## $\omega$ and $k_{\rm s}$ Meson Productions in Pb+Pb Collisions and Global Extraction of $\hat{q}$ with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression at the NLO

#### Wei Dai

In Collaboration with G. Y. Ma, B. W. Zhang and E. Wang

June 22, 2018

イロト 不同下 イヨト イヨト

#### Overview

Motivation

 $\eta,\,\phi$  and  $\rho^0$  Meson Productions

 $\omega$  and  $K_s$  Meson Productions at larger momentum NLO DGLAP evolved Fragmentation Functions  $\omega$  and  $K_s$  Productions in p+p Collisions at NLO Formalism in A+A Collisions Flavor Dependent Production Fraction of  $\omega$  Productions in p+p and A+A Collisions at NLO Particle Ratio in p+p and A+A Collisions

Extraction of  $\hat{q}$  with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression Nuclear Modification Factor in A+A Collisions  $\chi^2$  Fit to Extract  $\hat{q}$ 

Summary and Outlook

### Motivation

 $\begin{array}{l} R_{\text{AA}} \text{ measurement}(\text{larger } p_{\mathcal{T}}): \text{ E-LOSS model controlled by } \hat{q}_{0} \\ \pi^{0}(Au + Au \ 200 \text{ GeV}) & \pi^{0}(Pb + Pb \ 2.76 \text{ TeV}) \end{array}$ 



#### Motivation

 $\begin{array}{l} \eta, \ \phi \ \text{and} \ \rho^0 \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_S \ \text{Meson Productions} \\ \text{Extraction of} \ \hat{q} \ \text{with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression} \\ \text{Summary and Outlook} \end{array}$ 

### Motivation

#### To explore the nature of hadron $R_{AA}$ at large $p_T$

"all these observations are in agreement with a scenario where the parent parton first loses energy in the produced dense medium and then fragments into a leading meson in the vacuum according to the same probabilities that govern high- $p_T$  hadroproduction in more elementary systems (p+p,  $e^+e^-$ )."



S. S. Adler et al. [PHENIX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 202301 (2006) ロ ト 《 同 ト 《 言 ト 《 言 ト 言 ト 言 ク へ ( 4/29

## Motivation

#### To explore the nature of hadron $R_{\rm AA}$ at large $p_T$

- Are similar R<sub>AA</sub> trend shared among different species of final state hadrons? What is the nature?
- ► Can the scenario of E-lost parton jet fragment outside the QGP medium tell a full story of R<sub>AA</sub> ? independent of MASS or SPECIES.
- Is the changing of jet chemistry playing a part in it? HOW?
- Hadron production ratio is therefore needed as a second pair of eyes to disclose the jet chemistry changing along the theoretical study.

 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{Motivation} \\ \eta, \ \phi \ \text{and} \ \rho^0 \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ & \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Meson Productions} \\ \text{Extraction of } \hat{q} \ \text{with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression} \\ & \text{Summary and Outlook} \end{array}$ 

## $\eta/\pi^{\rm 0}$ ratio in A+A Collisions

#### Findings:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Confronted with A. Morreale [ALICE Collaboration]} \\ \mbox{arXiv:} 1512.05250 \end{array}$ 

- Simple story that parton jets loss their energies first in the QCD medium and then fragment into hadrons in the vacuum can not explain everything.
- Similar trend could be seen at the RHIC and LHC that with the in- creasing of p<sub>T</sub>, the η/π<sup>0</sup> ratio in A + A collisions comes closer to the p + p curve, and at very larger p<sub>T</sub> two curves coincide with each other.
- We emphasize that the identified hadron yield in heavy-ion collisions relies on three factors: the initial hard jet spectrum, the energy loss mechanism, and parton fragmentation functions to the hadron in vacuum.

W. Dai, X. F. Chen, B. W. Zhang and E. Wang, PLB(2015)



 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{Motivation} \\ \eta, \ \phi \ \text{and} \ \rho^0 \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_{\text{s}} \ \text{Meson Productions} \\ \text{Extraction of } \hat{q} \ \text{with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression} \\ \text{Summary and Outlook} \end{array}$ 

### $\rho^0/\pi^0$ ratio in A+A Collisions

W. Dai, B. W. Zhang and E. Wang,

arXiv:1701.04147

#### Findings:

Nature of same suppression of some light quark hadron productions is due to the light quark dominated production, such as π<sup>0</sup>, η and ρ<sup>0</sup>, thus gives similar behavior of ρ<sup>0</sup>/π<sup>0</sup>, η/π<sup>0</sup> at very high p<sub>T</sub> in A+A collisions from the ones in p+p at both the RHIC and the LHC energies.



 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{Motivation} \\ \eta, \ \phi \ \text{and} \ \rho^0 \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_{\text{S}} \ \text{Meson Productions} \\ \text{Extraction of } \hat{q} \ \text{with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression} \\ \text{Summary and Outlook} \end{array}$ 

### $\phi/\pi^0$ ratio in A+A Collisions

#### Findings:

The \u03c6 meson (ssbar) production is however non quark dominated, hint a non-light meson will have nonnegligible gluon contribution. W. Dai, B. W. Zhang H. Z. Zhang, X. F. Chen

and E. Wang, EPJC (2017)



NLO DGLAP evolved Fragmentation Functions  $\omega$  and  $K_s$  Productions in p+p Collisions at NLO Formalism in A+A Collisions Flavor Dependent Production Fraction of  $\omega$  Productions in p+p an Particle Ratio in p+p and A+A Collisions

# Initial Fragmentation Functions at initial Scale $Q^2=1.5 \ GeV^2$

Quark fragmentation functions into members of meson octet in terms of the SU(3) functions,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$ . — H. Saveetha, D. Indumathi and S. Mitra, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **29**, no. 07, 1450049 (2014)

fragmenting		к*+	fragmenting		K* <sup>0</sup>	]											
quark		N	quark		K												
и	:	$\alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{4}\gamma$	и	:	$2\beta + \gamma$	]											
d	:	$2\beta + \gamma$	d	:	$\alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{4}\gamma$												
5	:	$2\gamma$	5	:	$2\gamma$												
fragmenting		$\omega/\phi$	fragmenting		0 <sup>0</sup>												
quark			quark		٣												
и	:	$\frac{1}{6}\alpha + \frac{9}{6}\beta + \frac{9}{8}\gamma$	и	:	$\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{11}{8}\gamma$	1											
d	:	$\frac{1}{6}\alpha + \frac{9}{6}\beta + \frac{9}{8}\gamma$	d	:	$\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{11}{8}\gamma$												
5	:	$\frac{4}{6}\alpha + \frac{9}{6}\gamma$	5	:	$\tilde{2\beta} + \gamma$												
fragmenting			fragmenting			1											
quark		Ρ	quark		β												
и	:	$\alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{4}\gamma$	и	:	$2\gamma$	1											
d	:	$2\gamma$	d	:	$\alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{4}\gamma$												
5	:	$2\beta + \gamma$	5	:	$2\beta + \gamma$												
fragmenting		<u> </u>	fragmenting		K*-												
quark		N	quark														
u	:	$2\beta + \gamma$	u	:	$2\gamma$	]											
d	:	$2\gamma$	d	:	$2\beta + \gamma$												
s	:	$\alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{4}\gamma$	s	:	$\alpha + \beta + \frac{3}{4}\gamma $		,	1	< ≣ >	- (三)	* 注 * *	* ヨ * *	<<	<ul><li>₹≣ &gt; &lt; 1</li></ul>	◆ 差 ▶ < 3	* 王 * * *	* 王 * * *

NLO DGLAP evolved Fragmentation Functions  $\omega$  and  $K_s$  Productions in p+p Collisions at NLO Formalism in A+A Collisions Flavor Dependent Production Fraction of  $\omega$  Productions in p+p ar Particle Ratio in p+p and A+A Collisions

#### $\omega$ FFs evolution at NLO

DGLAP evolution is considered to have the initial FFs evolving with the scale  $Q^2$  at NLO



NLO DGLAP evolved Fragmentation Functions  $\omega$  and  $K_s$  Productions in p+p Collisions at NLO Formalism in A+A Collisions Flavor Dependent Production Fraction of  $\omega$  Productions in p+p and Particle Ratio in p+p and A+A Collisions

#### $K_s$ FFs evolution at NLO

S. Albino, B. A. Kniehl and G. Kramer, Nucl. Phys. B 803, 42 (2008) AKK08



 $\eta, \phi$  and  $\rho^0$  Meson Productions in A+A collisions  $\omega$  and  $K_s$  Meson Productions Extraction of  $\hat{q}$  with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression Summary and Outlook

 $\omega$  and K<sub>s</sub> Productions in p+p Collisions at NLO

## Formalism in p+p Collisions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{pp}^{h}}{dyd^{2}p_{T}} &= \sum_{abcd} \int dx_{a} dx_{b} f_{a/p}(x_{a}, \mu^{2}) f_{b/p}(x_{b}, \mu^{2}) \\ &\times \frac{d\hat{\sigma}}{d\hat{t}}(ab \to cd) \frac{D_{h/c}^{0}(z_{c}, \mu^{2})}{\pi z_{c}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{3}), (1) \end{aligned}$$



G, Y, Ma, W. Dai, B. W. Zhang and E. Wang

K<sub>s</sub> μ=1.0p\_ @ RHIC STAR (200GeV) K<sub>s</sub> μ=1.0p<sub>+</sub> @ LHC 10 ALICE (2760GeV) Ed<sup>3</sup>α/d<sup>3</sup>p (mb GeV<sup>-2</sup>c<sup>3</sup>) 10 10-3 p+p -> K +X 10-4 10 10<sup>-6</sup> 10-7 10<sup>-8</sup> 10<sup>-9</sup> 10<sup>-10</sup> 0 20 10 12 14 16 18 p\_ (GeV/c) G, Y, Ma, W. Dai, B. W. Zhang and E. Wang

(2018)

(2018)4 A > 12 N -∢ ≣ → 12/29

NLO DGLAP evolved Fragmentation Functions  $\omega$  and  $K_s$  Productions in p+p Collisions at NLO **Formalism in A+A Collisions** Flavor Dependent Production Fraction of  $\omega$  Productions in p+p a Particle Astic in p+p and A+A Collisions

#### Formalism in A+A Collisions

X. F. Chen, T. Hirano, E. Wang, X. N. Wang and H. Zhang, Phys. Rev. C 84, 034902 (2011) Huichao Song, Ulrich W. Heinz, Phys.Rev. C77 (2008) 064901 Cross section of the single hadron in HIC collisions could be expressed as:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{N_{\rm bin}^{AB}(b)} \frac{d\sigma_{AB}^{h}}{dyd^{2}p_{T}} &= \sum_{abcd} \int dx_{a} dx_{b} f_{a/A}(x_{a}, \mu^{2}) f_{b/B}(x_{b}, \mu^{2}) \\ &\times \frac{d\sigma}{d\hat{t}} (ab \to cd) \frac{\langle \tilde{D}_{c}^{h}(z_{h}, Q^{2}, E, b) \rangle}{\pi z_{c}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{3}). \end{split}$$

The effective modifications of parton FFs in hot and dense medium:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{D}_{q}^{h}(z_{h},Q^{2}) &= D_{q}^{h}(z_{h},Q^{2}) + \frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{Q^{2}} \frac{d\ell_{T}^{2}}{\ell_{T}^{2}} \\ \times \int_{z_{h}}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} \left[ \Delta \gamma_{q \to qg}(z,x,x_{L},\ell_{T}^{2}) D_{q}^{h}(\frac{z_{h}}{z},Q^{2}) \right. \\ &+ \Delta \gamma_{q \to gq}(z,x,x_{L},\ell_{T}^{2}) D_{g}^{h}(\frac{z_{h}}{z},Q^{2}) \right], \quad (3)$$

Assume all the energy loss of a fast parton is that carried away by the radiative gluon in the multiple scattering processes, the corresponding parton energy loss in the QCD medium can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\Delta E}{E} = \frac{N_c \alpha_s}{\pi} \int dy^- dz d\ell_T^2 \frac{(1+z)^3}{\ell_T^4}$$

$$\times \hat{q}_{\mathbf{R}}(E, \mathbf{y}) \sin^2 [\frac{y^- \ell_T^2}{4Ex(1-z)}] \qquad (4)$$

The jet transport parameter  $\hat{q}_{\mathrm{R}}(E, y)$  is related to the parton density distribution in the medium, therefore can characterizes the evolutionary medium properties.

Hirano full three-dimensional(3+1D)ideal

hydrodynamics has been replaced by Ohio State

University (2+1) viscous hydrodynamics.

# Production Fraction of $\omega$ Productions in p+p and A+A Collisions



 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{Motivation} \\ \eta, \ \phi \ \text{and} \ \rho^0 \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ \text{Extraction of} \ \hat{q} \ \text{with} \ \text{Six} \ \text{Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression} \\ \text{Summary and Outlook} \end{array} \right. \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NLO DGLAP evolved Fragmentation Functions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Productions in p+p Collisions at NLO} \\ \text{Formalism in A+A Collisions} \\ \text{Flavor Dependent Production Fraction of } \omega \ \text{Productions in p+p an} \\ \text{Particle Ratio in p+p and A+A Collisions} \end{array} \right.$ 

# Production Fraction of $K_s$ Productions in p+p and A+A Collisions



<sup>15 / 29</sup> 

 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{Motivation} \\ \eta, \ \phi \ \text{and} \ \rho^0 \ \text{Meson Productions in } A+A \ \text{collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Meson Productions in } A+A \ \text{collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Productions in } p+p \ \text{collisions} \\ \text{Formalism in } A+A \ \text{collisions} \\ \text{Favor Dependent Production Fraction } \phi \ \text{Productions in } p+p \ \text{and} \ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Productions in } p+p \ \text{and} \ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Motivation} \\ \text{Motivation} \ \text{Formalism in } A+A \ \text{collisions} \\ \text{Formalism in } A+A \ \text{collisions} \ \text{Collisions} \ \text{Formalism in } p+p \ \text{and} \ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ \text{and} \ \text{and} \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{and} \ \text{an$ 

## $\omega/\pi^0$ ratio in P+P and A+A Collisions

RHIC

#### LHC



 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{Motivation} \\ \eta, \ \phi \ \text{and} \ \rho^0 \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Meson Productions in A+A collisions} \\ \text{Extraction of} \ \hat{q} \ \text{with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression} \\ \text{Summary and Outlook} \end{array} \right. \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{NLO DGLAP evolved Fragmentation Functions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Productions in p+p Collisions at NLO} \\ \text{Formalism in A+A Collisions} \\ \text{Flavor Dependent Production Fraction of } \omega \ \text{Porductions in p+p an} \\ \text{Particle Ratio in p+p and A+A Collisions} \end{array} \right.$ 

## $K_s/\pi^0$ ratio in P+P and A+A Collisions

RHIC

#### LHC



 $\begin{array}{c} & \text{Motivation} \\ \eta, \ \phi \ \text{and} \ \rho^0 \ \text{Meson} \ \text{Productions} \ \text{in A+A collisions} \\ & \omega \ \text{and} \ K_s \ \text{Meson} \ \text{Productions} \\ \hline \text{Extraction of } \hat{\textbf{q}} \ \text{with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression} \\ & \text{Summary and Outlook} \end{array}$ 

Nuclear Modification Factor in A+A Collisions  $\chi^2$  Fit to Extract  $\hat{q}$ 

#### Nuclear Modification Factor in Au+Au Collisions



Nuclear Modification Factor in A+A Collisions  $\chi^2$  Fit to Extract  $\hat{q}$ 

#### Nuclear Modification Factor in Pb+Pb Collisions



 $\eta, \phi$  and  $\rho^0$  Meson Productions in A+A collisions  $\omega$  and  $K_s$  Meson Productions Extraction of  $\hat{q}$  with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression Summary and Outlook

Nuclear Modification Factor in A+A Collisions  $\chi^2$  Fit to Extract  $\hat{q}$ 

## $\chi^2$ Fit to Extract $\hat{q}$

#### RHIC

$$\chi^{2}(a_{j}) = \sum_{i} \frac{[D_{i} - T_{i}(a_{j})]^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}$$
(5)  
$$\sigma_{i}^{2} = e_{y}^{2} + [\frac{1}{2}(e_{x})f'(x)]^{2}$$
(6)

In the above equations,  $D_j$  represents the experimental grids and  $T_i$  is our theoretical prediction.  $\sigma_i^2$  means the systematic and statistical experimental errors.



 $\begin{array}{l} & \mbox{Motivation} & \mbox{Motivation} & \mbox{Motivation} & \mbox{n+A} \mbox{collisions} & \\ & \mbox{$\omega$ and $\mathcal{K}_{3}$ Meson Productions} & \\ & \mbox{Extraction of $\hat{q}$ with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression} & \\ & \mbox{Summary and Outlook} & \\ \end{array}$ 

Nuclear Modification Factor in A+A Collisions  $\chi^2$  Fit to Extract  $\hat{q}$ 

 $\chi^2$  Fit to Extract  $\hat{q}$  at the RHIC and at the LHC



## Summary

With the same higher-twist approach to take into account the jet quenching effect by medium modified FFs, the nuclear modification factors and particle ratio has been calculated for  $\omega$  meson and  $K_{short}^0$  meson at the RHIC as it is the first theoretical calculation has been presented.

We re-extract the  $\hat{q}$  by fitting the theoretical results of all 6 identified mesons'  $R_{\rm AA}$  at hand with the available experimental data both in the RHIC and the LHC. Therefore the constraint to the  $\hat{q}$  by different final state hadrons has been performed.

# Thank you!

## BAK UP

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

 $\begin{array}{l} & \operatorname{Motivation} \\ \eta, \ \phi \ \text{and} \ \rho^0 \ \text{Meson Productions in } A+A \ \text{collisions} \\ \omega \ \text{and} \ \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{S}} \ \text{Meson Productions} \\ \text{Extraction of } \hat{q} \ \text{with Six Types of Identified Hadrons Suppression} \\ \end{array}$ 

## $\eta$ and $\pi^{\rm 0}~{\rm FFs}$

- At very high  $p_T$  region,  $D_{q \to \eta}/D_{q \to \pi^0}$ at  $z_h = 0.7$  is approximately 0.5. (why same as  $\eta/\pi^0$  rario?)
- At high  $p_T$ , quark FFs  $D_{q \to \eta, \pi^0}(z_h, Q = p_T)$  have a weak dependence on  $z_h$  and  $p_T$  in the typical  $z_h$ region 0.4 – 0.7 for identified hadron production

W. Dai, X. F. Chen, B. W. Zhang and E. Wang,

#### PLB(2015)



#### Re-write the The Hadron Yield

The hadron yield in p + p will be determined by two factors: the initial (parton-)jet spectrum f<sub>q,g</sub>(p<sub>T</sub>) and the parton fragmentation functions D<sub>q,g→η,π<sup>0</sup></sub>(z<sub>h</sub>, p<sub>T</sub>).

$$\frac{1}{p_T} \frac{d\sigma_{\pi^0,\eta}}{dp_T} = \int f_q(\frac{p_T}{z_h}) \cdot D_{q \to \eta,\pi^0}(z_h, p_T) \frac{dz_h}{z_h^2} + \int f_g(\frac{p_T}{z_h}) \cdot D_{g \to \eta,\pi^0}(z_h, p_T) \frac{dz_h}{z_h^2} .$$
 (7)

Energy loss effect will shift z<sub>h</sub> of quark FFs in vacuum.

## $\eta/\pi^0$ ratio only considering gluon and quark in p+p

W. Dai, X. F. Chen, B. W. Zhang and E. Wang,

#### PLB(2015)

In the asymptotic region with  $p_T \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$R(\eta/\pi^{0}) = \frac{d\sigma_{\eta}}{dp_{T}} / \frac{d\sigma_{\pi^{0}}}{dp_{T}}$$

$$\approx \frac{\int f_{q}(\frac{P_{T}}{z_{h}}) \cdot D_{q \to \eta}(z_{h}, p_{T}) \frac{dz_{h}}{z_{h}^{2}}}{\int f_{q}(\frac{P_{T}}{z_{h}}) \cdot D_{q \to \pi^{0}}(z_{h}, p_{T}) \frac{dz_{h}}{z_{h}^{2}}}$$

$$\approx \frac{\Sigma_{q} D_{q \to \eta}(\langle z_{h} \rangle, p_{T})}{\Sigma_{q} D_{q \to \pi^{0}}(\langle \langle z_{h} \rangle, p_{T})} . \quad (8)$$

- The yields of both π<sup>0</sup> and η should also predominantly come from quarks.
- At very high p<sub>T</sub> region, the ratios of η/π<sup>0</sup> in both A + A and p + p should overlap with the one in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> scattering, and reach a universal value ~ 0.5.



୍ରି ବ୍ 🖓 27 / 29

#### $\eta/\pi^0$ ratio only considering gluon and quark in p+p

 For the transverse momentum p<sub>T</sub> is not very high.

W. Dai, X. F. Chen, B. W. Zhang and E. Wang,



## $\eta/\pi^0$ ratio only considering gluon and quark in A+A

W. Dai, X. F. Chen, B. W. Zhang and E. Wang,

#### PLB(2015)

- A naive expectation is that because gluon may give larger η/π<sup>0</sup> ratio than quark does, the larger suppression of gluons in the QCD medium will reduce η/π<sup>0</sup>, against calculation.
- The suppression of gluon in QCD medium imposes a larger reduction of the yield of π<sup>0</sup> than that of η.
- We emphasize that the identified hadron yield in heavy-ion collisions relies on three factors: the initial hard jet spectrum, the energy loss mechanism, and parton fragmentation functions to the hadron in vacuum.



୬ < ୯ 29 / 29