ where $p \propto \int_0^\infty db \, G(b; N_b, \delta b) \sum_{i=N-1}^\infty \frac{e^{-b} b^i}{i!}$
- Requirement:
 - integrated luminosity: 36.5 fb-1
 -- systematic uncertainty in the sensitivity calculation: 30%
 - the signal statistical uncertainty is not taken into account
 - Total background statistical uncertainty < 35% and same constraint for diboson background
 - the yields for W+jets background and Z+jets background must be great than 0
 - the total background yield must be greater than 2
 - only MC backgrounds are considered

| \mathbf{O} | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Cut Step: | variables | cut values |
| | $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} >$ | 80, 90, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150 |
| | $m_{T2} >$ | 0, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140 |
| | $m_{T\tau 1} + m_{T\tau 2} >$ | 0, 200, 220, 240, 260, 280, 300, 320, 340, 360 |
| | $m_{\rm eff}$ > | 0, 200, 220, 240, 260, 280, 300, 320 |
| | $\Delta R(\tau, \tau) <$ | 2.6, 2.8, 3.0, 3.2, 3.4, 3.6, 6 (no cut) |
| | $\tau_1 p_{\rm T} >$ | 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100 |
| | $	au_2 p_{ m T} >$ | 40, 45, 50 |
| | jet-veto | yes, no |
| | tau quality | 2 medium taus, 1 medium 1 tight taus, 2 tight taus |
| | baseline electron and muon veto | yes, no |
| | Cut Step: | Cut Step:variables $E_T^{miss} >$ $m_{T2} >$ $m_{T1} + m_{T\tau 2} >$ $m_{eff} >$ $\Delta R(\tau, \tau) <$ $\tau_1 p_T >$ $\tau_2 p_T >$ jet-vetotau qualitybaseline electron and muon veto |

Event yields in the W-CR and W-VR

| Sample | W-CR | W-VR |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Data | 1928 | 1023 |
| SM total | 1930 ± 50 | 1260 ± 440 |
| W+jets | 1395 ± 130 | 980 ± 410 |
| Z+jets | 60 ± 28 | 39 ± 15 |
| Diboson | 125 ± 24 | 78 ± 20 |
| Top quark | 290 ± 80 | 170 ± 60 |
| Multi-jet | 60 ± 60 | 0 ± 100 |
| Reference point 1 | 0.22 ± 0.07 | 0.44 ± 0.08 |
| Reference point 2 | 0.33 ± 0.08 | 0.87 ± 0.11 |

- High W+jets purity
- Agreement between data and SM predictions is observed
- The signal contamination in the W-CR and W-VR is negligible



Left is the limit for point $(m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}; \tilde{\chi}_2^0) = 250 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 100 \text{ GeV})$ and right is which for point $(m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}; \tilde{\chi}_2^0) = 600 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 0)$. The top is for $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ pure production and the bottom is for $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ combined production

Cutlow

| Sample | C1N2 | CICI | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Cuts | (600, 0) GeV | (600, 0) GeV | W+jets | Z+jets | diboson | top |
| \geq 1 Medium τ , Trigger & offline cuts | 32.8 ± 0.626 | 20.8 ± 0.535 | 642 ± 73.1 | $2.94 \times 10^3 \pm 171$ | 153 ± 3.6 | 545 ± 13.2 |
| $M(\tau 1, \tau 2) > 12 \text{ GeV}$ | 32.8 ± 0.626 | 20.8 ± 0.535 | 642 ± 73.1 | $2.94 \times 10^{3} \pm 171$ | 153 ± 3.6 | 545 ± 13.2 |
| OS | 23.4 ± 0.529 | 20.6 ± 0.532 | 532 ± 67.2 | $2.85\times10^3\pm170$ | 132 ± 3.35 | 487 ± 12.5 |
| B-veto | 22 ± 0.511 | 20 ± 0.523 | 504 ± 67 | $2.62 \times 10^3 \pm 167$ | 120 ± 3.21 | 85.8 ± 5.1 |
| Z-veto | 21 ± 0.499 | 19.3 ± 0.515 | 497 ± 67 | $2.02 \times 10^3 \pm 166$ | 96.9 ± 3.05 | 82.4 ± 5.01 |
| $m_{T2} > 30 \text{ GeV}$ | 17.5 ± 0.455 | 16.9 ± 0.481 | 97.8 ± 15.4 | 94.9 ± 14.9 | 26.4 ± 1.78 | 22.3 ± 2.63 |
| SR-DS: $E_T^{miss} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ | 17 ± 0.448 | 16.6 ± 0.476 | 63.6 ± 12.5 | 24.8 ± 7.02 | 20.9 ± 1.58 | 19.1 ± 2.43 |
| jet100 Veto | 10.8 ± 0.352 | 13.6 ± 0.426 | 46.8 ± 11.9 | -0.221 ± 6.61 | 10.1 ± 1.39 | 8.71 ± 1.64 |
| $\tau p_{\rm T} > 90,40 {\rm GeV}$ | 10.6 ± 0.35 | 13.3 ± 0.423 | 45.8 ± 11.9 | -0.221 ± 6.61 | 9.22 ± 1.36 | 8.36 ± 1.6 |
| $M(\tau 1, \tau 2) > 100 \text{ GeV}$ | 10.3 ± 0.344 | 12.6 ± 0.411 | 47 ± 11.8 | 0.079 ± 6.57 | 6.77 ± 1.2 | 8.03 ± 1.57 |
| == 2 Tight τ | 4.14 ± 0.217 | 7.67 ± 0.321 | 8.11 ± 3.5 | -7.07 ± 5.8 | 2.94 ± 0.76 | 4.23 ± 1.12 |
| deltaRtt < 3.4 | 4.07 ± 0.215 | 7.51 ± 0.317 | 6.03 ± 3.3 | -5.64 ± 5.62 | 2.66 ± 0.709 | 2.6 ± 0.86 |
| $m_{T\tau 1} + m_{T\tau 2} > 280 \text{ GeV}$ | 3.98 ± 0.213 | 7.31 ± 0.313 | 2.8 ± 1.77 | 0.338 ± 0.286 | 1.5 ± 0.645 | 1.3 ± 0.563 |
| $m_{T\tau 1} + m_{T\tau 2} > 320 \text{ GeV}$ | 3.8 ± 0.208 | 6.93 ± 0.305 | 3.19 ± 1.49 | 0.293 ± 0.283 | 0.417 ± 0.448 | 0.54 ± 0.354 |
| SR-C1C1: 1T1M τ | 16.9 ± 0.447 | 16.1 ± 0.469 | 85.7 ± 14 | 98.9 ± 12.5 | 24.9 ± 1.71 | 20.9 ± 2.56 |
| e mu veto | 12.2 ± 0.38 | 16.1 ± 0.469 | 84 ± 13.9 | 96.7 ± 12.4 | 21 ± 1.67 | 19.2 ± 2.44 |
| $\tau p_{\rm T} > 80,40 { m GeV}$ | 12 ± 0.377 | 15.8 ± 0.465 | 80.9 ± 13.9 | 95.5 ± 12.4 | 18.7 ± 1.64 | 16.8 ± 2.28 |
| $M(\tau 1, \tau 2) > 100 \text{ GeV}$ | 11.7 ± 0.373 | 14.8 ± 0.449 | 81.3 ± 13.8 | 34.9 ± 11.5 | 13.5 ± 1.46 | 16.1 ± 2.25 |
| $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 110 {\rm ~GeV}$ | 9.81 ± 0.342 | 12.4 ± 0.412 | 10.7 ± 2.71 | 2.45 ± 1.05 | 4 ± 0.909 | 7.42 ± 1.53 |
| $m_{T2} > 90 \text{ GeV}$ | 8.42 ± 0.316 | 10.3 ± 0.375 | 0.282 ± 0.168 | 0.605 ± 0.452 | 1.24 ± 0.412 | 0.0336 ± 0.0305 |
| SR-C1N2: 2T τ | 12.4 ± 0.384 | 10.4 ± 0.377 | 23.3 ± 6.32 | 65.1 ± 11.6 | 15.8 ± 1.3 | 10.6 ± 1.8 |
| $\tau p_{\rm T} > 80,40 {\rm GeV}$ | 12.3 ± 0.381 | 10.3 ± 0.375 | 22.8 ± 6.24 | 64.3 ± 11.6 | 13.9 ± 1.26 | 8.63 ± 1.62 |
| $M(\tau 1, \tau 2) > 70 \text{ GeV}$ | 12 ± 0.377 | 9.89 ± 0.368 | 22.8 ± 6.24 | 51.7 ± 11.5 | 9.67 ± 1.13 | 8.57 ± 1.62 |
| $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 80 {\rm ~GeV}$ | 11.1 ± 0.362 | 9.15 ± 0.354 | 4.59 ± 2.24 | 3.74 ± 1.02 | 5.39 ± 0.842 | 3.66 ± 1.05 |
| $m_{T2} > 90 \text{ GeV}$ | 9.12 ± 0.328 | 7.28 ± 0.316 | 0.214 ± 0.108 | 0.535 ± 0.308 | 1.25 ± 0.431 | 0.0336 ± 0.0305 |

CMS Result

- Differences
 - The decay channel of the two tau are $e\tau_H$, $\mu\tau_H$, and $e\mu$ instead of $\tau_H\tau_H$
 - Since there is some change in the background contribution, the definition of SR and the background estimation are not the same
 - Defined many search bins in 0/1 jet catagory



Expected and observed limits for neutralino-chargino production with decay with different x(0.95, 0.5 and 0.05). No mass point can be excluded for direct stau