# **Doubly charmed baryon** studies at LHCb

Lars Eklund, on the behalf of the LHCb Collaboration  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ dcc  $\Xi_{co}^+$ SCC  $\Sigma_c^0$ uuc ddc udc usc dsc uud udd  $\Sigma^{-}$ uds dds 🖉 **Λ**, Σ<sup>0</sup> uus /dss uss "⊒0

University of Glasgow

**UCAS PFPI visiting fellow** 













- The LHCb Experiment
- Doubly-charmed baryons
  - Introduction & motivation
  - Previous searches
- First observation of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ 
  - Mass measurement
- Outlook





### The LHCb detector







### LHCb trigger and data





Run II Turbo stream:

• Candidates reconstructed at trigger level saved for offline analyses directly

- Integrated luminosity to date: 6.9 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Results presented here
  - 0.65 fb<sup>-1</sup> record 2011
  - 2.1 & 1.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> recrded in 2012 & 2016
- Expectation for 2018: approximately 2 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Triggers re-optimied regularly



LHCb Integrated Recorded Luminosity in pp, 2010-2017



# Doubly heavy baryons



Quark model predicts doubly-heavy baryons: QQq (Q ∈ c, b; q ∈ u, d, s)
 Predicted states: Ξ<sup>+</sup><sub>cc</sub>(ccd), Ξ<sup>++</sup><sub>cc</sub>(ccu), Ω<sup>+</sup><sub>cc</sub>(ccs), Ξ<sup>+</sup><sub>bc</sub>(bcu), Ξ<sup>0</sup><sub>bc</sub>(bcd) etc.
 More doubly charmed baryons produced at LHCb: σ(cc̄, cc̄) >> σ(bb̄, cc̄) >> σ(bb̄, bb̄)





### Physics motivation





Example: HQET where two charm quarks considered as static heavy di-quark reducing it to simple  $Q\overline{q}$ system



- Heavy hadrons (Qq, QQ, QQQ, QQQ etc) are all of much interest in QCD studies
- Allow great testing grounds for phenomenological models and lattice QCD techniques
- Doubly heavy baryons will open new sector to study strong force and CPV in baryonic matter



## Mass and lifetimes predictions

- Many models been applied to determine masses of ground states of (QQq) baryons;
  - QCD sum rules, (non-)relativistic QCD potential models, bag model, quark model...



- Recent lattice QCD computations: •  $m(\Xi_{cc}^+/\Xi_{cc}^{++}) \approx 3.6 \text{ GeV}$ •  $m(\Xi_{cc}^+) - m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) \approx \text{few MeV}$ •  $m(\Omega_{cc}^+) \approx 3.7 \text{ GeV}$
- Lifetime predictions  $\succ \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) \gg \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{+}) \sim \tau(\Omega_{cc}^{+})$   $\succ \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) \in 200-700 \text{ fs}$  $\succ \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{+}) \in 50-250 \text{ fs}$
- $\Xi_{bc}$  higher at 6.75 7.1 GeV with m( $\Xi_{bc}^{0}$ )  $\approx$  m( $\Xi_{bc}^{+}$ )
- $\Xi_{bc}$  lifetimes predicted to be generally longer than  $\Xi_{cc}$  with:  $\tau(\Xi_{bc}^+) > \tau(\Xi_{bc}^0)$



### SELEX and $\mathcal{Z}_{cc}^+$



SELEX: fixed-target experiment @ Fermilab > Observation of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  in  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$ , 2002 (6.3 $\sigma$ ) > Evidence of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  in  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to D^+ p^+ K^-$ , 2004 (4.8 $\sigma$ )

- Signal had some unexpected properties:
  - Short lifetime:  $\tau$  < 33 fs at 90% C.L., suggests a strong decay
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Large production: 20%  $\Lambda_c^+$  came from  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  decays
- SELEX findings never reproduced by other groups
- Unique production environment:
  - ➢ 600 GeV beam of hyperons on fixed target of Cu/diamond
  - Production cross-section could be very different than in pp colliders



SELEX  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  and  $D^+ p^+ K^-$  distributions superposed <u>Phys.Lett. B628 (2005) 18-24</u>

Combined mass: 3518.7  $\pm$  1.7(stat) MeV/ $c^2$ 



### LHCb search for $\Xi_{cc}^+$

JHEP 12 (2013) 090



9/19

- In 2013, LHCb searched for  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  decays with 0.65 fb<sup>-1</sup> of 2011 data
- Examined mass range 3.3-3.8 GeV but found no evidence of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  production
- Experiment sensitivity strongly depends on  $\Xi_{cc}^+$ lifetime however

$$\mathsf{R} = \frac{\sigma(\Xi_{cc}^+) \times BF(\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+)}{\sigma(\Lambda_c^+)}$$

R < 0.013 for  $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^+) = 100$  fs R < 3.3 × 10<sup>-4</sup> for  $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^+) = 400$  fs

 Due to limited sensitivity at short lifetimes, this nonobservation is not inconsistent with the SELEX claim





## LHCb search for $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$

- In 2016 Started searching for doubly charged state in  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  decays
- BF suggested to be as high as  $\sim 10\%$  (arXiv:1703.09086)
- τ(Ξ<sup>++</sup><sub>cc</sub>) >> τ(Ξ<sup>+</sup><sub>cc</sub>)
   Σ<sup>++</sup><sub>cc</sub> travels further from PV, increasing the selection efficiency

Analysis strategy:

- Use 1.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> 2016 Run II data at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 13 TeV
- Dedicated exclusive trigger ensuring high efficiency
- Full event reconstruction done at trigger level
- 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> 2012 Run I data also analysed to cross check results

 $arepsilon_{cc}^{++}
ightarrow(csu)W^+
ightarrow(csu)(\pi^+,
ho^+,a_a^+)$ 

PRL 119, 112001 (2017)



Selector trained on simulated signal and un-physical wrong-sign (WS) data

$$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ \ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$$

• Very large hadronic background be con-  
from 2  
• Pure, high-yield sample of 
$$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p^+ K^- \pi^+$$
 (cuts-based)

L. Eklund (Glasgow)

 $\succ$  Tracks: positive particle ID, displaced and large  $p_T$ 

 $\succ \Lambda_c^+$ : good vertex quality and displaced from primary vertex

•  $\Lambda_c^+$  combined with PID-selected  $K^-\pi^+\pi^+$  tracks to form  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  candidates

Multivariate selector used to select  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  candidates:

- > Decay Fit quality of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  candidates
- Kinematics of final states

•  $\sigma(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) \ll \sigma_{\text{inelastic}}$  (by ~×10<sup>5</sup>)

 $\succ \Xi_{cc}^{++}$  vertex separation from PV

11/19



 $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  selections





• A significant structure in right sign (RS) data

• Not present in wrong sign (WS) combinations

PRL 119, 112001 (2017)

- Not observed for  $\Lambda_c^+$  background candidates
- Distributions similar except the peak in RS





### Mass measurement



Local significance >  $12\sigma$ 

Resolution =  $6.6 \pm 0.8$  MeV

(consistent with the detector resolution)

Signal yield =  $313 \pm 33$ 

#### Systematics of mass measurement

Source	Value $[MeV/c^2]$
Momentum-scale calibration	0.22
Selection bias correction	0.14
Unknown $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ lifetime	0.06
Mass fit model	0.07
Sum of above in quadrature	0.27
$\Lambda_c^+$ mass uncertainty	0.14



 $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) - M(\Lambda_{c}^{+}) = 1134.94 \pm 0.72 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.27 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}$ 

 $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.40 \pm 0.72 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.27 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.14 (\Lambda_c^+) \text{ MeV}$ 

L. Eklund (Glasgow)



### Confirmation on Run I data



- Similar search done with 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> of Run I data recorded in 2012,  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV
- Different trigger and data processing configuration than in Run II
- But again a clear peak is seen in  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  mass spectrum:
  - > Local significance: >7 $\sigma$
  - > Signal yield: 113  $\pm$  21
  - $\geq$  Resolution: 6.6  $\pm$  1.4 MeV
- Δm(Run I, Run II) = 0.8 ± 1.4 MeV (consistent between the samples)





### Weak Decay

 $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ 

 $\Lambda_c^+$ 

t > 5σ

р



- Peaking structure remains significant after requiring minimum decay time,  $t > 5\sigma$  w.r.t. the PV:
  - $\succ$  Run I significance: >7 $\sigma$
  - > Run II significance: >12 $\sigma$



Consistent with a weak decay: Lifetime measurement in progress

L. Eklund (Glasgow)



### Comparison with SELEX



Inconsistent with being isospin partners: E.g. Guo, Hanhart, and Meissner, <u>PLB 698 251-255</u>; Karliner and Rosner, <u>arXiv:1706.06961</u>

L. Eklund (Glasgow)



- Searches for additional decay modes of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  (ccu)
  - e.g.  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+}\pi^{+} \& \Xi_{cc}^{++} \to D^{+}p^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}$
  - Relative BR, mass & lifetime measurements
  - Production cross-section, quantum numbers (J<sup>P</sup>)
- Searches for the isospin partner  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  (ccd)
  - e.g.  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ *$ ,  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to D^+ p^+ K^- * \& \Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
  - Mass & lifetime measurements
- Searches for  $\Omega_{cc}^+$  (ccs)
  - e.g.  $\Omega_{cc}^+ \to \Xi_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \& \Omega_{cc}^+ \to \Omega_c^0 \pi^+$
  - Mass & lifetime measurements





# Future doubly-heavy baryon studies @ LHCb

- Searches for excited states of doubly charmed baryons
  - Adding tracks to ground states
- Searches for  $\Xi_{bc}$ ,  $\Omega_{bc}$ ,  $\Xi_{bb}$  baryons
  - Smaller production cross section than  $\Xi_{cc}$
  - Smaller BF for accessible final states
- Additional data sets with improved triggers
  - 2017 recorded: 1.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>
  - 2018 expected: ~ 2 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- LHCb upgrade: 5x instantaneous luminosity
  - Data taking from 2021
  - Full detector readout @ 40 MHz rate
    - S/W trigger beneficial for complex hadronic final states

L. Eklund (Glasgow)



18/19







LHCb very active in hadron spectroscopy studies

- Observed narrow structure in  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  mass spectrum
  - Consistent with a weak decay of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  (ccu)
  - Observed in two independent data sets (2012 & 2016)
  - Inconsistent with being an isospin partner of Selex' Ξ<sup>+</sup><sub>cc</sub>(ccd)
- Doubly charmed studies in LHCb continues
  - Lifetime & mass measurements
  - More decay modes
  - Searches for  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  (ccd) and  $\Omega_{cc}^+$  (ccd)
  - Further ahead: excited states and  $\Xi_{bc}$ ,  $\Omega_{bc}$ ,  $\Xi_{bb}$



 $M(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.40 \pm 0.78$  (tot) MeV/c<sup>2</sup>





# Back-up



### **Cross-checks**



- 1. Varying threshold value of MVA selector has no effect on signal significance
- 2. MVA efficiency as a function of mass: very smooth, no biasing
- 3. Multiple candidates do not create fake narrow structures
- 4. Checking combinations of tracks from  $\Lambda_c^+$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ : again no peaking structures
- 5. Varying particle ID selections: no peaking structure emerges in WS combinations but structure remains in RS sample
- 6. Tried cut based selection instead of MVA:
  - requiring good vertex fit quality
  - $\succ$   $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  vertex displaced
  - tracks are not produced from PV
    - ✓ Peak significance still >  $12\sigma$





## Production in pp collisions



- Many theoretical studies on production of such states at LHC
- Double parton scattering believed to be dominant according to double heavy production LHCb measurements
- Typical approach is factorization of the two  $Q\overline{Q}$  pair production, computed in pQCD
- Heavy di-quark formation and hadronization then treated nonperturbatively







- Easier final states signatures (higher  $p_T$ ,  $J/\Psi$  modes)
- Lifetimes could be longer than  $\Xi_{cc}$  <u>arXiv:hep-ph/9901224</u>
- Smaller XS and BF expected however
- Production rate in order of magnitude:  $\sigma(\Xi_{bc}) \sim (0.1-0.5) \times \sigma(B_c^+)$





- Unlike  $\Xi_{cc}$  decays under investigation, likely to be no single golden mode for  $\Xi_{bc}$
- Multiple channels will be studied in parallel for best chance of a discovery
- Ongoing work in  $J/\Psi$ ,  $D^+$  and  $D^0$  modes

# Se Se

# Searches for $\Xi_{cc}$ by other experiments



Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl.

115 (2003) 33-36



- FOCUS@Fermilab: Photon beam on Be fixed target
  - > Searched for both  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  states
  - $\succ$  7 exclusive Ξ<sub>cc</sub> → Λ<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub>X modes
  - > 14 exclusive  $\Xi_{cc}$  →  $D^{0,+}Y$  modes
  - > No evidence of a  $\Xi_{cc}$  state
- BaBar@SLAC:  $e^-e^+$  at $\sqrt{s}$  = 10.58 GeV
  - > Searched for both  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  states
  - > Searched for  $\Xi_{cc}^{+(+)} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+(\pi^+)$
  - > Searched for  $\Xi_{cc}^{+(+)} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0} \pi^{+} (\pi^{+})$
  - > No evidence of a  $\Xi_{cc}$  states
- Belle@KEK:  $e^-e^+$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$  GeV
  - $\succ$  Searched for Ξ<sup>+</sup><sub>cc</sub> → Λ<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub> K<sup>-</sup>π<sup>+</sup>
  - > Found new  $\Xi_c^+$  resonance decaying to  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$
  - > No evidence of a  $\Xi_{cc}$  state

L. Eklund (Glasgow)



S. S. Gershtein, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, and A. I. Onishchenko, *Spectroscopy of doubly heavy baryons*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **63** (2000) 274, arXiv:hep-ph/9811212.

S. S. Gershtein, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, and A. I. Onishchenko, *Spectroscopy of doubly charmed baryons:*  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ , Mod. Phys. Lett. A14 (1999) 135, arXiv:hep-ph/9807375.

C. Itoh, T. Minamikawa, K. Miura, and T. Watanabe, *Doubly charmed baryon masses and quark wave functions in baryons*, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 057502.

S. S. Gershtein, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, and A. I. Onishchenko, *Spectroscopy of doubly heavy baryons*, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000) 054021.

K. Anikeev et al., Workshop on B physics at the Tevatron: Run II and beyond, Batavia, Illinois, September 23-25, 1999, 2001. arXiv:hep-ph/0201071.

V. V. Kiselev and A. K. Likhoded, *Baryons with two heavy quarks*, Phys. Usp. 45 (2002) 455, arXiv:hep-ph/0103169.

D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, and A. P. Martynenko, *Mass spectra of doubly heavy baryons in the relativistic quark model*, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 014008, arXiv:hep-ph/0201217.

D.-H. He et al., Evaluation of the spectra of baryons containing two heavy quarks in a bag model, Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 094004, arXiv:hep-ph/0403301.

C.-H. Chang, C.-F. Qiao, J.-X. Wang, and X.-G. Wu, *Estimate of the hadronic production of the doubly charmed baryon*  $\Xi_{cc}$  *in the general-mass variable-flavor-number scheme*, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 094022, arXiv:hep-ph/0601032.





W. Roberts and M. Pervin, *Heavy baryons in a quark model*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A23 (2008) 2817, arXiv:0711.2492.

A. Valcarce, H. Garcilazo, and J. Vijande, *Towards an understanding of heavy baryon spectroscopy*, Eur. Phys. J. A37 (2008) 217, arXiv:0807.2973.

J.-R. Zhang and M.-Q. Huang, *Doubly heavy baryons in QCD sum rules*, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 094007, arXiv:0810.5396.

Z.-G. Wang, Analysis of the  $\frac{1}{2}^+$  doubly heavy baryon states with QCD sum rules, Eur. Phys. J. A45 (2010) 267, arXiv:1001.4693.

M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, *Baryons with two heavy quarks: masses, production, decays, and detection*, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 094007, arXiv:1408.5877.

K.-W. Wei, B. Chen, and X.-H. Guo, *Masses of doubly and triply charmed baryons*, Phys. Rev. **D92 (2015) 076008**, arXiv:1503.05184.

Z.-F. Sun and M. J. Vicente Vacas, *Masses of doubly charmed baryons in the extended on-mass-shell renormalization scheme*, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016) 094002, arXiv:1602.04714.

C. Alexandrou and C. Kallidonis, *Low-lying baryon masses using*  $N_f = 2$  *twisted mass clover-improved fermions directly at the physical point*, arXiv:1704.02647.

C.-W. Hwang and C.-H. Chung, *Isospin mass splittings of heavy baryons in heavy quark symmetry*, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 073013, arXiv:0804.4044.





S. J. Brodsky, F.-K. Guo, C. Hanhart, and U.-G. Meißner, *Isospin splittings of doubly heavy baryons*, Phys. Lett. **B698** (2011) 251, arXiv:1101.1983.

M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, *Isospin splittings in baryons with two heavy quarks*, arXiv:1706.06961.

B. Guberina, B. Melić, and H. Štefančić, *Inclusive decays and lifetimes of doubly charmed baryons*, Eur. Phys. J. C9 (1999) 213, arXiv:hep-ph/9901323.

V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, and A. I. Onishchenko, *Lifetimes of doubly charmed baryons:*  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ , Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999) 014007, arXiv:hep-ph/9807354.

C.-H. Chang, T. Li, X.-Q. Li, and Y.-M. Wang, *Lifetime of doubly charmed baryons*, Commun. Theor. Phys. **49** (2008) 993, arXiv:0704.0016.

A. V. Berezhnoy and A. K. Likhoded, *Doubly heavy baryons*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 79 (2016) 260.

A. V. Berezhnoy, A. K. Likhoded, and M. V. Shevlyagin, *Hadronic production of B<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub> mesons*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 58 (1995) 672, arXiv:hep-ph/9408284.

K. Kolodziej, A. Leike, and R. Ruckl, *Production of B*<sup>+</sup><sub>c</sub> *mesons in hadronic collisions*, Phys. Lett. **B355** (1995) 337, arXiv:hep-ph/9505298.

A. V. Berezhnoy, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, and A. I. Onishchenko, *Doubly charmed baryon production in hadronic experiments*, Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 4385, arXiv:hep-ph/9710339.