

Pole parameters from etaphotoproduction data using L+P method

Mirza Hadžimehmedović

International Workshop on Partial Wave Analyses and
Advanced Tools for Hadron Spectroscopy
PWA10/ATHOS5
IHEP, Beijing, China
July 16-20, 2018

Colaboration

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

- University of Tuzla
 - Jugoslav Stahov
 - Hedim Osmanovic
 - Rifat Omerovic
- Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb
 - Alfred Svarc
- Institute of Nuclear Physics
Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz
 - Lothar Tiator
 - Viktor Kashevarov
 - Michael Ostrick
- George Washington University
 - Ron Workman

L+P up to now

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

- 1 Phys.Rev. C88 (2013) no.3, 035206
- 2 Phys.Rev. C89 (2014) no.4, 045205
- 3 Phys.Rev. C89 (2014) no.6, 065208
- 4 Phys.Rev. C91 (2015) no.1, 015207
- 5 Phys.Lett. B755 (2016) 452-455
- 6 Phys.Rev. C94 (2016) no.6, 065204
- 7 Phys.Rev.Lett. 119 (2017) no.6, 062004
- 8 Eur.Phys.J. A53 (2017) no.12, 242

L+P up to now

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

- 1 Introducing the Pietarinen expansion method into the single-channel pole extraction problem
- 2 Poles of Karlsruhe-Helsinki KH80 and KA84 solutions extracted by using the Laurent-Pietarinen method
- 3 Pole positions and residues from pion photoproduction using the Laurent-Pietarinen expansion method
- 4 Pole structure from energy-dependent and single-energy fits to GWU-SAID πN elastic scattering data
- 5 Generalization of the model-independent Laurent-Pietarinen single-channel pole-extraction formalism to multiple channels
- 6 Baryon transition form factors at the pole
- 7 Strong evidence for nucleon resonances near 1900 MeV
- 8 N^* resonances from $K\Lambda$ amplitudes in sliced bins in energy

Single channel formalism

Laurent series - L

- Laurent expansion of a complex analytic function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} (z - z_0)^{-n}$$

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Laurent series - L

- Laurent expansion of a complex analytic function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} (z - z_0)^{-n}$$

- Applied to a single channel scattering matrix

$$T(W) = \frac{a_{-1}}{W_0 - W} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (W - W_0)^n$$

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Laurent series - L

- Laurent expansion of a complex analytic function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} (z - z_0)^{-n}$$

- Applied to a single channel scattering matrix

$$T(W) = \frac{a_{-1}}{W_0 - W} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (W - W_0)^n$$

- Generalized Laurent expansion for the function with k poles

$$T(W) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_{-1}^{(i)}}{W_i - W} + B^L(W)$$

Single channel formalism

Laurent series - L

- Laurent expansion of a complex analytic function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{-n} (z - z_0)^{-n}$$

- Applied to a single channel scattering matrix

$$T(W) = \frac{a_{-1}}{W_0 - W} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (W - W_0)^n$$

- Generalized Laurent expansion for the function with k poles

$$T(W) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{a_{-1}^{(i)}}{W_i - W} + B^L(W)$$

- k - number of poles, $a_{-1}^{(i)}$ and W_i are residues and pole positions for i -th pole, $B^L(W)$ regular function in all $W \neq W_i$

Single channel formalism

Laurent series - L

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline


L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Laurent expansion is valid only locally



Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- Using different approach than standard power series for the regular part of Laurent expansion

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- Using different approach than standard power series for the regular part of Laurent expansion
- S. Ciulli and J. Fischer in Nucl. Phys. 24, 465 (1961).
I. Ciulli, S. Ciulli, and J. Fisher, Nuovo Cimento 23, 1129
E. Pietarinen, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. 12A, 522 (1972).

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- Using different approach than standard power series for the regular part of Laurent expansion
- S. Ciulli and J. Fischer in Nucl. Phys. 24, 465 (1961).
I. Ciulli, S. Ciulli, and J. Fisher, Nuovo Cimento 23, 1129
E. Pietarinen, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. 12A, 522 (1972).
- It has been used, with great success in the KH PWA

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- Using different approach than standard power series for the regular part of Laurent expansion
- S. Ciulli and J. Fischer in Nucl. Phys. 24, 465 (1961).
I. Ciulli, S. Ciulli, and J. Fisher, Nuovo Cimento 23, 1129
E. Pietarinen, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. 12A, 522 (1972).
- It has been used, with great success in the KH PWA
- To avoid discussing the arbitrariness of all possible choices for the background function $B^L(W)$ by replacing it with **rapidly converging** Pietarinen power series defined by a complete set of functions with well known analytic properties.

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- Using different approach than standard power series for the regular part of Laurent expansion
- S. Ciulli and J. Fischer in Nucl. Phys. 24, 465 (1961).
I. Ciulli, S. Ciulli, and J. Fisher, Nuovo Cimento 23, 1129
E. Pietarinen, Nuovo Cimento Soc. Ital. Fis. 12A, 522 (1972).
- It has been used, with great success in the KH PWA
- To avoid discussing the arbitrariness of all possible choices for the background function $B^L(W)$ by replacing it with **rapidly converging** Pietarinen power series defined by a complete set of functions with well known analytic properties.
- If $F(W)$ is analytic function having a cut starting at $W = x_p$ then

$$F(W) = \sum_{n=0}^N c_n Z^n(W) \quad \text{where} \quad Z(W) = \frac{\alpha - \sqrt{x_p - W}}{\alpha + \sqrt{x_p - W}}$$

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- LHC, RHC, Poles

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- LHC, RHC, Poles
- One Pietarinen series to represent each cut

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- LHC, RHC, Poles
- One Pietarinen series to represent each cut
- As we have too many cuts in PW we will group them into two categories

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- LHC, RHC, Poles
- One Pietarinen series to represent each cut
- As we have too many cuts in PW we will group them into two categories
 - all negative energy cuts are approximated with only one, effective negative energy cut represented with one Pietarinen series

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- LHC, RHC, Poles
- One Pietarinen series to represent each cut
- As we have too many cuts in PW we will group them into two categories
 - all negative energy cuts are approximated with only one, effective negative energy cut represented with one Pietarinen series
 - each physical cut is represented with its own Pietarinen series with branch points determined by the physics of the process.

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

Single channel formalism

Pietarinen series - P

- LHC, RHC, Poles
- One Pietarinen series to represent each cut
- As we have too many cuts in PW we will group them into two categories
 - all negative energy cuts are approximated with only one, effective negative energy cut represented with one Pietarinen series
 - each physical cut is represented with its own Pietarinen series with branch points determined by the physics of the process.
- Equation which define Laurent expansion + Pietarinen series method (L+P method):

$$T(W) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i + i y_i}{W_i - W} + \sum_{k=1}^K c_k X(W)^k + \sum_{l=1}^L d_l Y(W)^l + \sum_{m=1}^M e_m Z(W)^m$$

$$X(W) = \frac{\alpha - \sqrt{x_P - W}}{\alpha + \sqrt{x_P - W}}; \quad Y(W) = \frac{\beta - \sqrt{x_Q - W}}{\beta + \sqrt{x_Q - W}}; \quad Z(W) = \frac{\gamma - \sqrt{x_R - W}}{\gamma + \sqrt{x_R - W}}$$

$$D_{dp} = \frac{1}{2N_E} \sum_{i=1}^{N_E} \left[\left(\frac{\Re T_i^{fit} - \Re T_i}{\text{Err}_i^{\Re}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Im T_i^{fit} - \Im T_i}{\text{Err}_i^{\Im}} \right)^2 \right]$$

Multi/Coupled - channel/multipole... formalism

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

- Correlated multipoles in π and η photoproduction, and partial wave amplitudes in coupled-channel models can only be treated in a sequence of independent single-channel procedures, missing the constraint that poles in all such situations must be the same.
- Also, in some cases, all existing poles may not be recognized in each individual process, and that in particular happens if a resonance coupling to a particular channel is weak.

Multi/Coupled - channel/multipole... formalism

The generalization of L+P method to MC L+P is performed in the following way:

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

$$T^{(a)}(W) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^{(a)} + z y_i^{(a)}}{W_i - W} + \sum_{k=1}^K c_k^{(a)} X^{(a)}(W)^k + \sum_{l=1}^L d_l^{(a)} Y^{(a)}(W)^l + \sum_{m=1}^M e_m^{(a)} Z^{(a)}(W)^m$$

$$X^{(a)}(W) = \frac{\alpha^{(a)} - \sqrt{x_P^{(a)} - W}}{\alpha^{(a)} + \sqrt{x_P^{(a)} - W}}; \quad Y^{(a)}(W) = \frac{\beta^{(a)} - \sqrt{x_Q^{(a)} - W}}{\beta^{(a)} + \sqrt{x_Q^{(a)} - W}}; \quad Z^{(a)}(W) = \frac{\gamma^{(a)} - \sqrt{x_R^{(a)} - W}}{\gamma^{(a)} + \sqrt{x_R^{(a)} - W}}$$

$$D_{dp} = \sum_{(a)} D_{dp}^{(a)} = \frac{1}{2N_{data}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{data}} \left[\left(\frac{\Re T_{(a)}^{fit}(W_i) - \Re T_{(a)}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(a)}^{\Re}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Im T_{(a)}^{fit}(W_i) - \Im T_{(a)}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(a)}^{\Im}} \right)^2 \right]$$

Multi/Coupled - channel/multipole... formalism

The generalization of L+P method to MC L+P is performed in the following way:

- Separate Laurent expansions and Pietarinen series for each channel/multipole;

$$T^{(a)}(W) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^{(a)} + z y_i^{(a)}}{W_i - W} + \sum_{k=1}^K c_k^{(a)} X^{(a)}(W)^k + \sum_{l=1}^L d_l^{(a)} Y^{(a)}(W)^l + \sum_{m=1}^M e_m^{(a)} Z^{(a)}(W)^m$$

$$X^{(a)}(W) = \frac{\alpha^{(a)} - \sqrt{x_P^{(a)} - W}}{\alpha^{(a)} + \sqrt{x_P^{(a)} - W}}; \quad Y^{(a)}(W) = \frac{\beta^{(a)} - \sqrt{x_Q^{(a)} - W}}{\beta^{(a)} + \sqrt{x_Q^{(a)} - W}}; \quad Z^{(a)}(W) = \frac{\gamma^{(a)} - \sqrt{x_R^{(a)} - W}}{\gamma^{(a)} + \sqrt{x_R^{(a)} - W}}$$

$$D_{dp} = \sum_{(a)} D_{dp}^{(a)} = \frac{1}{2N_{data}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{data}} \left[\left(\frac{\Re T_{(a)}^{fit}(W_i) - \Re T_{(a)}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(a)}^{\Re}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Im T_{(a)}^{fit}(W_i) - \Im T_{(a)}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(a)}^{\Im}} \right)^2 \right]$$

Multi/Coupled - channel/multipole... formalism

The generalization of L+P method to MC L+P is performed in the following way:

- Separate Laurent expansions and Pietarinen series for each channel/multipole;
- Pole positions are the same for all channels/multipoles,

$$T^{\mathbf{a}}(W) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^{(\mathbf{a})} + z y_i^{(\mathbf{a})}}{W_i - W} + \sum_{k=1}^K c_k^{(\mathbf{a})} X^{(\mathbf{a})}(W)^k + \sum_{l=1}^L d_l^{(\mathbf{a})} Y^{(\mathbf{a})}(W)^l + \sum_{m=1}^M e_m^{(\mathbf{a})} Z^{(\mathbf{a})}(W)^m$$

$$X^{(\mathbf{a})}(W) = \frac{\alpha^{(\mathbf{a})} - \sqrt{x_P^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}{\alpha^{(\mathbf{a})} + \sqrt{x_P^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}; \quad Y^{(\mathbf{a})}(W) = \frac{\beta^{(\mathbf{a})} - \sqrt{x_Q^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}{\beta^{(\mathbf{a})} + \sqrt{x_Q^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}; \quad Z^{(\mathbf{a})}(W) = \frac{\gamma^{(\mathbf{a})} - \sqrt{x_R^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}{\gamma^{(\mathbf{a})} + \sqrt{x_R^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}$$

$$D_{dp} = \sum_{(\mathbf{a})} D_{dp}^{(\mathbf{a})} = \frac{1}{2N_{data}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{data}} \left[\left(\frac{\Re T_{(\mathbf{a})}^{fit}(W_i) - \Re T_{(\mathbf{a})}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(\mathbf{a})}^{\Re}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Im T_{(\mathbf{a})}^{fit}(W_i) - \Im T_{(\mathbf{a})}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(\mathbf{a})}^{\Im}} \right)^2 \right]$$

Multi/Coupled - channel/multipole... formalism

The generalization of L+P method to MC L+P is performed in the following way:

- Separate Laurent expansions and Pietarinen series for each channel/multipole;
- Pole positions are the same for all channels/multipoles,
- Residua and all Pietarinen coefficients free;

$$T^{\mathbf{a}}(W) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^{(\mathbf{a})} + z y_i^{(\mathbf{a})}}{W_i - W} + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{c_k^{(\mathbf{a})}}{k} X^{(\mathbf{a})}(W)^k + \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{d_l^{(\mathbf{a})}}{l} Y^{(\mathbf{a})}(W)^l + \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{e_m^{(\mathbf{a})}}{m} Z^{(\mathbf{a})}(W)^m$$

$$X^{(\mathbf{a})}(W) = \frac{\alpha^{(\mathbf{a})} - \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{P}}^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}{\alpha^{(\mathbf{a})} + \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{P}}^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}; \quad Y^{(\mathbf{a})}(W) = \frac{\beta^{(\mathbf{a})} - \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}{\beta^{(\mathbf{a})} + \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}; \quad Z^{(\mathbf{a})}(W) = \frac{\gamma^{(\mathbf{a})} - \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{R}}^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}{\gamma^{(\mathbf{a})} + \sqrt{x_{\mathbf{R}}^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}$$

$$D_{dp} = \sum_{(\mathbf{a})} D_{dp}^{(\mathbf{a})} = \frac{1}{2N_{\text{data}}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{data}}} \left[\left(\frac{\Re T_{(\mathbf{a})}^{\text{fit}}(W_i) - \Re T_{(\mathbf{a})}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(\mathbf{a})}^{\Re}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Im T_{(\mathbf{a})}^{\text{fit}}(W_i) - \Im T_{(\mathbf{a})}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(\mathbf{a})}^{\Im}} \right)^2 \right]$$

Multi/Coupled - channel/multipole... formalism

The generalization of L+P method to MC L+P is performed in the following way:

- Separate Laurent expansions and Pietarinen series for each channel/multipole;
- Pole positions are the same for all channels/multipoles,
- Residua and all Pietarinen coefficients free;
- Branch-points exactly as for the single-channel model;

$$T^{\mathbf{a}}(W) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^{(\mathbf{a})} + z y_i^{(\mathbf{a})}}{W_i - W} + \sum_{k=1}^K c_k^{(\mathbf{a})} X^{(\mathbf{a})}(W)^k + \sum_{l=1}^L d_l^{(\mathbf{a})} Y^{(\mathbf{a})}(W)^l + \sum_{m=1}^M e_m^{(\mathbf{a})} Z^{(\mathbf{a})}(W)^m$$

$$X^{(\mathbf{a})}(W) = \frac{\alpha^{(\mathbf{a})} - \sqrt{x_P^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}{\alpha^{(\mathbf{a})} + \sqrt{x_P^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}; \quad Y^{(\mathbf{a})}(W) = \frac{\beta^{(\mathbf{a})} - \sqrt{x_Q^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}{\beta^{(\mathbf{a})} + \sqrt{x_Q^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}; \quad Z^{(\mathbf{a})}(W) = \frac{\gamma^{(\mathbf{a})} - \sqrt{x_R^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}{\gamma^{(\mathbf{a})} + \sqrt{x_R^{(\mathbf{a})} - W}}$$

$$D_{dp} = \sum_{(\mathbf{a})} D_{dp}^{(\mathbf{a})} = \frac{1}{2N_{data}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{data}} \left[\left(\frac{\Re T_{(\mathbf{a})}^{fit}(W_i) - \Re T_{(\mathbf{a})}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(\mathbf{a})}^{\Re}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Im T_{(\mathbf{a})}^{fit}(W_i) - \Im T_{(\mathbf{a})}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(\mathbf{a})}^{\Im}} \right)^2 \right]$$

Multi/Coupled - channel/multipole... formalism

The generalization of L+P method to MC L+P is performed in the following way:

- Separate Laurent expansions and Pietarinen series for each channel/multipole;
- Pole positions are the same for all channels/multipoles,
- Residua and all Pietarinen coefficients free;
- Branch-points exactly as for the single-channel model;
- Generalize the single-channel discrepancy function D_{dp}^a

$$T^a(W) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{x_i^{(a)} + z y_i^{(a)}}{W_i - W} + \sum_{k=1}^K c_k^{(a)} X^{(a)}(W)^k + \sum_{l=1}^L d_l^{(a)} Y^{(a)}(W)^l + \sum_{m=1}^M e_m^{(a)} Z^{(a)}(W)^m$$

$$X^{(a)}(W) = \frac{\alpha^{(a)} - \sqrt{x_P^{(a)} - W}}{\alpha^{(a)} + \sqrt{x_P^{(a)} - W}}; \quad Y^{(a)}(W) = \frac{\beta^{(a)} - \sqrt{x_Q^{(a)} - W}}{\beta^{(a)} + \sqrt{x_Q^{(a)} - W}}; \quad Z^{(a)}(W) = \frac{\gamma^{(a)} - \sqrt{x_R^{(a)} - W}}{\gamma^{(a)} + \sqrt{x_R^{(a)} - W}}$$

$$D_{dp}^a = \sum_{(a)} D_{dp}^{(a)} = \frac{1}{2N_{data}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{data}} \left[\left(\frac{\Re T_{(a)}^{fit}(W_i) - \Re T_{(a)}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(a)}^{\Re}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Im T_{(a)}^{fit}(W_i) - \Im T_{(a)}(W_i)}{\text{Err}_{i,(a)}^{\Im}} \right)^2 \right]$$

η photoproduction multipoles

Phys.Rev. C97 (2018) no.1, 015207

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

- A2 Collaboration at MAMI
- Differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$
CBall/MAMI: E.McNicoll et al., PRC 82(2010) 035208
- Target asymmetry T
C.S. Akondi et al. (A2 Collaboration at MAMI) Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 102001 (2014).
- Double-polarisation asymmetry F
C.S. Akondi et al. (A2 Collaboration at MAMI) Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 102001 (2014).
- GRAAL collaboration
- Beam asymmetry Σ .
Bartalini et al., EPJ A 33 (2007) 169

η photoproduction multipoles

Phys.Rev. C97 (2018) no.1, 015207

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213

Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H

- The method consists of two analyses: Fixed-t amplitude analysis (Fixed-t AA) - determination of the invariant scattering amplitudes from exp. data at a given fixed-t value
- Constrained single energy partial wave analysis - SE PWA
- Fixed-t amplitude analysis and single energy PWA are coupled. Results from one analysis are used as constraint in another in an iterative procedure.

η photoproduction multipoles

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



η photoproduction multipoles

Solution 16H

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	residue	θ
$N(1535) 1/2^-$	1505^{+4}_{-3}	175^{+3}_{-3}	2164	10
$N(1650) 1/2^-$	1640^{+5}_{-5}	107^{+6}_{-5}	185	-142

L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1710) 1/2^+$	1698^{+5}_{-7}	149^{+13}_{-12}	143	-178

L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1720) 3/2^+$	1671^{+30}_{-26}	356^{+52}_{-50}	$\frac{112}{291}$	$\frac{-11}{-40}$

L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1720) 3/2^+$	1671^{+30}_{-26}	356^{+52}_{-50}	112	-11
			291	-40

L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	Re W_p	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	residue	θ
$N(1520) 3/2^-$	1514^{+11}_{-13}	105^{+36}_{-26}	37	-50
			33	-40
$N(1700) 3/2^-$	1707^{+18}_{-19}	118^{+20}_{-16}	42	-87
			11	10

L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1520) 3/2^-$	1514^{+11}_{-13}	105^{+36}_{-26}	37	-50
			33	-40
$N(1700) 3/2^-$	1707^{+18}_{-19}	118^{+20}_{-16}	42	-87
			11	10

L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1675) 5/2^-$	1658^{+10}_{-10}	83^{+15}_{-15}	$\frac{2}{8}$	$\frac{111}{124}$

L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1675) 5/2^-$	1658^{+10}_{-10}	83^{+15}_{-15}	2	111
			8	124

L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1680) 5/2^+$	1666^{+4}_{-4}	143^{+5}_{-5}	$\frac{37}{6}$	$\frac{-15}{-7}$

L+P fits

Solution 16a

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	residue	θ
$N(1680) 5/2^+$	1666^{+4}_{-4}	143^{+5}_{-5}	$\frac{37}{6}$	$\frac{-15}{-7}$

L+P fits

Solution 16H

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	Re W_p	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	residue	θ
$N(1535) 1/2^-$	1510^{+2}_{-2}	178^{+4}_{-4}	2291	15
$N(1650) 1/2^-$	1659^{+4}_{-4}	99^{+4}_{-4}	162	-96

L+P fits

Solution 16H

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1710) 1/2^+$	1690^{+6}_{-6}	116^{+10}_{-10}	99	-168

L+P fits

Solution 16H

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1720) 3/2^+$	1665^{+19}_{-22}	328^{+50}_{-46}	$\frac{68}{196}$	$\frac{-16}{-48}$

L+P fits

Solution 16H

Supported by
"THOR"
COST Action
- CA15213


Outline

L+P
Formalism

Input

Results

Solution 16a
Solution 16H



Resonance	$\text{Re } W_p$	$-2\text{Im } W_p$	$ \text{residue} $	θ
$N(1720) 3/2^+$	1665^{+19}_{-22}	328^{+50}_{-46}	$\frac{68}{196}$	$\frac{-16}{-48}$