PWA10/ATHOS5 Beijing

Pentaquark photoproduction

2018-07-19



Astrid N. Hiller Blin

JGU Mainz

Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) 034002

In collaboration with





2015: discovery of **exotic-like** structures in $J/\psi p$ channel

LHCb collaboration, PRL 115 (2015) 072001

A. N. Hiller Blin PWA10/ATHOS5 2018-07-19



K- p resonances (Λ : *sud* content) alone were NOT sufficient to fit the data well.



Two exotic peaks of opposite parity absolutely necessary in order to correctly fit data. Otherwise the angular distributions would be symmetric, contrary to observation. Jurik, CERN-THESIS-2016-086



Two exotic peaks of opposite parity absolutely necessary in order to correctly fit data. Otherwise the angular distributions would be symmetric, contrary to observation. Jurik, CERN-THESIS-2016-086

- Mesons e.g. whose J^P cannot be matched by $q\bar{q}$ content (X, Y, Z)
- Baryons with exotic flavor content, e.g. negative strangeness or charm
- Pentaquarks, di-baryons, gluonium, quark-gluon hybrids, ...

- Mesons e.g. whose J^P cannot be matched by $q\bar{q}$ content (X, Y, Z)
- Baryons with exotic flavor content, e.g. negative strangeness or charm
- Pentaquarks, di-baryons, gluonium, quark-gluon hybrids, ...



- Mesons e.g. whose J^P cannot be matched by $q\bar{q}$ content (X, Y, Z)
- Baryons with exotic flavor content, e.g. negative strangeness or charm
- Pentaquarks, di-baryons, gluonium, quark-gluon hybrids, ...



In the 90's: narrow low-mass pentaquarks with anti-strangeness predicted. In 2003: several experiments claimed evidence for these states! Ultimately NONE of the candidates was confirmed at higher statistics.

- Mesons e.g. whose J^P cannot be matched by $q\bar{q}$ content (X, Y, Z)
- Baryons with exotic flavor content, e.g. negative strangeness or charm
- Pentaquarks, di-baryons, gluonium, quark-gluon hybrids, ...



In the 90's: narrow low-mass pentaquarks with anti-strangeness predicted. In 2003: several experiments claimed evidence for these states! Ultimately NONE of the candidates was confirmed at higher statistics.

"The conclusion that pentaquarks in general [...] do not exist appears compelling."

Review of Particle Physics, J. Phys. G 33 (2006) 1

Exciting news? Advantages of photoproduction

Theoretical overview

Experimental status at JLAB

Newest results on asymmetries

Exciting news? Advantages of photoproduction

Theoretical overview

Experimental status at JLAB

Newest results on asymmetries

Which is the nature of the LHCb peaks?

Triangle singularities: not a resonance!

Guo et al., PRD 92 (2015) 071502 Liu et al., PLB 757 (2016) 231 Guo et al., EPJA 52 (2016) 318

Quark degrees of freedom

. . .

Maiani et al., PLB 749 (2015) 289 Lebed, PLB 749 (2015) 454 Li et al., JHEP 12 (2015) 128 Wang, EPJC 76 (2016) 70 Ghosh et al., PPNL 14 (2017) 550 Wu et al., PRD 95 (2017) 034002

Meson-baryon molecules

. . .

Meißner and Oller, PLB 751 (2015) 59 Roca et al., PRD 92 (2015) 094003 Chen et al., PRL 115 (2015) 172001 He, PLB 753 (2016) 547 Eides et al., PRD 93 (2016) 054039 Shimizu et al., PRD 93 (2016) 114003 Shen et al., NPA 954 (2016) 393 Xiao, PRD 95 (2017) 014006

A. N. Hiller Blin

PWA10/ATHOS5

2018-07-19

Photoproduction – advantages

Exclusion of scenarios of kinematical effects! Resonant nature would be confirmed

Kubarovsky and Voloshin, PRD 92 (2015) 031502 Wang et al., PRD 92 (2015) 034022 Karliner and Rosner, PLB 752 (2016) 329 Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002

Photoproduction – advantages

Exclusion of scenarios of kinematical effects! Resonant nature would be confirmed

Kubarovsky and Voloshin, PRD 92 (2015) 031502 Wang et al., PRD 92 (2015) 034022 Karliner and Rosner, PLB 752 (2016) 329 Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002

The narrow peak would appear close to J/ψ production threshold: Low background, clear signal possible!



Photoproduction – advantages

Exclusion of scenarios of kinematical effects! Resonant nature would be confirmed

Kubarovsky and Voloshin, PRD 92 (2015) 031502 Wang et al., PRD 92 (2015) 034022 Karliner and Rosner, PLB 752 (2016) 329 Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002

The narrow peak would appear close to J/ψ production threshold: Low background, clear signal possible!



Exciting news? Advantages of photoproduction

Theoretical overview

Experimental status at JLAB

Newest results on asymmetries

Photoproduction — the approach

Kubarovsky and Voloshin, PRD 92 (2015) 031502 Wang et al., PRD 92 (2015) 034022 Karliner and Rosner, PLB 752 (2016) 329 Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002

| | , 'J/4 |
|-----|--------|
| J/Y | |
| R | |

Photoproduction — the approach

Kubarovsky and Voloshin, PRD 92 (2015) 031502 Wang et al., PRD 92 (2015) 034022 Karliner and Rosner, PLB 752 (2016) 329 Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002



Electromagnetic decay of the P_c estimated via vector-meson dominance:

- Electromagnetic coupling given by hadronic coupling
- Due to quantum numbers and mass, other resonant contributions not allowed

Photoproduction — the approach

Kubarovsky and Voloshin, PRD 92 (2015) 031502 Wang et al., PRD 92 (2015) 034022 Karliner and Rosner, PLB 752 (2016) 329 Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002



Electromagnetic decay of the P_c estimated via vector-meson dominance:

- Electromagnetic coupling given by hadronic coupling
- Due to quantum numbers and mass, other resonant contributions not allowed

Background behaviour mainly diffractive — forward peaked: Signal dominant in off-forward directions!

Reaction model



- Non-resonant contribution Pomeron exchange
- Resonant amplitude Breit-Wigner ansatz

Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002

Pomeron t-channel exchange



Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002 Daniel Winney et al., work in progress Lesniak and Szczepaniak, A.Phys.Pol.B 34 (2003) 3389 Wang et al., PRD 92 (2015) 034022

$$iA\left(\frac{s-s_{th}}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha(t)}\frac{e^{b_0(t-t_{min})}}{s}$$

 $\times \bar{u}(p_f, \lambda_{p'}) \gamma_{\mu} u(p_i, \lambda_p) [\varepsilon^{\mu}(p_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\gamma}) q^{\nu} - \varepsilon^{\nu}(p_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\gamma}) q^{\mu}] \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\star}(p_{\psi}, \lambda_{\psi})$

Pomeron t-channel exchange



Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002 Daniel Winney et al., work in progress Lesniak and Szczepaniak, A.Phys.Pol.B 34 (2003) 3389 Wang et al., PRD 92 (2015) 034022

Parameters fitted to world J/ψ photoproduction data

$$iA\left(\frac{s-s_{th}}{s_0}\right)^{\alpha(t)}\frac{e^{b_0(t-t_{min})}}{s}$$

 $\times \bar{u}(p_f, \lambda_{p'}) \gamma_{\mu} u(p_i, \lambda_p) [\varepsilon^{\mu}(p_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\gamma}) q^{\nu} - \varepsilon^{\nu}(p_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\gamma}) q^{\mu}] \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\star}(p_{\psi}, \lambda_{\psi})$

Pomeron t-channel exchange



Hiller Blin et al., PRD 94 (2016) 034002 Daniel Winney et al., work in progress Lesniak and Szczepaniak, A.Phys.Pol.B 34 (2003) 3389 Wang et al., PRD 92 (2015) 034022

Parameters fitted to world J/ψ photoproduction data

Pomeron exchange: vector coupling between target and recoil proton

 $\times \bar{u}(p_f, \lambda_{p'}) \gamma_{\mu} u(p_i, \lambda_p) [\varepsilon^{\mu}(p_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\gamma}) q^{\nu} - \varepsilon^{\nu}(p_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\gamma}) q^{\mu}] \varepsilon_{\nu}^{\star}(p_{\psi}, \lambda_{\psi})$

Breit Wigners in s-channel



$$\frac{\langle \lambda_r | T_{em}^{\dagger} | \lambda_{\gamma} \lambda_p \rangle \langle \lambda_{\psi} \lambda_{p'} | T_{dec} | \lambda_r \rangle}{M_r^2 - s - i\Gamma_r M_r} f_{th}(s)$$

Breit Wigners in s-channel



$$\frac{\langle \lambda_r | T_{em}^{\dagger} | \lambda_{\gamma} \lambda_p \rangle \langle \lambda_{\psi} \lambda_{p'} | T_{dec} | \lambda_r \rangle}{M_r^2 - s - i\Gamma_r M_r} f_{th}(s)$$

Hadronic coupling related to branching ratio of P_c into the relevant channel — electromagnetic coupling estimated via VMD

Breit Wigners in s-channel



$$\frac{\langle \lambda_r | T_{em}^{\dagger} | \lambda_{\gamma} \lambda_p \rangle \langle \lambda_{\psi} \lambda_{p'} | T_{dec} | \lambda_r \rangle}{M_r^2 - s - i\Gamma_r M_r} f_{th}(s)$$

Hadronic coupling related to branching ratio of P_c into the relevant channel — electromagnetic coupling estimated via VMD

Only one additional fitting parameter: first estimates for the upper limit of the branching ratio!

Fitting results for narrow peak



Fitting results for narrow peak



Angular studies – photocouplings



Relax VMD condition: 2 independent photocouplings



Angular distributions to be studied at JLAB

Determination of photocoupling ratio!

A. N. Hiller Blin

PWA10/ATHOS5 2

2018-07-19

Exciting news? Advantages of photoproduction

Theoretical overview

Experimental status at JLAB

Newest results on asymmetries

Hall C - approved with "high impact" rating A!

Meziani et al., arXiv:1609.00676

- Bremsstrahlung photon beam from 11-GeV electrons
- Optimized detector angle
- Tight binning in energy and angular distributions
- Statistics should be sufficient for confirmation of narrow peak: For coupling > 1.5%, sensitivity > 5σ!

Hall C - approved with "high impact" rating A!

Meziani et al., arXiv:1609.00676

- Bremsstrahlung photon beam from 11-GeV electrons
- Optimized detector angle
- Tight binning in energy and angular distributions
- Statistics should be sufficient for confirmation of narrow peak: For coupling > 1.5%, sensitivity > 5σ!
- Run of E12-16-007: January 30, 2019 to February 20, 2019; results expected shortly after run
- If confirmed, further insight into J^P and nature

Hall A - electroproduction with SoLID

JLAB Proposal: PR12-12-006

• Results expected further in the future

Hall A - electroproduction with SoLID

JLAB Proposal: PR12-12-006

Results expected further in the future

Hall B - CLAS12

- Successful run between February and May 2018
- e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ data taken: more statistics!
- Data analysis in progress first results expected 2019-2020



Exciting news? Advantages of photoproduction

Theoretical overview

Experimental status at JLAB

Newest results on asymmetries

Asymmetries ALL and KLL

$$A(K)_{LL} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow) - \mathrm{d}\sigma(\uparrow\downarrow)}{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow) + \mathrm{d}\sigma(\uparrow\downarrow)}$$

• A_{LL} — between photon and target

 $\bullet K_{LL}$ — between photon and recoil proton

Asymmetries ALL and KLL

$$A(K)_{LL} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\uparrow\uparrow) - \mathrm{d}\sigma(\uparrow\downarrow)}{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\uparrow\uparrow) + \mathrm{d}\sigma(\uparrow\downarrow)}$$

 $\bullet A_{LL}$ — between photon and target

 $\bullet K_{LL}$ — between photon and recoil proton

Proposed by the SBS experiment in Hall A!

Lol12-18-001 (PAC 46) C. Fanelli, L. Pentchev, B. Wojtsekhowski

Serves as secondary measurement and bound on photocouplings

New results including the two signals



Quoted J^{P} of $P_{c}(4450)$ ($P_{c}(4380)$ has the other J and opposite P)

Summary

- Pentaquark photoproduction: benchmark to confirm resonances
- Fit to world data: constrained branching fraction into J/ψ p channel

Angular behaviour: information on photocouplings

Many ongoing and near-future experiments at JLAB

• Lol for K_{LL} and A_{LL} submitted for Hall A — theoretical studies ready