

# **Tau Reconstruction in CMS**

# 2018 CEPC Workshop, Beijing

Thomas Müller on behalf of the CMS Collaboration



# the Large Hadron Collider



# $C_{ompact} M_{uon} S_{olenoid} \quad at the \quad L_{arge} H_{adron} C_{ollider}$

FRANCE



### **Particle Flow**



Reconstruct physics objects from combined subdetector information

Reconstruct and identify 5 classes of particles:

```
\triangleright electrons
                         ▷ photons
                                                 charged/neutral hadrons
                                                                                                ▷ muons
```

Reconstruct and identify hadronic tau decays based on detailed information of jet constituents





### Tau Decays in CMS





### Hadron Plus Strips Algorithm



- 1. Seeds: particle-flow constituents ( $e^{\pm}$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $h^{\pm}$ ,  $h^{0}$ ,  $\mu^{\pm}$ ) of reconstructed anti- $k_{\rm T}$  jets with R = 0.4
  - Good tracks:  $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 0.5 \,\mathrm{GeV}$
  - Compatibility of tracks with a primary vertex (relaxed in x-y)
- 2. Identify all **possible combinations** for  $\tau_h$  decay modes:

 $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow [1,3]h^{\pm} + [0-2]\pi^{0} + \nu_{\tau} \\ \pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ 

 $\gamma$  + material  $\rightarrow$   $e^+e^-$ 

Signature of  $\pi^0$  decays: activity in  $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \varphi$  region (strip)



► Signal cone size:  $0.1 \ge R_{sig} = \frac{3 \text{ GeV}}{p_T} \ge 0.05$ 



### Hadron Plus Strips Algorithm



- 1. Seeds: particle-flow constituents ( $e^{\pm}$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $h^{\pm}$ ,  $h^{0}$ ,  $\mu^{\pm}$ ) of reconstructed anti- $k_{\rm T}$  jets with R = 0.4
  - Good tracks:  $p_{\mathrm{T}} > 0.5 \,\mathrm{GeV}$
  - Compatibility of tracks with a primary vertex (relaxed in x-y)
- 2. Identify all **possible combinations** for  $\tau_h$  decay modes:
  - $\tau^{\pm} \rightarrow [1,3]h^{\pm} + [0-2]\pi^{0} + \nu_{\tau} \\ \pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ 
    - $\gamma + \text{material} \rightarrow e^+e^-$
  - Signature of  $\pi^0$  decays: activity in  $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \varphi$  region (strip)
  - ► Signal cone size:  $0.1 \ge R_{sig} = \frac{3 \text{ GeV}}{p_T} \ge 0.05$
- 3. Quality criteria:
  - Charge of  $\tau_h$  candidate is  $\pm 1$
  - Compatibility of  $\tau_h$  mass with intermediate resonances:  $\rho(770)$  and  $a_1(1260)$
- 4. Retain  $\tau_h$  candidate with highest  $p_T$



### Hadron Plus Strips Algorithm



CMS DP-2018/026

III. Physikalische Institut B





### **Discrimination of** $\tau_h$ **Candidates against Jets**

 $\blacktriangleright$   $\tau$  leptons are produced and decay via weak interaction



- No color charge involved
  - → Decays isolated from hadronic activity
- ▶ Long lifetime  $\tau_{\tau}$ (50 GeV)  $\approx$  2.5 mm
  - → Displaced tracks and decay vertices
- Small mass  $m_{ au} = 1.778 \, {
  m GeV}$ 
  - → Low particle multiplicity





### **Discrimination of** $\tau_h$ **Candidates against Jets**

### CMS TAU-16/003





- No color charge involved
  - → Decays isolated from hadronic activity
- ▶ Long lifetime  $au_{ au}$ (50 GeV) pprox 2.5 mm
  - → Displaced tracks and decay vertices
- Small mass  $m_{ au} = 1.778 \, {
  m GeV}$ 
  - → Low particle multiplicity
- MVA discrimination (BDT) based on
  - Charged/neutral isolation sums
  - Lifetime information
  - (impact parameter, flight length)
  - $\triangleright$  Reconstructed  $\tau_h$  decay mode
  - Particle multiplicities
  - Differential strip information





### **Identification Performance in MC**

#### CMS TAU-16/003



- ► 6 MVA (+ 3 cut-based) working points provided
  - $\triangleright$  BDT thresholds adjusted as a function of  $p_{\rm T}$
  - $\triangleright$  Constant efficiencies from 30 to 70%
- Performance estimation in MC (w.r.t. relevant phase space)
  - $\triangleright$  Identification efficiency from  $Z \rightarrow \tau \tau \ {\rm MC}$

 $\epsilon = \frac{\text{generated } \tau_h \& \text{ reconstructed and good } \tau_h}{\text{generated } \tau_h}$ 

▷ Misidentification probability from QCD multijet MC  $p = \frac{\text{generated } q/g \text{ jet } \& \text{ reconstructed and good } \tau_h}{p}$ 

generated q/g jet



### Identification Performance – Comparison of Data and MC



- $\triangleright$  Use  $Z/\gamma * \rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow \mu \tau_h + 3\nu$  events
- ▷ Tag events with good muon
- $\triangleright$  Probe  $\tau_h$  candidate matched to generator level  $\tau$





Scale factor obtained from simulataneous max. likelihood fit of signal+background to data in both categories



### Identification Performance – Comparison of Data and MC



Measure efficiency in data with Tag & Probe method

 $\triangleright$  Use  $Z/\gamma * \rightarrow \tau \tau \rightarrow \mu \tau_h + 3\nu$  events



III. Physikalische

200

- ▷ Scale factor obtained from simulataneous max. likelihood fit of signal+background to data in both categories
- ▶ Similar measurements in  $t\bar{t}$  and off-shell  $W \rightarrow \tau \nu$  events
  - $\triangleright$  Cover large range in  $p_{\rm T}$  of  $\tau_h$  candidate



- ▷ 2 working points
- $\triangleright$  Misidentification probabilities smaller than 0.5%
- ▶ BDT discriminator against electrons faking  $\tau_h$ 
  - ▷ 5 working points
  - > Efficiencies and scale factors measured via Tau & Probe







### **Tau Energy Scale Corrections**

1.0

*m*<sub>τ.</sub> (GeV)

Events / bin

Obs/Exp

1.0

0.6

0.5

0.8

#### 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> (2016, 13 TeV) 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> (2016, 13 TeV) ×10<sup>3</sup>CMS 35.9 fb<sup>-1</sup> (2016, 13 TeV) ×10<sup>3</sup>CMS $\times 10^3$ CMS Events / bin Events / bin 20 20 E 20 $h^{\pm}\pi^{0}$ decay mode $h^{\pm}\pi^{0}$ decay mode $h^{\pm}\pi^{0}$ decay mode Observed Observed • Observed 18 16 14 12 τ<sub>ь</sub> ES -6% 18**E** 18 τ<sub>h</sub> ES +6% $\Box Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau$ $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau$ $\Box Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow \tau\tau$ 16**E** 🔲 tī 16 tī tī l t other DY other DY other DY 14 E 14 Electroweak Electroweak Electroweak 12**F** 12 QCD multijet QCD multijet QCD multijet 10 Uncertainty 10**E** Uncertainty Uncertainty 8 8 Obs/Exp Obs/Exp 1 4 14 1.2 1.2 1.2

1.0

 $m_{\tau_{\rm h}}$  (GeV)

×10<sup>-3</sup>

25

20

Correction to  $\tau_{h}$  energy scale in MC

1.0

0.8

06

0.5

- $\triangleright$   $\tau_h$  energy scale = factor to scale four-momentum of  $\tau_h$
- ► Measure correction to MC from max. likelihood fit of mass templates to data
  - $\triangleright$  Visible  $\mu + \tau_h$  mass for all  $\tau_h$  decay modes separately  $\triangleright \tau_h$  mass for  $h^{\pm}\pi^0$  and  $h^{\pm}h^{\pm}h^{\mp}$  decay modes
  - $\triangleright$  Corrections at 1% level observed



alues from



 $h^{\pm}\pi^{0}$ 

 $h^{\pm}h^{\pm}h$ 

h<sup>±</sup>

### **Application in Physics Analyses – Examples**







# Conclusion

- $\triangleright$  Hadron+Strips  $\tau_h$  reconstruction
- MVA discriminators for identification
- Measurement of scale factors and corrections from data
- Robust \(\tau\_h\) reconstruction and identification
- Good agreement between data and simulation
- Successfully used in numerous published analyses

# Additional Material

### **BDT Inputs for Discrimination against Jets**

#### CMS PAS TAU-16/002





III. Physikalisches Institut B

### Jet $\rightarrow \tau_h$ Misidentification Probabilities in Data

#### CMS TAU-16/003





### **BDT Inputs for Discrimination against Electrons**

#### **CMS PAS TAU-16/002**





### **Discrimination of** $\tau_h$ **Candidates against Muons**





### Some CMS Numbers

### Solenoid

- $\triangleright$  Superconducting coil, 3.8 T inside, 2 T outside
- ▷ 12.5 m length, 3.15 m inner radius

### Tracker

 $\triangleright$  Silicon strips and pixels, 1.20 m outer radius, 4-3 + 11-10 layers

### Electromagnetic calorimeter

- $\triangleright$  Lead tungstate (PbWO<sub>4</sub>) crystals, ~25 radiation lengths
- $\triangleright$  Barrel: 2.2  $\times$  2.2 cm<sup>2</sup> (2.2 cm Molière radius), equivalent to  $\Delta \eta \times \Delta \varphi = 0.0174 \times 0.0174$
- $\triangleright$  Endcap: 2.9  $\times$  2.9 cm<sup>2</sup>

▷ Energy resolution: 
$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} = \frac{2.8\%}{\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}} \oplus \frac{12\%}{E/\text{GeV}} \oplus 0.3\%$$

### Hadronic calorimeter

 $\triangleright$  Brass absorber + plastic scintillator tiles, ~6-10 radiation lengths

ho  $\Delta\eta imes\Deltaarphi=$  0.087 imes 0.087 to 0.17 imes 0.17

$$\triangleright \text{ Energy resolution: } \frac{\sigma_E}{E} = \frac{110\%}{\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}}} \oplus 9\%$$

### Muon system

- ▷ DTs (barrel), CSCs (endcal), RPCs (everywhere)
- $\triangleright\,$  Tracker dominates momentum measurement up to  $p_{\mathrm{T}}\approx200\,\mathrm{GeV}$



### **Tracking Performance**





# **Tracking Performance**

### TrackingPOGPerformance2017MC





Inctitut R

