Lecture Plan

Lecture 1: Hadrons as laboratory for QCD:

- Introduction to QCD
- Bare vs effective effective quarks and gluons
- Phenomenology of Hadrons

Lecture 2: Complex analysis

Lecture 3: Phenomenology of hadron reactions

- Kinematics and observables
- Space time picture of Parton interactions and Regge phenomena
- Properties of reaction amplitudes

Lecture 4: How to extract resonance information from the data

- Partial waves and resonance properties
- Amplitude analysis methods (spin complications)



Identifying resonances

• Experimental or lattice signatures (rec axis data: cross section bumps of dips, energy low $a_1(1260)$ 0.3 $\pi_2(1670)$ 0.2 0.1 8.5 1 1.5 2.5 $m_{3\pi}$ [GeV/ c^2] **Reaction amplitudes** I sheet Theoretical signatures (complex plane) singularities: poles, cusps) **Microscopic Models** q What is the interpretation (constituent) quarks, molecules, ...)? Hybrids Mesonic-Molecules Tetraquarks

 $\times 10^6$

 $a_{2}(1320)$



Identifying resonances



Probing QCD resonances (using physical states)

- When (color neutral) mesons and baryons a smashed, their quarks overlap, "stick together" and form resonances (quasi QCD eigenstates). They are short lived and decay to lowest energy, asymptotic states (pions, K's, proton,...)
- Resonances are fundamental to our understanding of QCD dynamics because they are formed by all-order (aka beyond perturbation theory) interactions. Resonances challenge QFT practitioners to develop all orders calculations (still ways to go).
- (QCD) Resonance lead to extremely rich phenomenology, e.g. XYZ states, gluonic excitations, etc.
- In practice, one requires tools that relate asymptotic states before collision to asymptotic states after collision that include flexible parametrization of the microscopic dynamics. This is often referred to as amplitude analysis. The rest of these lectures will focus on this topic.

Bound states/Resonances/Asymptotic states

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{p^2}{2m_e} - \frac{\alpha}{r} \end{bmatrix} \psi(r) = E\psi(r) \qquad \alpha = \alpha_{QED} = \frac{1}{137}$$

$$e^{\frac{1}{137}} \psi(r) = \frac{e^{-ikr}}{r} - s\frac{e^{+ikr}}{r} \qquad (1) \qquad \psi(r) = e^{-\alpha m_e r} \qquad (2)$$

Bound states: compact wave function contains interaction to all orders.

perturbation (lowest order) to free motion Resonances: particles interact to all orders (like bound states)

but eventually decay (connect with asymptotically free states). Their effect appears in the S-matrix : Compare (1) and (2) ! $(k = i\alpha m_e)$

 $S = 1 + O(\alpha)$

Born approximation : "weak"

Amplitude analyticity: it is much about complex functions 5



- Scattering amplitude describes evolution between asymptotic states. The information related to formation of resonances is "hidden" in unphysical domains (sheets) of the kinematical variables.
- The "bump" in the right figure is an indication of a "hidden" phenomenon. To uncover it one needs to analytically continue outside the physical sheet.



Shrodinger eq.

In non-relativistic potential theory V(x) contains all physics: It determines scattering amplitudes, bound state energies, etc. So one should focus on V(x).

S-amplitude and T (or f) (scattering amplitude) is determined by V but the meaning is more general and definitions can be generalized to relativistic (QFT) theory a

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - E + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + V \end{bmatrix} u_l(r) = 0 \quad u_l(r) \to_{r \to \infty} e^{-ikr} - (-1)^l S_l e^{ikr}$$
$$u_l(r) \to_{r \to 0} r^{l+1} \qquad S_l = 1 + 2ikf_l$$

$$kf(k,\theta) = A(s,t) = \sum_{l} (2l+1)f_{l}(s)P_{l}(\cos\theta)$$



Shrodinger eq.

In non-relativistic potential theory V(x) contains all physics: It determines scattering amplitudes, bound state energies, etc. So one should focus on V(x).

S-amplitude and T (or f) (scattering amplitude) is determined by V but the meaning is more general and definitions can be generalized to relativistic (QFT) theory a

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - E + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + V \end{bmatrix} u_l(r) = 0 \quad u_l(r) \to_{r \to \infty} e^{-ikr} - (-1)^l S_l e^{ikr}$$
$$u_l(r) \to_{r \to 0} r^{l+1} \qquad S_l = 1 + 2ikf_l$$

$$kf(k,\theta) = A(s,t) = \sum_{l} (2l+1)f_{l}(s)P_{l}(\cos\theta)$$

- The Schrodinger eq. implies analyticity (particular realization of causality). Cauchy theorem enables to reconstruct an analytical function from its singularities. Thus one could imagine recovering the underlying dynamics from the measured S (or f) (Heisenberg program, Mandelstam realization, Bootstrap.)
- Singularity of f has a physical interpretation (bound states, resonances etc.)
- In QFT, use relativistic phase space and kinematics.

Bound states, resonances and poles

 $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - E + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + V \end{bmatrix} u_l(r) = 0$ for l=fixed (i.e. integer) suppose -V is big -> ∞ : then there will be ∞ number of bound states n=1,2,... ∞ $u_l(r) \to r\psi_l \to e^{-ikr} - S(l,k)e^{ikr}$ $k = \sqrt{2mE}$ $k_b^2 = \sqrt{-2mE_B} = i\kappa$

In the E-plane there is a branch point at E=0 The full plane is cut (to the right) from E=0, it maps onto the Im k>0 half plane





As -V decreases, some bound states disappear. So what happens to the associate poles ?



There is still an infinite number of resonances, even though the potential is finite !



INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab



Cutoff potential

H.M.Nussenzveig, (1959)

11





Cutoff potential

H.M.Nussenzveig, (1959)





Cutoff potential

H.M.Nussenzveig , (1959)



Potential Well vs Barrier



Every pole is a resonance (positive energy finite lifetime) but not all resonances (poles) are connected to bound states

12

infinitesimal -> long time spend

on top of the barrier

Formal theory of scattering

$$H = H_{kin} + V \to H_0 + V(t) \qquad V \to V(t) = V e^{-\epsilon |t|}$$

Interaction is switched on adiabatically at t=0

Time evolution pictures: Schrodinger, Heisenberg, Interaction

$$O_{I}(t) = e^{iH_{0}}O(0)e^{-iH_{0}t} \longrightarrow \begin{aligned} H_{0,I}(t) &= H_{0} \\ V_{I}(t) &= e^{iH_{0}}Ve^{-iH_{0}t}e^{-\epsilon|t|} \\ |t\rangle_{I} &= e^{iH_{0}t}|t\rangle_{S} \longrightarrow i\frac{d}{dt}|t\rangle_{I} = V_{I}(t)|t\rangle_{I} \end{aligned}$$

- As t → ± ∞ interaction picture states evolve to eigenstates of H_{kin}, i.e. to free particles
- At t=0 interactions picture states are solution of the full Hamiltonian

S-matrix and T-matrix : Lippmann-Schwinger 14

$$i\frac{d}{dt}|t\rangle_{I} = V_{I}(t)|t\rangle_{I} \longrightarrow |t\rangle_{I} = U(t, -\infty)|initial\rangle$$
Evolution
operator
$$V_{I}(t, -\infty)|initial\rangle$$
Evolution
operator

• S-matrix

$$S_{fi} = \langle f(t = +\infty) | i(t = -\infty) \rangle = \langle f, (out) | i, (in) \rangle$$
$$= \langle f | U(+\infty, -\infty) | i \rangle$$

$$U(+\infty, -\infty) = \mathcal{P} \exp\left(-i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt V_I(t)\right) = I - 2\pi i \delta(E_f - E_i)T$$

• T-matrix

$$T = V + VG_0V + \cdots \quad G_0 = \frac{1}{E - H_0}$$
$$E = E_i = E_f$$



Infinite, thin shell

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2\mu} + V \qquad V = \frac{\lambda}{2\mu a^2} \delta(r - a) \qquad \dim \lambda = -1$$

"Relation" to QCD

Inside the shell (0<r<a) particles are confined (like quarks in hadrons) The shell is thin allowing for free asymptotic states (hadron decays)

Method 1: In coordinate space (as before)

Method 2: Lippmann-Schwinger

$$T = V + VG_0V + \cdots$$





Solution

From method 1 Looks like a K-matrix parametrization

$$f(k) = \frac{\left[-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(ka)}{(ka)^2}\right]}{\left[1 + \frac{\lambda}{a} \frac{\sin(ka)\cos(ka)}{ka}\right] - ik\left[-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(ka)}{(ka)^2}\right]}$$
$$f(k) = \frac{K(E)}{1 - iK(E)k} = \frac{1}{K^{-1}(E) - ik} = \frac{1}{K^{-1}(E) - ik}$$
$$K(E) = \frac{-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(ka)}{(ka)^2}}{1 - ik^2} = \frac{P(k)}{O(k)} \stackrel{\circ \text{ of zeros}}{=} \frac{P(k)}{O(k)}$$

$$= \frac{(\kappa a)}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{a} \frac{\sin(ka)\cos(ka)}{ka}} = \frac{I(\kappa)}{Q(k)}$$
 \approx of zeros \rightarrow
Poles of the amplitude

Solution

From method 2

Looks like a Chew-Mandelstam (dispersive) parametrization

$$f(k) = \frac{-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(ka)}{(ka)^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty dE' k' \frac{-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(k'a)}{(k'a)^2}}{E' - E(k)}}$$

Compare with the K-matrix

 $k' = k(E') = \sqrt{2\mu E'}$

$$f(k) = \frac{K(E)}{1 - ikK(E)}$$

$$K(E) = \frac{-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(ka)}{(ka)^2}}{1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \Re \int \cdots} \qquad K(E) = \frac{-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(ka)}{(ka)^2}}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{a} \frac{\sin(ka)\cos(ka)}{ka}}$$



Analyticity of f

$$f(k) = \frac{\left[-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(ka)}{(ka)^2}\right]}{\left[1 + \frac{\lambda}{a} \frac{\sin(ka)\cos(ka)}{ka}\right] - ik \left[-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(ka)}{(ka)^2}\right]}$$
$$K(E) = \frac{-\lambda \frac{\sin^2(ka)}{(ka)^2}}{1 + \frac{\lambda}{a} \frac{\sin(ka)\cos(ka)}{ka}}$$

- "Conspiracy" between zeros and poles. f has an infinite number of zeros and poles (so does K). The ∞ number of zeros of K(s) is because of the by geometry of the sphere ("dynamics") and this specific "physics" fixes poles of the amplitude. Zeros of the amplitude and poles are related (CDD ambiguity)
- There is an essential singularity at infinity in the physical sheet ! Difficulty in writing dispersion relations. This is typical for cut-off potential and possibly similar in confining theories (?) (see relation with causality).

$$f(k = k_R + i(k_I \to \infty)) = O(e^{+2k_I a})$$



Shell

- For any strength of the potential there is an infinite number of resonances
- There is one pole in each strip $(n-1)\pi < \Re(\beta_n) < n\pi \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$



as potential strength increases :

 $\beta_n \to n\pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+A}\right) - i \left(\frac{n\pi}{A}\right)^2$

• as potential strength decreases :

$$\beta_n \to (n - \frac{1}{2}) - i\infty$$

 $A = \lambda/a$

Simple model for low extend patilos (e.g s's) con access "quil movel" states LpE= 22 $V(v) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \delta(v-a) - \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{1}{2\mu} (v-a) - \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{1}{2\mu} (v-a) - \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{1}{2\mu} (v-a) + \frac{1$ N= n M: A sinkat Brosha x a free wire. at a: A suka+BcoshA= sulza ale Acosta -able sontra = a' costa + > sin Va - 4++4.+V=D My= 4.+V $\supset f = \dots$ boud states = ...











bess3

27/39





(h'14)= (21,13 531 h'-4)= (21,13 22516.4) 5712.41= $= (12)^{2} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dE}{dE} \right)^{-1} S[e:e] S^{2}(a:o_{1}) \qquad E = \frac{E}{1} \frac{E}{a}$ $C\overline{L}' | S|E : m - (L\overline{L}) S|E'-E | = LL' | \overline{L}|E)$ (h T12) = [T, Yun Yun Lu Islie) = (201 icp 5/6. E 12 You You X $\begin{bmatrix}
1 - (2i)^{2} (2i) (2ii) (2ii) (2iii) (2iii) (2iiii) (2iiiii) (2iiiiii) (2iiiiii) (2iiiiiii) (2iiiiiii) (2iiiiii) (2iiiiii) (2iiiiii) (2iiiiii) (2iiiiii) (2iiiii) (2iiii) (2iii) (2iiii) (2iiii) (2iiii) (2iiii) (2iiii) (2iiii) (2iiii) (2iiii) (2iii) (2iii) (2iii) (2iii) (2iii) (2iiii) (2iii) (2$ fi (4012 (2/17c) units 7x= 62101x(): -3+11-2 2 h | T | 2) = 2T (/ 1 m - 2 (12+1) T + 7 (100) Te= = { (dt Pe(100) 4 TILS => fe = -= { (im) Stere(4) Ch'12, y/2





h a a a O

20/20

- There are no potentials
- Particles and antiparticles are related by crossing
- There are NO exact, non perturbative methods in QFT (major challenge for mathematicians)
- Physics lows are manifested as singularities of analytical functions (observables)

First order of business: understand properties of reactions enforced by these general principles.



S-matrix properties (in relativistic theory)

• Related to transition probability

$$P_{fi} = |\langle f|S|i\rangle|^2 = \langle i|S^{\dagger}|f\rangle\langle f|S|i\rangle$$

• Conservation of Probability = Unitarity





• Lorentz symmetry: T is a product of Lorentz scalars and covariant factors representing wave functions of external states, e.g for $\pi(k_1) + N(p_1, \lambda_1) \rightarrow \pi(k_2) + N(p_2, \lambda_2)$

 $\bar{u}(p_1,\lambda_1)[A(s,t) + (k_1 + k_2)_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}B(s,t)]u(p_2,\lambda_2)$

• Crossing symmetry: the same scalar functions describe all process related by permutation of legs between initial and final states (only the wave function change) $\pi(k_1) + \pi(-k_2) \rightarrow \overline{N}(-p_1, \mu_1) + N(p_2, \mu_2)$

$$\bar{v}(p_1,\mu_1)[A(s,t) + (k_1 + k_2)_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}B(s,t)]u(p_2,\mu_2)$$

• Analyticity: The scalar functions are analytical functions of invariants

Lorentz symmetry

N-to-M scattering depends on 4(N+M)-(N+M)-10 = 3(N+M)-10 invariants e.g for 2-to-2: 2 invariants related to the c.m. energy and scattering angle



How many independent variables describe

- Decay proces $A \rightarrow a + b + c$
- Three particle production A +B \rightarrow a + b + c



Helicity amplitudes

We work in the c.m. frame

INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

$$\frac{\vec{S} \cdot \vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|} |p, \lambda\rangle = \lambda |p, \lambda\rangle$$

$$\langle p_3, \lambda_3; p_4, \lambda_4 | A | p_1, \lambda_1; p_2, \lambda_2 \rangle = A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4}(s, t, u)$$

Helicity states vs canonical spin states: $S_z |p, m\rangle_z = m |p, m\rangle_z$ $|p, m\rangle_z = \Lambda(\vec{p} \leftarrow 0) |0, m\rangle_z$ $|p, \lambda\rangle = R(\hat{p})\Lambda(|\vec{p}|\hat{z} \leftarrow 0) |0, m\rangle_z$ Exercise show this: $|p, \lambda\rangle_z = \sum_{m=-S}^{S} |p, m\rangle_z D^S_{m,\lambda}(\hat{p})$

• Even though this looks non relativistic it is relativistic. Notion of LS amplitudes, LS vs. helicity relations are relativistic $\mathcal{N} = naturally$

Parity
$$A_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4}(s,t,u) = \eta A_{-\lambda_1,-\lambda_2,-\lambda_3,-\lambda_4}(s,t,u)$$

How many independent scalar functions describe

$$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$$

 $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$



Kinematical vs Dynamical Singularities



- Wigner d-functions lead to kinematical singularities
- Threshold (barrier factors) originate from kinematical factors in relation between t and cos(θ) (through dependence of A_λ on t)
- Unequal masses give lead to "daughter poles"
- Dynamical singularities : from dynamical (unitary cuts) in A(s,t).



Crossing symmetry

$$\bar{p}_i = -p_i = (-\vec{p}_i, -E_i)$$
 38



• The iε is important. Function values at, e.g. s + iε vs s - iε are different !

Crossing Symmetry : Decays $M_1 > m_2 + m_3 + m_4$ ³⁹





 $a(p_1) + b(p_2) \rightarrow c(p_3) + d(p_4)$

 $a(p_1) \rightarrow \overline{b(p_2)} + c(p_3) + d(p_4)$

$$A(s,t,u) \to A(M_1^2 + i\epsilon, s + i\epsilon, t + i\epsilon, u + i\epsilon)$$

- In decay kinematics, the decaying mass becomes a dynamical variable, (is important)
- Crossing from one kinematical region (e.g. s-channel) to another (e.g. t-channel) requires taking the corresponding variables off the real axis and to the complex plane : analytical continuation.

Analyticity

Feynman diagrams

$$A(p_1, \cdots) \propto \int [\Pi_j d^4 k_j] \frac{\text{polynomial in } \mathbf{k}_j}{(m_q^2 - (p_i - k_j)^2 - i\epsilon)((k_i - k_j)^2 - i\epsilon) \cdots}$$
$$m^2 - p^2 = [m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2] - (p^0)^2 \qquad \qquad \sum p_1 \qquad \qquad p_2 \qquad \qquad p_2$$

$$m^2 - p^2 = 0 \to p^0 = \pm (m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2)^{1/2}$$

- Integrand becomes singular when intermediate states go on shell.
- Thresholds for producing physical intermediate are the only reason why amplitudes are singular.
- Production of intermediate states is related to unitarity. Thus we expect unitarity to determine singularities of the amplitudes.

Im

Jefferson Lab

On the role of it

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2} \mp i\epsilon - p^0}\right] = \pm \pi \delta(p_0 - \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2})$$



Analyticity and Causality



and extend definition to complex plane $E \to z,$ then f(z) is holomorphic for Im E > 0

Causality: The outgoing wave cannot appear before the incoming one. Causality determines analytical properties of the scattering amplitude as function on energy/ momenta/scattering angle. The specific from of these conditions depend on the type of interactions and kinematics (e.g. relativistic vs non relativistic)



momentum vs energy planes



The function is analytical in the whole E-plane not only the upper half



How unitarity constrains singularities

 Unitarity "operates" in the physical domain, i.e. s real and above threshold and |Cos(θ)|<1. This domain is the boundary of the complex plane where analytical amplitude are defined

$$A(s + i\epsilon) = A_{\text{physical}}(s = \text{real and above threshold})$$

I sheet

 The difference (discontinuity) A(s + iε) - A(s - iε) ≠ 0 (cf. Feynman diagrams), comes from particle production this we expect it being determined by unitarity.

$$2ImT_{ft} = \sum_{n} 2\pi\delta(E_i - E_n)T_{fn}^*T_{ni}$$

• Cauchy theorem : singularities determine the amplitude !!!

sign fixed by "arrow of time V(t) = V exp(-t $|\varepsilon|$)

Summary of Lecture 3

- In potential scattering partial wave amplitudes, in the energy plane, have branch points on the real axis and cuts. They are analytical everywhere else
- Resonances correspond to poles on the unphysical sheet of partial waves
- Some resonance are bound states. These are poles on the real axis on the physical sheet
- Opening of cuts is due to unitarity. This makes sense. When bound states become resonances they need to decay, a process "controlled" by conservation of probability. They need to move away from the physical sheet and unitarity give the option to exist by "opening" a cut so that they can dive to an unphysical sheet
- The same happens in relativistic theory. The extra complication is existence of "left hand cuts" from crossing symmetry.
- The number of invariant amplitude and variables are constrained by Lorentz symmetry + parity.



