Probe Higgs-gluon coupling via jet energy profile at e+e- colliders

Gexing Li

Institute of High Energy Physics · CAS

Jun.22.2018

arXiv: 1805.10138





In collaboration with

Zhao Li, Yandong Liu, Yan Wang, Xiaoran Zhao



Outline

- ➢ 1. Higgs-gluon effective coupling
- 2. Jet Energy Profile(JEP)
- ➢ 3. JEP cut and JEP weight
- ➢ 4. Estimation of statistical uncertainty
- 5. Summary

Effective coupling of Higgs and gluon



Higgs production and decay at lepon collider





Decay mode	Branching fraction [%]
$H \rightarrow bb$	$57.5~\pm1.9$
$H \rightarrow WW$	$21.6\ \pm 0.9$
$H \rightarrow gg$	$8.56\ \pm 0.86$
H ightarrow au au	6.30 ± 0.36
$H \rightarrow cc$	$2.90\ \pm 0.35$
$H \rightarrow ZZ$	$2.67\ \pm 0.11$
$H o \gamma \gamma$	0.228 ± 0.011
$H ightarrow Z \gamma$	0.155 ± 0.014
$H ightarrow \mu \mu$	0.022 ± 0.001

Quark and gluon jet substructure



information of jet substructure

Jet Energy Profile(JEP)



For a jet of size R, the integrated JEP is defined as the fraction of jet transverse momentum that lies inside a sub-cone of size r (< R).

$$\psi(r) = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_j \psi_j(r) = \frac{1}{N_j} \sum_j \left(\frac{\sum_{r_i < r} p_{\mathrm{T},i}(r_i)}{\sum_{r_i < R} p_{\mathrm{T},i}(r_i)} \right)$$

JEP of single jet

JEP distinguish quark and gluon jet



JEP cut and JEP weight

Improvement

JEP cut : choose a region for the JEP of jets
(According to the distribution of gluon-jets JEP and quark-jets JEP)
1. effectively remove the background-jets by analyzing the internal structure of jets
2. decrease the JEP uncertainty

JEP weight : quark-jets and gluon-jets are given different weights by their average JEP value (Accumulated JEP)

Accumulated JEP



Statistical Uncertainty

Statistical Uncertainty of Kg

$$\delta\kappa_g^Z = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2N_g} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma(r)}{\psi_g + b}\right)^2 + f_g + (f_b + f_c)\left(\frac{\psi_q + b}{\psi_g + b}\right)^2 + f_{\rm BG}\left(\frac{\psi_{\rm BG} + b}{\psi_g + b}\right)^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

uncertainty of JEP uncertainty of event number

The minimal uncertainty can be met at

$$\frac{\partial \delta \kappa_g^Z}{\partial b} = 0$$

JEP uncertainty $\delta \kappa_g^Z = \delta \kappa_g^N \left\{ 1 - f_{\rm B} \left[1 + \frac{\sigma^2(r)}{(\psi_g - \psi_q)^2 f_{\rm B}} \right]^{-1} \right\}^{1/2}$

difference of quark-jets JEP and gluon-jets JEP

MC Simulation



kinematic cuts-->b/c-tagging-->JEP cut-->JEP weight

Results (with only b-tagging)



Results (with b&c-tagging)



Total Improvement



Improvement from JEP weight



Summary

Using accumulated JEP for the measurement of the Higgs-gluon effective coupling.

MC simulation at e+e- colliders for the center-of-mass energy 250 GeV and integrated luminosity 5 ab-1.

The statistical uncertainties of Kg can reach about 1.6% in the channels of Z boson decaying to lepton pairs.

Totally reduced by about 52% (45% from the JEP cut contribution and 7% from the JEP weight contribution) compared to that without using JEP.