#### $Z \rightarrow \pi \pi$ , *KK*, a touchstone of PQCD

Shan Cheng

HNU 湖南大学

In collaboration with Qin Qin, arXiv:1810.10524

2018 年 10 月 26 日 @ HAUT, 全国第十六届重味物理与 CP 破坏研讨会 (HFCPV-2018)

 $Z 
ightarrow \pi \pi$  KK, Shan Cheng 1/13

Overview

Motivation

Decay width and form factor

Numerics

Outlook

# Motivation

- † Tera-Z factory like CEPC and/or FCC-ee, Higgs and Z properties, as well as QCD.
- <sup>†</sup> Wave function (momentum distribution) and further for form factor (redistribution).
- <sup>†</sup> the controversy in small-x region,  $k_T$  factorization and PQCD approach VS other approaches, Resummed to a Sudakov factor VS leading power of  $1/m_b$ .
- † Why  $\pi\pi$ , *KK* channel ? flavour conserving, tree level.

#### A touchstone of PQCD approach

- † Form factor can be calculated in three different ways, PQCD is the most powerful.
- $\dagger\,$  In Z decay, energetic final states, leading power is enough, a clean channel with the total uncertainty  $\in 10\%.$

#### Decay width of $Z \rightarrow \pi \pi$

•  $\int_{\mu}^{(Z)} = \frac{g}{2\cos\theta_w} \sum_q \left[ (T_q - 2Q_q \sin^2\theta_w) \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}q - T_q \bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 q \right],$ gauge coupling, weak angle, hypercharge, electrocharge.

- Decay amplitude  $i\mathcal{M}(Z \to \pi^+\pi^-) = \epsilon^{\mu}_{Z} \langle \pi^+\pi^- | J^{(Z)}_{\mu} | 0 \rangle$ , Form factor  $\langle \pi^+\pi^- | \bar{u}\gamma^{\mu}u | 0 \rangle = (p_1^{\mu} - p_2^{\mu})\mathcal{G}(Q^2)$ .
- Partial decay width

 $\Gamma(Z \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = \frac{1}{48\pi M_{Z}} \sum_{s} |\mathcal{M}|^{2} = \frac{M_{Z}}{48\pi} (g_{V}^{u} - g_{V}^{d})^{2} \left| \mathcal{G}(M_{Z}^{2}) \right|^{2}.$ 

- $Z\bar{q}q$  coupling  $g_V^q = g/(2\cos\theta_w) \times (T_q 2Q_q\sin^2\theta_w)$ .
- The mass effect have been neglected.
- $Z \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$ ,  $\bar{K}^0 K^0$  are forbidden.

#### Kinematic



- $p_Z = \frac{m_Z}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1, 0), p_1 = \frac{m_Z}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 0), p_2 = \frac{m_Z}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, 0)$ ,
- $k_1 = (x_1 \frac{m_Z}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, k_{1T}), k_2 = (0, x_2 \frac{m_Z}{\sqrt{2}}, k_{2T}), \bar{k}_1 = p_1 k_1, \bar{k}_2 = p_2 k_2$
- $\alpha_1 \equiv x_2 Q^2$ ,  $\alpha_2 \equiv x_1 Q^2$ ,  $\beta \equiv x_1 x_2 Q^2$ .

## Form factor at LO

$$\mathcal{G}_{||}(q^{2})_{\text{LO}} = -16\pi C_{\text{F}}Q^{2} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} dx_{2} \int db_{1} db_{2} b_{1} b_{2} \alpha_{s}(\mu) x_{2} \phi_{\pi}(x_{1}) \phi_{\pi}(x_{2}) \\ \times h_{||}(x_{1}, b_{1}, x_{2}, b_{2}) \exp[-S_{||}(x_{1}, b_{1}, x_{2}, b_{2}, \mu)], \qquad (1)$$

- $\mu = \text{Max}[\sqrt{\alpha_i}, 1/b_i], \ \phi(x) = f_{\pi} 6x(1-x)/(2\sqrt{2N_c}).$
- Sudokov factor  $S_{II}$ , double and single log in the vertex correction + single log in the quark self correction. [J. Botts, eta, 1989; H.N. Li, eta, 1992]
- Hard kernel h<sub>II</sub>:

$$\int \frac{k_{1T}^2}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{k_{2T}^2}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{[\beta - (k_T^2) + i\epsilon]} \frac{1}{[\alpha_1 - k_{1T}^2 + i\epsilon]} \longrightarrow \int db_1 db_2 b_1 b_2 h_{\rm H}(x_i, b_i)$$

$$h_{\rm H}(x_i, b_i) = K_0(i\sqrt{\beta}b_2) \left[ K_0(i\sqrt{\alpha_1}b_1)J_0(i\sqrt{\alpha_1}b_2)\Theta(b_1 - b_2) + \{b_1 \leftrightarrow b_2\} \right]$$

$$(2)$$

† Bessel function of the first kind and the modified Bessel function.

- $\dagger$  The integral in Eq (1) holds well in the moderate region,  $1-50\,{
  m GeV}^2.$
- † Oscillates violently when  $Q^2$  goes higher.
- † No physical meaning, large hierarchy between  $Q^2$  and  $k_T^2$ .

#### The hard function is not "hard"

- The integral of the hard function in Eq (1) is not HARD.
- How to evade the oscillation ?
- Hierarchy ansatz  $x_i Q^2 \gg x_1 x_2 Q^2 \gg k_T^2$  in PQCD @ NLO.
  - <sup>†</sup> Drop the sub-leading term in the quark propagator, retain it in the gluon, when  $Q^2$  is enough large.
  - Form factor is mainly determined by the hard gluon [H.C. Hu, etc, 2013 ; S. Cheng, etc, 2015].
  - † The hard function reduces to

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_1} \int \frac{k_T^2}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{[\beta - (k_T^2) + i\epsilon]} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha_1} \int dbb \, h_{\mathsf{I}}(x_i, b_i)$$
$$h_{\mathsf{I}}(x_i, b) = 1/\alpha_1 \times K_0(i\sqrt{\beta}b_2) \tag{2}$$

• The modified form factor at LO,  $b = b_1 = b_2$ ,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{I}}(q^{2})_{\mathsf{LO}} = 16\pi C_{\mathsf{F}} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} dx_{2} \int dbb \, \alpha_{\mathsf{s}}(\mu) \phi_{\pi}(x_{1}) \phi_{\pi}(x_{2}) \, \mathcal{K}_{0}(i\sqrt{\beta}b_{2}) \, \mathrm{Exp}[-S_{\mathsf{H}}(x_{i},b,\mu)] \,, \tag{3}$$

• Extend the valid  $Q^2$  from dozens- to thousands GeV<sup>2</sup>.

 $Z 
ightarrow \pi \pi$  KK, Shan Cheng 7 / 13

### Form fator at LO



• We suggest a parameterization, reciprocal of square polynomial

$$Abs[\mathcal{G}(Q^2)] = \frac{A + Q^2 B}{Q^4 + Q^2 C + A}, \quad [A.Khodjamirian, 1999]$$

$$\tag{4}$$

† @ LO,  $A^{(0)} = 0.0879$ ,  $B^{(0)} = 46.1$ ,  $C^{(0)} = 10.9$ .

#### The NLO correction

• The NLO hard correction is availble for single-b convoluted formula

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathsf{I}}(q^{2})_{\mathsf{NLO}} = 16\pi C_{\mathsf{F}} \int_{0}^{1} dx_{1} dx_{2} \int dbb\alpha_{s}(\mu) \phi_{\pi}(x_{1}) \phi_{\pi}(x_{2}) \mathrm{Exp}[-S_{\mathsf{II}}(x_{i}, b, \mu)], \\ \times \frac{\alpha_{s} C_{\mathsf{F}}}{4\pi} \left[ \tilde{h}(x_{i}, b, Q, \mu) \mathcal{K}_{0}(\sqrt{i\beta}b) + \frac{i\pi}{2} \mathcal{H}_{0}^{(1)\prime\prime}(i\sqrt{\beta}b) \right],$$
(5)

$$\begin{split} & \stackrel{+}{\hbar}(x_{i}, b, Q) \text{ in [H.C. Hu, etc. 2013]} \\ & \stackrel{+}{\text{ For }} H_{0}^{(1)\prime\prime\prime}(x) \equiv \left[ d^{2} H_{\alpha}^{(1)}(x) / d^{2} \alpha \right]_{\alpha=0}, \text{ we parameterize,} \\ & \stackrel{\text{Re}[H_{0}^{(1)\prime\prime\prime}(x)]}{=} \\ & \stackrel{\text{Which}[x \ge 10, \\ & \frac{\alpha_{s} c_{F}}{4\pi} \left[ 0.798 + 0.454x - 0.0603x^{2} + 0.00590x^{3} - 0.00021x^{4} - 1.35 \log x \right. \\ & + J_{0}(x) \left( -0.581 + 1.48 \log x - 0.497 \log^{2} x \right) \\ & + Y_{0}(x) \left( -3.62 - 0.194x + 0.665 \log x + 0.331 \log^{2} x \right) \right], \\ & x < 10, \\ & \cdots \right], \end{split}$$

† For the small and large argument, reproduce the result obtained by the asymptotic expansion of Hankel function.

# NLO correction



- The NLO correction mostly comes from h term, ~ 11% for Abs in the whole energy region, < 8% for Arg deviated from the LO  $\pi$ .
- @ NLO, Eq (4),  $A^{(1)} = 0.0996$ ,  $B^{(1)} = 48.2$ ,  $C^{(1)} = 12.6$ .

### Branching ratio of $Z \rightarrow \pi \pi$

- $\sin^2 \theta_W(\overline{\text{MS}}) = 0.231$ ,  $\alpha_s(m_Z^2) = 0.1182$ ,  $\alpha(m_Z)^{-1} = 127.950$ .
- Eqs (3, 5)

$$\mathcal{G}(M_Z^2) \times 10^6 = (-8.29 - i0.771)|_{LO} + (-0.975 - i1.59)|_{NLO1} + (0.211 + i0.00760)|_{NLO2},$$
(7)

- Branching ratios  $\begin{array}{l} {\cal B}(Z \to \pi^+\pi^-) = \ 0.83 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-12} \ , \\ {\cal B}(Z \to {\it K}^+{\it K}^-) = \ 1.74 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.02 \times 10^{-12} \ , \\ {\rm Quite\ hopeful\ to\ be\ measured\ at\ a\ Tera-Z\ factory.} \end{array}$
- A good touchstone of PQCD.

### Outlook

- $Z \rightarrow B_{(c)}B_{(c)}, D_{(s)}D_{(s)}$ 
  - † Factorization ( $m_b$  and/or  $m_c$  to  $\lambda_{QCD}$ ),
  - <sup>†</sup> Wave function of fast moving  $B_{(c)}$  (spin effect, HQET ? ).
  - † Sudakov factor of  $B_c$  (quark mass effect).
- FCNC,  $Z \rightarrow BK$  (penguin and new loop effect, mass effect).

# The End, Thank you.