Charmonium(-like) states at Belle

10th particle physics workshop of China



Yu-Bo Li

Peking University



2018.06.20

Shanghai Jiao Tong University

Outline

Belle Experiment

Introduction

•New results of Belle

Summary

Belle Experiment

KEKB: world highest luminosity e+e- collider



World record: L = 2.1 x 10³⁴/cm²/sec



Belle Experiment



Charmonium production:

- B decay ~711 fb⁻¹ Y(4S)
- Bottomonium decay
- Double charmonium production

Charmonium(-like) states

- cc bound states described well with potential models below
 DD threshold.
- Several unpredicted states have been reported above DD threshold: XYZ ?
- To understand their nature, it is necessary to study their production processes along with their decay channels.



PRD.32.189

 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow XYZ + Anythings$

PRD 93, 112013 (2016)

• XYZ states always decay into a charmonium state such as J/ψ or $\psi(2S)$ and light hadrons.

- $\mathfrak{B}(\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow J/\psi(\psi(2S)) + anythings) \sim 10^{-4}$
- World largest $102 \times 10^6 \Upsilon(1S)$ sample in Belle
- Reconstruct J/ψ or $\psi(2S)$ firstly, then combine them with K or π to search: X(3872),

Y(4260), Y(4360), Y(4660), Y(4140), Y(4350), Zc(3900),Zc(4200), Zc(4050), Zc(4430)



$\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow XYZ + Anythings$



 $\Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow XYZ + Anythings$

 $\mathfrak{B}_{R}^{prod} = \mathfrak{B}(\Upsilon(1S) \to XYZ + anythings)\mathfrak{B}(ZYX \to J/\psi(\psi(2S)) + hadrons)$

State	$N_{ m fit}$	$N_{ m up}$	$\varepsilon(\%)$	$\sigma_{ m syst}(\%)$	$\Sigma(\sigma)$	${\cal B}_R^{ m prod}$
$X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	4.8 ± 15.4	31.4	3.26	18.7	0.3	$< 9.5 imes 10^{-6}$
$Y(4260) \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	-31.1 ± 88.9	134.6	3.50	35.6	_	$< 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$
$Y(4260) \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi(2S)$	6.7 ± 29.4	56.9	0.71	35.0	0.2	$< 7.9 \times 10^{-5}$
$Y(4360) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$	$-25.4{\pm}30.1$	45.6	0.86	50.0	_	$< 5.2 \times 10^{-5}$
$Y(4660) \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \psi(2S)$	-55.0 ± 26.2	23.1	1.06	40.7	_	$< 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$
$Y(4260) \rightarrow K^+ K^- J/\psi$	-13.7 ± 10.9	14.5	1.91	45.8	_	$< 7.5 imes 10^{-6}$
$Y(4140) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi$	$-0.1{\pm}1.2$	3.6	0.69	11.0	_	$< 5.2 \times 10^{-6}$
$X(4350) \rightarrow \phi J/\psi$	2.3 ± 2.5	7.6	0.92	10.4	1.2	$< 8.1 \times 10^{-6}$
$Z_c(3900)^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} J/\psi$	-26.5 ± 39.1	57.5	4.39	47.3	_	$<1.3\times10^{-5}$
$Z_c(4200)^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} J/\psi$	-238.6 ± 154.2	235.1	3.87	48.4	—	$< 6.0 \times 10^{-5}$
$Z_c(4430)^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} J/\psi$	94.2 ± 71.4	195.8	3.97	34.4	1.2	$< 4.9 \times 10^{-5}$
$Z_c(4050)^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\psi(2S)$	37.0 ± 47.7	112.7	1.27	46.2	0.4	$< 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$
$Z_c(4430)^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\psi(2S)$	23.2 ± 42.4	92.0	1.35	47.1	0.1	$< 6.7 imes 10^{-5}$
$Z_{cs}^{\pm} ightarrow K^{\pm} J/\psi$	-22.2 ± 17.4	22.4	3.88	48.7	_	$< 5.7 \times 10^{-6}$

 $\rightarrow X_{C\bar{C}}K^+$

PRD 97, 012005 (2018)

- X(3872) : Admixture of a molecular state and $\chi_{c1}(2P)$? **Need** $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to X(3872)K^+)$ **to confirm** PLB.702.359 (2011) • World largest $772 \times 10^6 B\overline{B}$ pairs sample in Belle • $X_{c\bar{c}} = \eta_c, J/\psi, \chi_{c0}, \chi_{c1}, \eta_c(2S), \psi(2S), \psi(3770)$
 - X(3872), X(3915)
- Neural network based hierarchical hadronic full reconstruction algorithm applied to tag a B meson.





 $B^+ \rightarrow X_{c\bar{c}}K^+$

Mode	Yield	Significance (σ)	$\epsilon(10^{-3})$	$\mathcal{B}(10^{-4})$	World average for $\mathcal{B}(10^{-4})$ [10]
η_c	2590 ± 180	14.2	2.73 ± 0.02	$12.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.7$	9.6 ± 1.1
J/ψ	1860 ± 140	13.7	2.65 ± 0.02	$8.9\pm0.6\pm0.5$	10.26 ± 0.031
χ_{c0}	430 ± 190	2.2	2.67 ± 0.02	$2.0 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.1 \ (< 3.3)$	$1.50\substack{+0.15 \\ -0.14}$
χ_{c1}	1230 ± 180	6.8	2.68 ± 0.02	$5.8\pm0.9\pm0.5$	4.79 ± 0.23
$\eta_c(2S)$	1050 ± 240	4.1	2.77 ± 0.02	$4.8\pm1.1\pm0.3$	3.4 ± 1.8
$\psi(2S)$	1410 ± 210	6.6	2.79 ± 0.02	$6.4\pm1.0\pm0.4$	6.26 ± 0.24
$\psi(3770)$	-40 ± 310	-	2.76 ± 0.02	$-0.2 \pm 1.4 \pm 0.0 \ (< 2.3)$	4.9 ± 1.3
X(3872)	260 ± 230	1.1	2.79 ± 0.01	$1.2 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.1 \ (< 2.6)$	(< 3.2)
X(3915)	80 ± 350	0.3	2.79 ± 0.01	$0.4 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.0 \ (< 2.8)$	-

Need more data or more advanced algorithm Belle2
Full Event Interpretation (FEI)

$e^+e^- \to J/\psi D\overline{D}$ prd 95 112003 (2017)

• X(3915): find in its decay into $\omega J/\psi$, $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$

- > Was identified as $\chi_{c0}(2P)$.
- Strong decay $\chi_{c0}(2P) \rightarrow D\overline{D}$ is expected to be the dominant decay($\Gamma \gtrsim 100 MeV$). PRD 86, 091501 (2012), PRD 69, 094019 (2004)
- **But not observed for X(3915)** ($\Gamma = 20 \pm 5 MeV$)
- $\succ \chi_{c0}(2P) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi$ is OZI suppressed
- Where is $\chi_{c0}(2P)$? => double-charmonium production in association with the J/ψ (C = +1)



 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow D\overline{D}$

$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D\overline{D}$

PRD 95 112003 (2017)

Reconstruct:

 $\begin{array}{l} \searrow & J/\psi \to \ell \ell \\ & \searrow & \text{one } D^0 \to K^- \pi^+, \ K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^-, \ K^- \pi^+ \pi^0, \ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \\ & \text{or } D^+ \to K_s^0 \pi^+, \ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+, \ K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^0, \ K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \\ & K_s^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \\ & \searrow & \text{require } J/\psi D \text{ recoil mass in D region} \end{array}$

 \succ mass constraints fit for J/ψ , D

Multivariate analysis used: MLP mode



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi DD$

PRD 95 112003 (2017)

 $X^*(3860)$ Or $\chi_{c0}(2P)$??

PWA of the data, blue lines are fit with X*



$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi D\overline{D}$



Mass: 3862⁺²⁶⁺⁴⁰₋₃₂₋₁₃ MeV width: 201⁺¹⁵⁴⁺⁸⁸₋₆₇₋₈₂ MeV

PRD 95 112003 (2017)

 $X^*(3860) \text{ Or } \chi_{c0}(2P)$??

 $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ favored over the 2⁺⁺ hypothesis at the level of 2.5 σ

	$\chi_{c0}(3860)$	0+(0++)
•	χ _{e1} (3872) aka X (3872)	0+(1++)
•	Z _c (3900) was X(3900)	$1^+(1^{+-})$
•	$X(3915)$ was $\chi_{c0}(3915)$	0 ⁺ (0 or 2 ⁺⁺)

Angular analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}D$ (*)±**D***+

- $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons)$ measured past are model-dependent with large uncertainties.
- Vector Charmonium state (ψ 's) above open charm threshold are not fully understood



Belle and BaBar results agree with each other Statistics is too low to study the structure of the cross sections More accuracy of cross section measurements measure separately cross sections for all 3 possible $D^{(*)\pm}D^{*\mp}$ helicity combination

PRD 97, 012002 (2018)

Angular analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} D^{(*)\pm} D^{*\mp}$

 e^+

 \mathbf{D}^*

D

Method

 $951 fb^{-1}$ data

- Partial reconstruction
- Reconstruct \mathbf{D}^* , γ_{ISR} and $\pi_{\mathbf{slow}}$
- $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{D}^{(*)+}\mathbf{D}^{*-}) \equiv \mathbf{M}_{\mathsf{recoil}}(\gamma_{\mathsf{ISR}})$



 $\mathbf{M}_{\mathsf{recoil}}(\gamma_{\mathsf{ISR}}) = \sqrt{(\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{c.m.}}^2 - 2\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{c.m.}} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{\mathbf{ISR}}})}$

 $\mathbf{D}^{(*)}$ $\pi_{\rm slow}$ **D**⁰ decay channels: **1** $K^{-}\pi^{+}$ **2** K^-K^+ 3 $K^{-}\pi^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$ • $K_{S}^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ **5** $K^-\pi^+\pi^0$ **6** $K_S^0 K^+ K^ K_{S}^{0} \pi^{0}$ **8** $K^-K^+\pi^-\pi^+$ $M_{S}^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$

PRD 97, 012002 (2018)

Angular analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}D^{(*)\pm}D^{*\mp}$

PRD 97, 012002 (2018)



Angular analysis of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+D^{*-}$

- Study D^* helicity angle distribution in each bin of $M(D^+D^{*-})$
- D^* are transversely polarized \implies Check method

$$4.05 < M(D^+D^{*-}) < 4.3 \text{GeV}/c^2$$





Angular analysis of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}D^{*-}$

- Study of the D^* helicity angle distribution in each bin of $M(D^{*+}D^{*-})$
- Helicity composition of the $D^{*+}D^{*-}$ final state:

$$\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{T}}^{*+}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{T}}^{*-}$$
 , $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{T}}^{*+}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*-}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*+}\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{L}}^{*-}$

- $D_{\mathrm{T}}^* \equiv \text{transversely polarized } D^*$ meson
- $D_{\rm L}^* \equiv \text{longitudinally polarized } D^* \text{ meson}$





- not trivial too fit the cross section because of the threshold and coupledchannels effects
- ➤ Only TL Helicity of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}D^{*\pm}D^{*\mp}$ have the component at higher energy







 $\blacklozenge \Upsilon(1S) \rightarrow XYZ + Anythings$ No XYZ was found $\blacklozenge B^+ \rightarrow X_{C\bar{C}}K^+$ No X(3872) signal $\oint e^+e^- \rightarrow I/\psi D\overline{D}$ observation of $X^*(3860)$ Or $\chi_{c0}(2P)$ ♦ Angular analysis of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}D^{(*)\pm}D^{*\mp}$ **Helicity-dependent structure?**



Backup

charmonium: post B-factory era



Backup





If X(3915) $\neq \chi'_{co}$, what is it?

 $X(3915) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi$ violates OZI-rule unless it's a 4-quark state

Mass is near $2m_{Ds}$ threshold: M(X(3915)) = $2m_{Ds}$ -18 MeV

X(3915)→ $D\overline{D}$ decays are suppressed: $\Gamma(X(3915)\rightarrow D\overline{D}) < 1$ MeV





"BE"=2m_{Ds} - M_{X3915}=18 MeV



Backup

 $0 \rightarrow 0^{-} \pi$ -exchange violates Parity



 $0^{-} 0^{-} 0^{-}$ vertices must be 0

X(3915) as a $c\overline{c}$ -gluon hybrid?



too light for 0⁺⁺ cc̄-hybrid?

3915 MeV is too light for a 0⁺⁺ hybrid

-- Lattice QCD calculation --



Backup

What is the X(3915)?

It is **not** the χ'_{c0} charmonium state Belle recently found a much better χ'_{c0} candidate

It is **not** a threshold effect 18 MeV away from the nearest threshold (& a benign one at that)

It is *not* a good candidate for a $D_s \overline{D}_s$ molecule:

B.E. ≈ 18 MeV; ← needs a binding mechanism to produce this; standard nuclear-physics-type forces do not work

It is *not* a cc-gluon hybrid:

unless current (m_{\pi} {\approx} 400 \text{ MeV}) LQCD mass calcs are wrong by ${\approx} 500 \text{ MeV}$

If it is a [cs][cs] QCD tetraquark:

the X(3915) $\rightarrow \eta \eta_c$ decay mode should be seen soon

Backup

Search for $\Upsilon(1S, 2S) \rightarrow Z_c^+ Z_c^-$ and $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z_c^+ Z_c^-$ at $\sqrt{s} = 10.52, 10.58$ and 10.867 GeV

> No clear signals are observed in the studied modes.

Determined upper limits on product of branching fraction and cross section (90 % C.L.).

arXiv:1805.02308v1 [hep-ex] Accepted by PRD

Data Sample

5.74 fb⁻¹ at $\Upsilon(1S)$ peak 24.91 fb⁻¹ at $\Upsilon(2S)$ peak 89.5 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 10.52$ GeV, 711fb⁻¹at $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV ($\Upsilon(4S)$ peak) 121.4 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 10.867$ GeV ($\Upsilon(5S)$ peak)

Decay Modes

 $Z_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+ J/\psi$



 $Z_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+ \chi_{c1}$

spectra of Z_{c1} .

Analysis Method

 $Z_{c1} \rightarrow \pi^+ \psi$ (2S)



• Z_{c1} decays into $\pi^+ J/\psi$, $\pi^+ \chi_{c1}$, $\pi^+ \psi(2S)$.

 Z_{c2} is simulated with inclusive decays. (e⁺ e⁻ $\rightarrow u\bar{u}/d\bar{d}/s\bar{s}/c\bar{c}$)

• After requiring Z_{c2} signal regions, we will extract

the signal events by fitting the invariant mass

Backup

Observation of $\Xi_c(2930)^0$ and Updated Measurements of $B^- \to K^- \Lambda_c^+ \overline{\Lambda}_c^-$

- > Ξ_c (2930)⁰ is observed with a statistical significance greater than 5 σ .
- Precise Results M = 2928.9±3.0 +0.8/-12.0 MeV, Γ=19.5±8.4+5.4/-7.9 MeV B.F. (B[−]→K[−] Λ⁺_c $\overline{\Lambda}_c$) = (4.80 ± 0.43 ± 0.60) × 10^{−4} (consistent with PDG 2016, 2017).
- ▶ B.F. (B⁻→K⁻Y(4660)) × B.F. (Y(4660) → Λ_c⁺ $\overline{\Lambda}_{c}^{-}) < 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ (90% C. L).
 B.F. (B⁻→K⁻Y_n) × B.F. (Y_n → Λ_c⁺ $\overline{\Lambda}_{c}^{-}) < 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$ (90% C. L).



Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 252 (2018)