# **Studies of CEPC AHCAL detector cell performance**

Jiechen Jiang, Hang Zhao, Boxiang Yu<sup>\*</sup>, Yong liu, Manqi Ruan, Tao Hu,

On behalf of CEPC Calorimeter Working Group

INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON HIGH ENERGY CIRCULAR ELECTRON POSITRON COLLIDER *November 12-14, 2018* IHEP, Beijing



### Introduction

The Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC) as a Higgs factory was proposed by China in 2013. The CEPC detector design was using International Linear Collider Detector as an initial baseline. The CEPC calorimeters, including the high granularity electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL) and the hadron calorimeter (HCAL), are designed for precise energy measurements of electrons, photons, taus and hadronic jets. HCAL is a typical sampling calorimeter, whose structure consists of absorber layers (such as iron, lead and tungsten) interleaved with sensitive layers (such as plastic scintillator, GEM, RPC). Analog HCAL (AHCAL) is used for future largescale linear collider experiments, whose jet energy resolution  $\sigma E/E =$ 30%/VE can be achieved by particle flow algorithms in order to efficiently separate  $Z^0$ ,  $W^{\pm}$  and Higgs bosons. AHCAL is an option for HCAL based on plastic scintillator. The preliminary design of AHCAL contains 40 layers. Each layer consists of 5mm sensitive layer and 20mm stainless steel absorber layer in AHCAL.

### Studies of domestic technology

• ESR design for tiles wrapping



#### ESR cut by mould

- Cheaper
- Minimal ESR consumption
- Minumum damage for ESR
- A few gap in the crease
- Easy wrapping and automation





Sideview of one layer in CEPC-AHCAL

## **NDL-SiPM** 11-1010C

Parameters table and realistic figure

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Effective Active Area	$1 \times 1 \text{mm}^2$	Peak PDE@420nm*	31%
Effective Pitch	10 µm	Dark Count Rate*	~500 kHz
Micro-cell Number	~10000	1 p.e. Pulse Width	6.8ns
Operating Temperature Down to LN2 (77 K)	Yes	Temperature Coefficient For V <sub>b</sub>	25 mV/°C
Breakdown Voltage (Vb)	27.5±0.4V	Gain	≥2×10 <sup>5</sup>
Max. Overvoltage ( $\Delta V_{max}$ )	8 V	Single Photon Time Resolution	≤ 70 ps





### Domestic scintillators

- Made by Injection molding (custom-made and 8 iterations of recipe testing)
- Without polished, smooth and transparent
- Dimension deviation below 50um from each other (30 tiles)
- Light yield winthin 10% deviation



For AHCAL detector cell could be massively produced now.

# Studies of software compensation

#### Hadron-induced shower

#### EM and hadronic component

Production of  $\pi^0$ s and  $\eta$ s are statisitical, fluctuates from shower to shower Non detectable energy for hadronic component (break-up of absorber nuclei, undetected neutrons) Character:Non-linear response for non-compensating calorimeters

Cell density

Simply multiplying the visible signal can not get good performace of energy reconstruction for AHCAL. Think about software compensation(SC) as CALICE done before

0.006728/4

 $0.931 \pm 0.0263$  $0.8346 \pm 0.04745$ 

02983 ± 0.004119

 $p_2 = a_0 + a_1 \times e^{a_2 x^{a_3}}$ 

	0 E			
	2.0		χ² / ndf	
	_		Prob	
Sample : 10-100GeV $\pi$ particle	2S –		p0	
			p1	
	2		p2	-0
Event selection:				
<ul> <li>Cell energy &gt;0 5MIP</li> </ul>				

Chinese Beijing Normal University (BNU) has developed silicon photomultiplier (SiPM) technologies with epitaxial quenching resistors (EQR).

NDL EQR-SiPM is easy to implement owning to its unique structure featuring intrinsic continuous and uniform cap resistor layer. Thus it has higher fill factor.





- Same Size as MPPC 12571-010P
- 3 times PDE than MPPC 12571-010P
- Good uniformity between each SiPM

Overvoltage[V]





# Simulation of AHCAL prototype

**Preliminary design of AHCAL Prototype** 

- Dimension: 51\*51\*87.5cm<sup>3</sup>
- Total layers: 35



distribution Fit function:  $\omega_j = p_0 + p_1 e^{(p_2 * e_j)}$ • Event energy>100MIP applying weights  $w_i$  to the different energy deposition of cell 10<sup>-5</sup> to improve response of EM and hadronic component 60 80 100 120 140 160 180 200 energy density[MIP/Cell] 80 100 120 140 energy density[mip/cell] -0.939 0.783 -0.934-0.939 Using fit function: Weight determination througt  $\chi^2$  minimisation 0.783 - 0.934Reduce the number of parameters Enforce a smooth behavior of the weight  $\chi^2 = \sum_i (E_{ini} - \sum_i (E_{HCAL,i} \times w_i))^2)$ **Eliminating fluctuations** . . . . **a**\_0.6  ${}^{60}\overline{\text{E}_{\text{initial}}^{60}}$ <sup>40</sup> E<sub>initial</sub><sup>60</sup> [GeV] E<sub>initial</sub>[GeV]  $p_0 = a_1 + a_2 \times E$ The parameters  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$  were energy dependent. To improve the  $p_1 = a_0 + a_1 \times e^{a_2 x^{a_3}}$ 

stability of the determination of the energy dependence of the three parameters, finding three function to fit these three parameters which is variable with different induce energy particles.

Single Weight

Energy Depender



- Detector cell size:  $3 \text{cm} \times 3 \text{cm}$
- Absorber: stainless steel
- Read-out chips: SPIROC-2E
- Total channel: 17\*17\*35=10115



Energy reconstruction:  $(E_{rec} = \sum_{i} (E_{HCAL,i} \times w_i) \times XC, \chi^2 = \sum_{i} (E_{ini} - E_{rec})^2$ Energy resolution is improved near 20%.



The result just be obtained by adding deposition energy of scintillator cells. For AHCAL prototype performace, Single hadronic energy resolution is not important. It can not be used as a criterion for

#### detector cell optimization

# Conclusion

Fit:  $\frac{a}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus b$ 

0.15

ъ

a=43.7±0.6% b=9.5±0.07%

a=35.6±0.5% b=6.6±0.07%

- Domestic scintillator by injection molding satisfied our requirement and could be massively produced now.
- NDL-SiPM 11-1010C has good PDE but dark count rate is a little higher.
- Local software compensation can improve energy resolution about 20%