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$>$ BESIII: $\tau$-charm factory
$>$ High production rate of light mesons in $J / \psi$ decays
$>$ Also a factory for light mesons ( $\eta / \eta^{\prime} / \omega \ldots$ )
$>\eta / \eta^{\prime}$ from $J / \psi$ radiative decays
$\rightarrow 7.2 \times 10^{6} \eta^{\prime}$
$\rightarrow 2.4 \times 10^{6} \eta$


## $\boldsymbol{\eta} / \boldsymbol{\eta}^{\prime}$ : a rich physics field

| $\eta$ | $\eta^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $M=584 \mathrm{MeV}, \Gamma=1.3 \mathrm{keV}$ | $\mathrm{M}=958 \mathrm{MeV}, \Gamma=197 \mathrm{keV}$ |

Hadronic Decays

| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ | $32.6 \%$ | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta$ | $42.9 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ | $22.9 \%$ | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta$ | $22.2 \%$ |
| Radiative Decays |  |  |  |
| $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ | $39.4 \%$ | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \rho^{0} \gamma$ | $29.1 \%$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \gamma$ | $4.2 \%$ | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \omega \gamma$ | $2.7 \%$ |
|  | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ |  | $2.2 \%$ |
| $99.1 \%$ |  |  |  |
| $99.1 \%$ |  |  |  |

text predictions by ChPT
transition form factors
$>$ text fundamental symmetries
probe physics beyond the SM

## Recent Results on $\eta / \eta^{\prime}$ Decays

## $\checkmark$ Hadronic decays

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}, \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \\
& >\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta, \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

- Radiative decays

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^{0} \\
& >\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{+} \pi^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Amplitude analysis of the decays $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow 3 \pi$

$>\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow 3 \pi$ are isospin-violating processes due to the $d-u$ quark mass difference
$>$ light quark mass ratio $\left(m_{d}-m_{u}\right) / m_{s}$ can be extracted by the ratio of decay widths:

$$
r=\frac{\Gamma_{\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}}}{\Gamma_{\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \eta \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}} \approx(16.8) \frac{3}{16}\left(\frac{m_{d}-m_{u}}{m_{s}}\right)^{2}
$$

D. Gross et al., Phys. Rev. D. 19, 2188 (1979)
$>$ Using ChPT, large P-wave contribution of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\rho^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ is predicted in $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ [Eur. Phys. J. A 26, 383(2005)]
$>$ So far, no direct experimental evidence of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\rho^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ in $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$


## Amplitude analysis of the decays $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow 3 \pi$

$>$ Based on $1310 \mathrm{M} J / \psi$ data, $\eta^{\prime}$ from $J / \psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta^{\prime}$
$>$ Two clusters of events corresponding to $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\rho^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ are observed
$>$ The decay $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \rho$ and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta$ result in the peaking background

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}\right)=(35.91 \pm 0.54 \pm 1.74) \times 10^{-4} \\
& \mathcal{B}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right)=(35.22 \pm 0.82 \pm 2.54) \times 10^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$>$ The branching fractions of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ are in good agreement with previous BESIII results (Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 182001 (2012))

$\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \quad 2237 \eta^{\prime}$ events


## Amplitude analysis of the decays $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow 3 \pi$






Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 012001 (2017)

| Decay Mode | $B\left(10^{-4}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ | $35.91 \pm 0.54 \pm 1.74$ |
| $\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ | $35.22 \pm 0.82 \pm 2.54$ |
| $\rho^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ | $7.44 \pm 0.60 \pm 1.26 \pm 1.84$ |
| $\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}\right)_{S}$ | $37.63 \pm 0.77 \pm 2.22 \pm 4.48$ |

$>$ Amplitude analysis combining $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}$ and $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$.
$>$ Described by three components: P wave $\rho^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ ), resonant S wave $\left(\sigma \pi^{0}\right)$, phase-space S wave $(\pi \pi \pi)$
$>$ The P-wave contribution from $\rho^{ \pm}$is observed for the first time with high statistical significance.
$>$ Obtained decay width ratios:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{ \pm}=(8.77 \pm 1.19) \times 10^{-3} \\
& r_{0}=(15.86 \pm 1.33) \times 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Matrix elements for the decays $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta, \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta$

$>$ Impact of gluon component on the dynamics of $\eta^{\prime}$ decays
$>$ Comparison to the theoretical calculations with the effective ChPT
$>$ Previous measurements on the dalitz plot of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi \pi \eta$ are from VES, GAMS and CLEO

$$
X=\frac{\sqrt{3}\left(T_{\pi^{+}}-T_{\pi^{-}}\right)}{Q}, \quad Y=\frac{m_{\eta}+2 m_{\pi}}{m_{\pi}} \frac{T_{\eta}}{Q}-1
$$

$T_{\pi, \eta}$ denote the kinetic energies of a pion and $\eta$ in the $\eta^{\prime}$ rest frame

$$
Q=T_{\eta}+T_{\pi^{+}}+T_{\pi^{-}}=m_{\eta^{\prime}}-m_{\eta}-2 m_{\pi}
$$

Two representations used

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |M(X, Y)|^{2}=N\left(1+a Y+b Y^{2}+c X+d X^{2}+\cdots\right) \text { (general representation) } \\
& |M(X, Y)|^{2}=N\left(|1+\alpha Y|^{2}+c X+d X^{2}+\cdots\right) \text { (linear representation) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Matrix elements for the decays $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta, \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta$
BESIII





| $\underline{\text { Parameter }}$ | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \eta \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EFT [5] | Large $\mathrm{N}_{C}$ [7] | RChT [7] | VES [10] | This work |
| $a$ | -0.116(11) | -0.098(48) | ) (fixed) | -0.127(18) | -0.056(4)(2) |
| $b$ | -0.042(34) | -0.050(1) | -0.033(1) | -0.106(32) | -0.049(6)(6) |
| $c$ | ... | ... | ... | +0.015(18) | 0.0027(24)(18) |
| d | +0.010(19) | -0.092(8) | -0.072(1) | -0.082(19) | -0.063(4)(3) |
| $\mathfrak{R}(\alpha)$ | ... | ... | ... | -0.072(14) | -0.034(2)(2) |
| $\mathfrak{J}(\alpha)$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 0.000(100) | 0.000(19)(1) |
| c | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | +0.020(19) | 0.0027(24)(15) |
| d | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | -0.066(34) | -0.053(4)(4) |
|  | S.L.Yang(IH | Phys. | . Rev. D. 97 | 012003(20 | 8) 10 |

## Matrix elements for the decays $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta, \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta$




|  | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \eta \pi^{\mathrm{o}} \pi^{\mathrm{o}}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Parameter | EFT [5] | GAMS-4 $[12]$ | This work |
| $a$ | $-0.127(9)$ | $-0.067(16)$ | $-0.087(9)(6)$ |
| $b$ | $-0.049(36)$ | $-0.064(29)$ | $-0.073(14)(5)$ |
| $c$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| $d$ | $+0.011(21)$ | $-0.067(20)$ | $-0.074(9)(4)$ |
| $\Re(\alpha)$ | $\cdots$ | $-0.042(8)$ | $-0.054(4)(1)$ |
| $\Im(\alpha)$ | $\cdots$ | $0.000(70)$ | $0.000(38)(2)$ |
| $c$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| $d$ | $\cdots$ | $-0.054(19)$ | $-0.061(9)(5)$ |

## Search for cusp effect in $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta$

BESIII




$>$ With current statistics , it is difficult to establish cusp effect near the $\pi \pi$ mass threshold.

## Observation of the doubly radiative decay $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^{0}$

$>$ Test QCD calculations on the transition form factor
Check the high order of ChPT
$>$ In experiment, only an upper limit of

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^{0}\right)<8 \times 10^{-4} \text { at } 90 \% \text { C.L. }
$$

$\dagger \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^{0}$ : Signal shape from MC, incoherent mixture of $\rho, \omega$ and non-resonant components.
$\dagger$ Class-I background: $J / \psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta^{\prime}$ with $\eta^{\prime}$ decaying into other final states other than the signal final state.
$\dagger$ Class-II background: $J / \psi$ decays without $\eta^{\prime}$ $\left(J / \psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right.$ and $J / \psi \rightarrow \omega \eta$ with $\omega \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{0}$ and $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ )


Phys. Rev. D 96, 012005(2017)

|  | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^{0}$ (Inclusive) | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \omega, \omega \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{0}$ | $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^{0}$ (Non-resonant) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N^{\eta^{\prime}}$ | $3435 \pm 76 \pm 244$ | $2340 \pm 141 \pm 180$ | $655 \pm 68 \pm 71$ |
| $\epsilon$ | $16.1 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ |
| $\mathcal{B}\left(10^{-4}\right)$ | $32.0 \pm 0.7 \pm 2.3$ | $23.7 \pm 1.4 \pm 1.8^{a}$ | $6.16 \pm 0.64 \pm 0.67$ |
| $\mathcal{B}_{P D G}\left(10^{-4}\right)$ | - | $21.7 \pm 1.3^{b}$ | $<8[9]$ |
| Predictions $\left(10^{-4}\right)$ | $57[7], 65[8]$ | - | - |



## Linear $\sigma$ model \& VMD

[7] R. Jora, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 207-208, 224(2010);
[8] R. Escribano, Proc. Sci., QNP2012 (2012) 079;
[9]D. Alde et al. (GAMS-2000), Z. Phys. C 36, 603 (1987).

## Precision Study of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$Decay Dynamics

$>\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$is the second most decay mode, with
(29.1 $\pm 0.5$ ) \%
$>$ In Vector Meson Dominance (VMD) model, this process is dominated by $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \rho$ (770)
$>$ Studied by several experiments, a lone $\rho^{0}$ contribution did not describe the exp. data
$>$ This discrepancy could be attributed to the Wess-Zumino-Witten anomaly in the ChPT, known as the box anomaly.
$>$ Recently a model-independent approach based on ChPT are proposed


The dipion mass differential rate:

$$
\frac{d \Gamma}{d M\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)}=\frac{k_{\gamma}^{3} q_{\pi}^{3}(s)}{48 \pi^{3}}|A|^{2}
$$

## Precision Study of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$Decay Dynamics

1). fit with $\rho(770)-\omega$-box anomaly

$\Rightarrow$ Besides $\rho(770)$, the $\omega$ is needed
$>\rho(770)-\omega$ cannot describe data well
$>$ Extra contribution (maybe $\rho(1450)$ or box-anomaly, maybe both of them) is also necessary to provide a good description of data Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 242003(2018),

## Precision Study of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$Decay Dynamics



Model independent fit
$>A=N \cdot P(s) \cdot F_{V}(s)$
$>P(s)=1+\kappa \cdot s+\lambda \cdot s^{2}+\xi \cdot B W_{\omega}+\mathcal{O}\left(s^{4}\right)$
$>F_{V}(s)$ is the pion vector form factor
Fit results:
$>\kappa=(0.992 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.163) \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$
$>\lambda=(-0.523 \pm 0.039 \pm 0.066 \pm 0.181) \mathrm{GeV}^{-4}$
> $\xi=0.199 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.011 \pm 0.007$
$\Rightarrow$ The $\omega$ is necessary
$>$ Quadratic term and the $\omega$ contribution are significant, linear polynomial is insufficient

Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 242003(2018),

## Observation of $a_{0}(980)-f_{0}(980)$ Mixing

$J / \psi \rightarrow \phi f_{0}(980) \rightarrow \phi a_{0}^{0}(980) \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^{0}$







$$
\chi_{c 1} \rightarrow \pi^{0} a_{0}^{0}(980) \rightarrow \pi^{0} f_{0}(980) \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}
$$


ccepted by PRL

## Observation of $\mathrm{a}_{0}(980)-f_{0}(980)$ Mixing

- Mixing intensity is crucial to understand the nature of $a_{0}^{0}(980)$ and $f_{0}(980)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >\xi_{f a}=\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(J / \psi \rightarrow \phi f_{0}(980) \rightarrow \phi a_{0}^{0}(980) \rightarrow \phi \eta \pi^{0}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(J / \psi \rightarrow \phi f_{0}(980) \rightarrow \phi \pi \pi\right)} \\
& >\xi_{a f}=\frac{\mathcal{B}\left(\chi_{c 1} \rightarrow \pi^{0} a_{0}^{0}(980) \rightarrow \pi^{0} f_{0}(980) \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(\chi_{c 1} \rightarrow \pi^{0} a_{0}^{0}(980) \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Final results of the branching fractions and the intensities of the $a_{0}^{0}(980)-f_{0}(980)$ mixing

| Channel | $f_{0}(980) \rightarrow a_{0}^{0}(980)$ |  | $a_{0}^{0}(980) \rightarrow f_{0}(980)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathcal{B}($ mixing $)\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $3.18 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.28$ | $1.31 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.43$ | $0.35 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.06$ |
| $\mathcal{B}($ EM $)\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $3.25 \pm 1.08 \pm 1.08 \pm 1.12$ | $2.62 \pm 1.02 \pm 1.13 \pm 0.48$ | - |
| $\mathcal{B}$ (total) $\left(10^{-6}\right)$ | $4.93 \pm 1.01 \pm 0.96 \pm 1.09$ | $4.37 \pm 0.97 \pm 0.94 \pm 0.06$ | - |
| $\xi(\%)$ | $0.99 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.09$ | $0.41 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.13$ | $0.40 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.07$ |

## Summary

$\bullet$ A unique place to study light meson decays
$>$ Observation of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \rho^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ in $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi$
$>$ Dalitz plot of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta, \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta$
$>$ Study of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$decay dynamics
> Observation of $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^{0}$
> First observation of $a_{0}^{0}(980)-f_{0}(980)$ mixing

- BESIII is an ideal laboratory to study light meson decays
$\checkmark 1.3$ billion +3.7 billion (2017-2018) J/ $\psi$ events
- More interesting light meson decays are expected


## Thanks for your attention!

## BESIII publications on $\eta / \eta^{\prime}$ decays

* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta$
* $\eta / \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}, \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}, \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$
* $\eta / \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow$ invisible
* weak decay
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} l^{+} l^{-}$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow 3\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow 2\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right), \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma e^{+} e^{-}$
* $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0}, \eta / \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \omega e^{+} e^{-}$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow K \pi$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \rho \pi$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \pi^{0}$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \eta, \eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \eta$
* $\eta^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$
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