Lecture Plan

Lecture 1: Hadrons as laboratory for QCD:

- Introduction to QCD
- Bare vs effective effective quarks and gluons : Quark Model is important
- Phenomenology of Hadrons

Lecture 2: Complex analysis

Lecture 3: Phenomenology of hadron reactions

- Kinematics and observables
- Space time picture of Parton interactions and Regge phenomena
- Properties of reaction amplitudes

Lecture 4: How to extract resonance information from the data

- Partial waves and resonance properties
- Amplitude analysis methods (spin complications)





Why QCD and why Hadron Spectroscopy









INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Jefferson Lab

- A single theory describing nuclear phenomena at distance scales O(10¹⁵m) as well as O(10⁴m).
- It builds from objects (quarks and gluons) that do not exist. Gluons are responsible for mass generation and color confinement.
 - ~99% mass comes from interactions!
- Complex ground state (vacuum) and excited (hadrons) states (monopoles, vortices, ...)
- Predicts existence of exotic matter, e.g. matter made from radiation (glueballs, hybrids) and novel plasmas.
- A possible template for physics beyond the Standard Model
- It is challenging !



Stranger Things (of the Nuclear World)



What are the constituents of hadrons, (quarks and gluons) ?

small world (10⁻¹⁵m)

of fast (v~c) particles

exerting ~1T forces !!!



$$\hbar = c = 1$$

[length] = [time] = [energy]⁻¹ = [momentum]⁻¹ Unit energy = 1 GeVUnit lengt = 1GeV^{-1} = 0.197 fm



Particles vs Fields

In relativistic quantum mechanics (QFT) particles are emergent phenomena

(i.e. fields are not physically measurable but their "consequences" are, cf. potential vs electric field density)

"excitation of the aether" \rightarrow field field

$H = H_{h.o} = harmonic oscillators$

"bare" particles : eigenstates of H_{h.o.}



Relativity and QM makes things disappear !



- The distance scale a IS the only mass scale left, e.g. E = O(a⁻¹) so there is NO continuum limit for energy. This a reflation of scale invariance of the continuum Hamiltonian.
- A physical theory, like QCD needs a continuum limit. This implies the scale invariance is broken (anomalous anomalous symmetry breaking). In QCD a natural scale emerges $\rightarrow \Lambda_{QCD}$.
- When this is possible (e.g. finite number of interactions) we deal with renormalizable theory, otherwise it is an (in)effective theory

$$H = \frac{1}{2a} \left[\sum_{i} v^2 p_i^2 + (q_{i+1} - q_i)^2 \right]$$
$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} x^2 \qquad p_i = -i\partial/\partial q_i$$
$$\omega^2 = v^2/a^2$$

Coupled harmonic oscillators, use normal modes to uncouple (Fourier transform)

$$H = \sum_{n} \omega_{n} a_{n}^{\dagger} a_{n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} \omega_{n}$$
$$\omega_{n} = v k_{n} \quad k_{n} = \frac{2\pi n}{Na}$$
$$|n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3} \cdots \rangle = a_{n_{1}}^{\dagger} a_{n_{2}}^{\dagger} a_{n_{3}}^{\dagger} \cdots |0\rangle$$

associated with ladder operators, 1-particle with momentum k_1 .1-particle with momentum k_2 , etc.

$$\frac{\Delta k_n}{k_n} = \frac{1}{N}$$

k's quasi-continuous spectrum for large N

Lets see how this works in practice

In 0+1 dimension (Quantum Mechanics in 1 special dimension) find bound states of the Hamiltonian

$H = p + \lambda \delta(x) = \sqrt{p^2} + \lambda \delta(x)$





Bare particles are eigenstates of free Hamiltonian 8

"Bare (free)" particles of QCD: quarks and gluons

e.g. because of asymptotic freedom measured in high energy collisions







- Gluon ~ 8 copies of a photon
- Photons do not cary electric charge : they only interact the matter (e.g.) electrons that do carry charge
- Gluons carry charge, i.e. interact with each other and with quarks.

Particles vs Fields: Hamiltonian vs Lagrangian⁹



QED vs QCD

QED

Bare particles are eigenstates of free Hamiltonian. If interactions are weak ٠ (e.g. QED) the "bare particle" \sim observed particle = (interacting particles)



Quarks in hadrons have the effective color charge e > 3-4. Therefore there is in principle no reason for them to retain their identify in presence of strong interactionsbut it seems they do

Jefferson Lab



Discovery of quarks e.g. the J/ψ

Light quarks -> Deep Inelastic Scattering

A narrow resonance was discovered in the 1974 November revolution of particle physics" in two reactions:



Charmonium spectrum







Hunting for Resonances



Quark Model : exploring flavor

·:: *) G. SHELE **) CELL-software N3:202A37 ۰. Both nesotia and baryons are constructed from a set of three fundamental particles walled accor the same break opinic ar isospin double, and single'. Sach as carries haryon masher 1/3 and to fractionally charged. All, (but not the Dightfeld Way) is adopted as a higher symmetry for the strong interactions. The breaking of this summetry is assumed to be universal, being due to miss differences smorg the scene Extensive space-time and group theoretic structure is then presided for both measure and baryone, in agreement with existing superisonial information. Quantitative speculations are presented concerning resonances that have not as ret been tefinitively elassified into representations of DU. A weak intermettion theory hand on right and left manuel ages is used to predict rates for [A 5] = 1 serves leptonic decays. As experimental meansh for the aces in suggested.

AN 2U, MOUGH FOR SIMING INTERACTION SCHOOLSEN AND ITS BALANDER

Yerelan I is GREM proprint 8182/58 401, Jan. 17, 1964.

Whis work was supported by the L.S. Als Peupe Office of Scientific Remarch and the Satistal Acedemy of Sciencese - Mational Reserve Council.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

Folume 8, bumblet 9.

PETSICS DETTERS.

L PRIVACY LINE

A SCREWATIC MODEL OF RARYONS AND MESONS "

MOELL-MARK California Institute of Technology, Passatena, California

Beceived 5 Pagency 2001

It we assume that the starog interactions of bary- but s₁ - s₂ would be zero for all known buryons and one and mesons are correctly described in terms of the broker "eightfuld may" $^{1-31}_{\rm e}$ we are completing look for some fundamental captanation of the situation. A highly promised approach is the parely dynamical "bootstrap" model for all the strongly interacting particles within which one may try to de-"I"e 1804061/ Spin and Strangynoiss conservation and broken eightfold symmetry from self-consistency alone 4). Of course, with only strong interactions, the or estation of the asymmetry is the unitary space carnot be apertified: and hopes that in some way the selection of specific components of the Tspin by electromagnetism and the weak interactions determines he chaice of invitatic again and hypercharge construes.

Even if we consider the scattering amplitudes of strongly interacting particles on the mass shell only and treat the matnix elements of the weak, electromagnetic, and gravitational interactions by means of dispersion theors, these are still meaningful and important questions regarding the algebraic properties of these interactions that have so far been discasses only by abstracting the properties from a tormal field theory model based on fundamental entities I) from which the baryons and mesoas are built up.

If these entities were octets, we might expect the under living symmetry group to be SUHI instead of SD(M) it is therefore tempting to try to use unifory triplets as fundamental objects. A anitary triplet it consists of an isotopic single, a of sinctric charge a in units of d and an isotopic doublet (u, d) with charges all and a respectively. The anti-triplet I has, of course, the opposite signs of the charges. Complete symmetry among the members of the triplet gives the exact eightfold way, while a mass difference, for example, between the isotopic doublet and singlet gives the first-order violation.

For any value of 2 and of Wights spin, we can construct parvos ecleis from a basic neutral barvon singlet h by taking combinations (b: 8), (b.t188). ste. ". From (still, we get the representations I and 4, while from (b tt il) we get 1, 4, 19, 19, and 27. In a similar way, meson shullets and octobs can be made out of 0.11, 01112, ever. The quantum same memory. The mean intersecting example of such a model is one in which the triglet has epin] and z = -1, so that the four particles 3', s', u" and 0" exhibit a maallel with the lepsons.

A simpler and more alogant scheme can be constructed if we allow son-integral values for the charges. We can suspense entirely with the basic baryon b if we assign to the triplet t the following properties: spin §. ? = -\$, and herven number § We then rater to the members of, 0 2, and a 1 of the triplet as "quarks" 4) g and the members of the anti-triplet as ant -quarks č. Paryons can now be constructed from guarks by taring the combinations (144), (14494), etc., while mesons are made out of sight (0010), etc. It is assuming that the lowest baryon configuration is a di sives just the representations 8, 8, and 10 that have been observed, while the lowest meson configuration [qq] similarly gives front 1 and 5.

A formal mathematical model pased on lield theory can be built up for the guaries exactly as for p, s, A in the old Salinia model, for example 3] with all strong interactions ascribed to a neutral vector meson lield interacting symmetrically with the tures particles. Within such a framework, the electromagnetic current (in units of 4) is just

指2100-1210-181001

or Fig. 1 Star / A in the natural ad not. 2). For the weak easy one, we can take over from the Shinda. model the form suggested by Gell-Mana and Levy D manuely 1 p_{Y} (1 + ys)in cos ℓ + Λ sin 4), which gives in the same scheme the expression

iuv, (1 + rg)(d cos # - s sis f)

- " Work suggested in part by the U.S. Atomic Ebergy Committe log.
- "This is similar to the treatment is set. U. See also ref. 9.
- *** The parallel with 15, v, (1 + vg) e and 1 v, v, (1 + vg), is coupon. Likewise, is the model with 1°, 1°, 0°. and H^0 dimensioned above, we would take the weak current to be $H^{0,0}_{\rm current}$ is a $H^{0,0}_{\rm current}$ is $H^{0,0}_{\rm current}$ in $H^{0,0}_{\rm current}$ in $H^{0,0}_{\rm current}$. 100 res 8 . 19 sin & sall - vgi d". The part with they - up = 0 to post 1 50 w. (I a val 41" cost 0 a 4" ato 15

Quark Model : exploring flavor



quarks and symmetries of hadrons



quarks and symmetries of hadrons

mixing of states

physical states are not quite SU(3) flavor eigenstates



17

Light mesons





Need for color

(Greenberg, Fritzsch)





need another q.number to make w.f. fully antisymmetric $\Delta^{++}(1232 \text{ MeV}) = \epsilon_{ijk}u_iu_ju_k \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \text{ or } R, G, B$

> "solves" the problem of the fractional electric charge: nature supports only color neutral entities >> color confinement <<

> > Jefferson Lab

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

quark model

 $|B[8]\rangle = |Flavor\rangle_{8_{M_A}} \times |Spin\rangle_{8_{M_A}} + |Flavor\rangle_{8_{M_S}} \times |Spin\rangle_{8_{M_S}}$

fully symmetric wave function (antisymmetric does not work!) Color makes it into fully antisymmetric to respect Pauli principle

II. J. Lipkin November, 1984

FERMILAB-Conf-84/425-T November, 1984

Baryon magnetic moments $S_u^z = \frac{1}{2}$ 1983 From Baryon Moment Naive Data Ref[26] Mode1[25] $S_p^z = \frac{1}{2}$ μ(p) 2.793±0.000 2.79 $S_d^z = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{S_u^z} = \frac{1}{2}$ μ(n) -1.913±0.000 -1.86 μ(Λ) -0.613±0.005 -0.58 р μ(Σ⁺) 2.38±0.02 2.68 u(Σ) -1.11±0.04[27] -1.05 <u></u>Σ0 Δ μ(Ξ°) -1.25±0.014 -1.40-1/2 1/2 -0.47 -0.60±0.04 μ(Ξ⁻) Ξ0 1995 -1 $\mu_{\Omega^-} = (-2.019 \pm 0.054)\mu_N = -1.84\mu_N$

better then 10% accuracy !!

Jefferson Lab

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Σ+

34



"Evidence" for Constituent Quarks:Light Quark Hadrons 22

Spectrum of mesons containing u,d,s quarks from numerical QCD simulations (lattice) resembles spectrum of quark models.





Plausible scenario $H_{QCD} = H_{c.h.o.} + (non-linear)$

finite energy, localized solutions: solitons (monopoles, vortices , ...)

"physical quarks" → quasi particles in gluon mean filed



The QCD vacuum is not empty. Rather it contains quantum fluctuations in the gluon field at all scales. (Image: University of Adelaide)



Monopoles have been long speculated to be candidate gluon filed configurations responsible for confinement

Emergence of constituent quarks

$$H = H_0 + V \qquad H_0 = \int d\mathbf{x} m_0 |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2$$

Mean field approximation Hartree + Fock (BCS theory)

$$V = \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} | \psi(\mathbf{x}) |^2 V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) | \psi(\mathbf{y}) |^2$$

$$|\psi(\mathbf{y})|^2 \rightarrow \langle |\psi(\mathbf{y})|^2 \rangle = \text{condensate}$$

$$m_0 \rightarrow m_0 + V \times \text{ condensate}$$

$$m_0 \rightarrow m_0 + V \times \text{ condensa$$

ground state contains a condensate of bare quarks

[WOWGARD

Monopole confining scenario

in "empty vacuum"



in "magnetic condensate"





Type-II supper conductor

Confinement in QCD



e.g. absence of isolated quarks apples to both screening and confinement

absence of isolated quarks

In absence of an order parameter we have to content with properties of confinement:

linearly rising potential
Regge trajectories
Casimir and N-ality scaling
string behavior

Jefferson Lab



INDIANA UNIVERSITY



QCD vacuum and the role of gluons

Gluons are responsible for confinement (aka effective potential between color charges) and are confined (aka contribute to the color charge)





QCD as a many body theory

Remember this example

 $L = \frac{1}{2} \left[dx \left[\frac{1}{v^2} (\partial_t q)^2 - (\partial_x q)^2 \right] \right]$ CD $L = -\frac{1}{4}F^a_{\mu\nu}F^a_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\psi}(\gamma_\mu D_\mu + m)\psi$ $F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + g f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu$ $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + igA^a_{\mu}T^a$ $[T^a, T^b]_{ij} = i f^{abc} T^c_{ij}$ Variables: $A^{a}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x};t) \quad \psi = \psi^{a}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};t)$ Parameters: g,m



3 x 4 x 3N



Gauge freedom \rightarrow redundant d.o.f Gauge fixing \rightarrow selects a physical d.o.f

• Weyl gauge,
$$A^a_{\mu=0}=0$$
 $\vec{V}^a(\mathbf{x};t) \equiv A^a_{\mu=1\cdots 3}(\mathbf{x};t)$

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_i^a(\mathbf{x}'), \Pi_j^b(\mathbf{x}) \end{bmatrix} = i\delta_{ab}\delta_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}) \quad H \qquad = \int d\mathbf{x} \frac{1}{2} \left[\vec{\Pi}^a(\mathbf{x})\vec{\Pi}^a(\mathbf{x}) + \vec{B}^a(\mathbf{x})\vec{B}^a(\mathbf{x}) \right] \\ \left\{ \psi(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}^i, \psi_{\beta}^{\dagger,j}(\mathbf{x}') \right\} = \delta_{ij}\delta\alpha\beta\delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}) \qquad + \int d\mathbf{x}\psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \left(-i\vec{\alpha}\vec{D} + \beta m \right)\psi(\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Constraint: Gauss' law

$$\begin{array}{c}
G^{a}|\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\nabla}\vec{\Pi}^{a} + gf^{abc}\vec{V}^{b}\vec{\Pi}^{c} + g\psi^{\dagger}T^{a}\psi \end{bmatrix}|\rangle = 0 \\
\left(\begin{array}{c} \vec{\nabla}\vec{E}^{a} & \text{Gluon charge} \\ -\vec{\nabla}\vec{E}^{a} & \text{Density }\rho_{g}(\mathbf{x}) & \text{Quark charge} \\ \text{Density }\rho_{q}(\mathbf{x}) & \text{Density }\rho_{q}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \end{bmatrix} \\
\left[G^{a}(\mathbf{x}'), G^{b}(\mathbf{x}) \right] = if^{abc}\delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x})G^{c}(\mathbf{x})
\end{array}$$

Generators of residual gauge symmetry, e.g.

$$[G^{a}(\mathbf{x}'), \rho^{a}(\mathbf{x})] = i f^{abc} \delta(\mathbf{x}' - \mathbf{x}) \rho^{c}(\mathbf{x})$$

Gribov region

Weyl: $3 \times (N_C^2 - 1) \times \mathcal{V}$ d.o.f $V_i^a(x)$ $H = H(V, -i\delta/\delta V)$ Gauss' law => $\mathcal{G}[V, -i\delta/\delta V]|$ Physcial $\rangle = 0$

Coulomb: => coordinate transformation $V_i^a \to A_i^a, \phi^a$ $V_i^a = uA_i^a u^\dagger + \frac{i}{g} u \nabla_i u^\dagger \quad u = u(\phi^a) = e^{iT^a \phi^a} \quad \nabla_i A_i^a = 0$ $\mathcal{G}|\text{Physical}\rangle = 0 \to \langle A, \phi |\text{Physical}\rangle = \langle A |\text{Physical}\rangle$ $H = H[A, -i\delta/\delta A]$

 $\begin{array}{c} (x, y, z) \to (r, \theta, \phi) \\ (x, y, z) \to (-r, \theta, \phi) \\ r > 0 \end{array} \}$

Gribov ambiguity but single a patch is complete

$$\text{Jacobian} = -\nabla_i D[A]_i > 0$$



$$\int DA\mathcal{J}|\Psi[A]|^2 = \int_{FMR} DA\mathcal{J}|\Psi[A]|^2$$



Adiabatic potentials

Jefferson Lab

INDIANA UNIVERSITY



Coulomb gauge

 $B_i^a = \nabla_j A_k^a - \nabla_k A_j^a + g f^{abc} A_j^b A_k^c$ Coulomb gauge Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \left[\mathcal{J}^{-1} \vec{\Pi}^a \mathcal{J} \vec{\Pi}^a + \vec{B}^a \vec{B}^a \right]$ \Box Jacobian (e.g. $r^{-1} \frac{d}{dr} r \frac{d}{dr}$) $\mathcal{J}(A) = Det \vec{\nabla} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ $+ \int d\mathbf{x} \psi^{\dagger} \left[-i\vec{\alpha} \left(\vec{\nabla} - igA^{a}T^{a} \right) + \beta m \right] \psi$ $+\frac{g^{2}}{2}\int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \rho^{a}(\mathbf{x}) K_{ab}[A](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \mathcal{J} \rho^{b}(\mathbf{y})$ $K = \frac{1}{\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{D}(A)} (-\vec{\nabla}^{2}) \frac{1}{\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{D}(A)} \qquad \rho^{a} = f^{abc} \vec{A}^{b} \vec{\Pi}^{c} + \psi^{\dagger} T^{a} \psi$ $H\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta A},A\right)\Psi[A] = E\Psi[A], \quad \int \mathcal{D}A\mathcal{J}|\Psi[A]|^2 = \langle |\rangle$

 $\bar{H} = \mathcal{J}^{1/2} H \mathcal{J}^{-1/2}, \ \bar{\Psi} = \mathcal{J}^{1/2} \Psi \qquad \int \mathcal{D}A |\bar{\Psi}[A]|^2 = \langle | \rangle$

Example of calculation

$$H_0 \quad \text{is a h.o.} \qquad H = H_0 + gV$$

$$|0\rangle \sim \exp(-\int dx dy A(x)\omega_0(x-y)A(y)) \qquad E = E_0 + gE_1 + g^2E_2 + \cdots$$

calculate E for QQ in the perturbative QCD ground state



Confining Potential and the gluon condensate 34

 Ω contains condensate of

monopoles, vortices, ...

$$H = H_{kin} + V \qquad H = H_{kin} + V$$
$$V = \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{x}) K[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] \rho(\mathbf{y})$$
$$K \to -\frac{g^2}{\nabla^2} = \frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} = \bigvee_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathbf{x}} V + \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{x} V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \rho(\mathbf{y}))$$



INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Ж

Jefferson Lab



- Coulomb "Potential" between external (i.e. quark charges) depends on the distribution of gluons.
- In presence of a gluon condensate it produces a Confining force been external color charge



Meson Spectrum on the Lattice



Adiabatic potentials

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Jefferson Lab



Quark Model with Gluons : Hybrid States



 $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ is not a qq state

exotic quantum numbers

Y(4260) as Hybrid Candidate

Jefferson Lab

Ш

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

discovered by BaBar in J/ ψ $\pi^+\pi^-$ (2005) confirmed by CLEO,Belle other modes from BaBar



Theory: Hybrid candidate





QCD: There are many other possible color singlets.





Identifying resonances



A possible scenario (Lecture 1 summary)

- QCD vacuum has gluon condensate in the form color monopolies, vortices,...
- The condensate leads to an effective, confining potential between color charges
- Light quarks propagating through this medium acquire effective mass
- Static color charges (i.e. "very heavy" quarks) inserted into the vacuum polarize the condensate and change the background gluon distribution
- For large separation between the charges this leads to formation of a chromo electric flux tube (aka dual superconductor)
- For small separation between charges, the effect of vacuum polarization can be described as quasi-particles.
- Once the have quarks are allowed to move the polarized gluon filed (the quasiparticle of the flux tube) can result in a new type of hadrons -> hybrid mesons or baryons.

The Golden Channel: ηπ



Quark Model (without quasi-gluons)





Hunting for Resonances : Amplitude Analysis 45



How to Probe Gluons

- 1. Gluons in the vacuum:
 - Insert a quark pair and measure energy the instantaneous energy.

QCD vacuum

O

Ω

Expectation value of QCD Hamiltonian in the Coulomb state

$$\frac{1}{r} \to \langle 0 | V_c[A] | 0 \rangle = V_c(r)$$

Coulomb state \ QCD eigenstate

$$|Q\bar{Q}\rangle \sim Q^{\dagger}\bar{Q}^{\dagger}|0\rangle$$

Coulomb state

- 2. Gluons in a physical e.g. quarkantiquark state:
 - Insert a quark pair, wait until it polarizes the vacuum and measure energy the state.



Wilson state = QCD eigenstate

 $|Q\bar{Q}\rangle = Q^{\dagger}\bar{Q}^{\dagger}|0\rangle + Q^{\dagger}\bar{Q}^{\dagger}g^{\dagger}|0\rangle + \cdots$

Coulomb state + extra gluons

Baryons

$$B_{ijk} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix}_{i} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix}_{j} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix}_{j} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \\ s \end{pmatrix}_{k}$$
 not realized

 $1_A = \epsilon_{ijk} B_{ijk}$

not realized in nature (Pauli blocking)

 $3\otimes 3\otimes 3=10_S\oplus 8_{M_S}\oplus 8_{M_A}\oplus 1_A$



