CEPC Higgs Combination

Zhang Kaili

zhangkl@ihep.ac.cn

2018-04-06

Z->qq $H \rightarrow \mu\mu$, 3T fast simulation

Preliminary cut: signal 13 Bkg：~2800






Z→qq, H→μμ, 3T Fast, Signal Events
CEPC, ∫L=5 ab-1, √s=250GeV
Signal Events, 8.86
σdata = 0.92, σpdf = 1.25
χ2 = 73.82
Events / (0.1) [GeV]
Pull
Mμμ[GeV]


Z→qq, H→μμ, 3T Fast, SM bkg Events
CEPC, ∫L=5 ab-1, √s=250GeV
SM bkg Events, 2826.00
σdata = 2.90, σpdf = 2.90
χ2 = 28.26
Events / (0.1) [GeV]
Pull
Mμμ[GeV]


Z→qq, H→μμ, 3T Fast, Signal Events
CEPC, ∫L=5 ab-1, √s=250GeV
Signal Events, 8.15
σdata = 5.57, σpdf = 5.87
χ2 = 59.03
Events / (0.3) [GeV]
Pull
Mqq[GeV]


Z→qq, H→μμ, 3T Fast, SM bkg Events
CEPC, ∫L=5 ab-1, √s=250GeV
SM bkg Events, 2518.00
σdata = 4.97, σpdf = 5.04
χ2 = 25.43
Events / (0.3) [GeV]
Pull
Mqq[GeV]


Z→qq, H→μμ, 3T Fast, Signal Events
CEPC, ∫L=5 ab-1, √s=250GeV
Signal Events, 8.65
σdata = 8.03, σpdf = 7.99
χ2 = 62.69
Events / (0.5) [GeV]
Pull
M Recoil qq [GeV]


Z→qq, H→μμ, 3T Fast, SM bkg Events
CEPC, ∫L=5 ab-1, √s=250GeV
SM bkg Events, 2715.00
σdata = 6.49, σpdf = 6.72
χ2 = 36.20
Events / (0.5) [GeV]
Pull
M Recoil qq [GeV]


Z→qq, H→μμ, 3T Fast, Signal Events
CEPC, ∫L=5 ab-1, √s=250GeV
Signal Events, 8.60
σdata = 4.73, σpdf = 4.79
χ2 = 62.32
Events / (0.3) [GeV]
Pull
M Recoil μμ [GeV]


Z→qq, H→μμ, 3T Fast, SM bkg Events
CEPC, ∫L=5 ab-1, √s=250GeV
SM bkg Events, 2644.00
σdata = 5.42, σpdf = 5.48
χ2 = 26.71
Events / (0.3) [GeV]
Pull
M Recoil μμ [GeV]

Z->mm $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, 3T fast simulation

By Guo Fangyi

- Signal: $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma\gamma$

  Generated with Whizard-1.95 at $\sqrt{s} = 240\text{GeV}$

- Background: 240GeV 3T Fast simulation samples

	$\mu\mu$	$\tau\tau$	ZZ/WW	$Z + \nu$	W/Z+e
generated	20000000	10000000	1116511	219278	
$\mu\mu\gamma\gamma$ final state	1393678	6204	21507	923	0
Pass all selection	1099 (0.004%)	17 (0.0001%)	0	0	

Main background: $\mu\mu$ background

	Signal		background			
	mumu		mumu		tautau	
generated	100000		26930165		10000000	
mumuyy	138039	1.380	1393678	0.052	6204	0.001
E_y1>35	138035	1.000	264928	0.190	1711	0.276
35<E_y2<100	99557	0.721	68864	0.260	584	0.341
|costheta_y|<0.9	82895	0.833	24856	0.361	192	0.329
pT_y>20	82742	0.998	23958	0.964	185	0.964
86<recom_yy<100	64839	0.784	6118	0.255	65	0.351
110<m_yy<140	64646	0.997	2524	0.413	34	0.523
123<E_yy<142	64644	1.000	2387	0.946	27	0.794
|costheta_ly|<0.9	47048	0.728	1099	0.460	17	0.630
		0.470		4.08E-05		1.7E-6

Selection efficiency : 47%

After scaling, 36 signal and 1042 bkg events left.
Could be improved.

Z->mm $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, 3T fast simulation









In fast simulation we set 16% resolution to photon, dominant to width;

Z->mm $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, 3T fast simulation









Z->qq H→$\tau\tau$

currently, choose the best result into combination.

qq, qq Mass, 1.08%



qq, Visible $\tau\tau$ Mass, 1.05%



qq, Higgs Mass (Recoil qq) plot, 1.02%



qq, Impact parameter Fit, 1.05%



Channels Table

Done/Almost Done:

Signal		Precision
Z	H	
H->qq		
ee	bb	1.6%
	cc	23.6%
	gg	13.3%
μμ	bb	1.1%
	cc	14.8%
	gg	8.0%
qq	bb	0.5%
	cc	11.9%
	gg	3.9%
νν	bb	0.4%
	cc	3.9%
	gg	1.5%
H→ττ		
ee	ττ	2.8%
μμ		3.0%
qq		1.0%
νν		3.1%
H→γγ, Zγ		
μμ+ττ	γγ	24.8%
νν		11.7%
qq		12.8%
νν	Zγ(qqγ)	21.2%

Signal		Precision
Z	H	
H->WW		
μμ	μνμν	7.3%
	eνeν	
	eνμν	
	eνqq	4.0%
	μνqq	4.0%
ee	μνμν	9.2%
	eνeν	
	eνμν	
	eνqq	4.6%
	μνqq	3.9%
νν	qqqq	2.0%
	eνqq	4.7%
	μνqq	4.2%
qq	lνqq	2.2%(ILC)
ZH bkg contribution		3.0%
H->ZZ		
νν	μμqq	8.2%
νν	eeqq	35.2%
μμ	ννqq	7.3%
ee	eeqq	35.1%
ee	μμqq	23.0%
ZH bkg contribution		19.4%

Signal		Precision
Z	H	
νν	bb	3.1%
Rare Decays		
H→μμ		
qq	μμ	15.9%
ee		
μμ		
νν		
H->Invisible		Br, Upper
qq	ZZ(νννν)	0.8%
ee		0.6%
μμ		0.6%

For H→$\tau\tau$, Dan's result:

Decay final state		Precision
$Z \to \mu^+\mu^-$	$H \to \tau^+\tau^-$	2.7%
$Z \to e^+e^-$	$H \to \tau^+\tau^-$	2.7%
$Z \to \nu\bar{\nu}$	$H \to \tau^+\tau^-$	4.4%
$Z \to q\bar{q}$	$H \to \tau^+\tau^-$	0.93%
Combined		0.81%

My H→$\tau\tau$: 0.88%
Under discussing

Fit results

Standalone: Regardless any ZH bkg contribution;
Different impact on w/z and b/c/g/τ.

($5ab^{-1}$)	Pre_CDR	Combined	Standalone
$\sigma(ZH)$	0.51%	0.50%	
$\sigma(ZH) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{bb})$	0.28%	0.3%	0.3%
$\sigma(ZH) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{cc})$	2.20%	3.5%	3.5%
$\sigma(ZH) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{gg})$	1.60%	1.4%	1.4%
$\sigma(ZH) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{WW})$	1.50%	1.0%	1.2%
$\sigma(ZH) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{ZZ})$	4.30%	5.0%	5.2%
$\sigma(ZH) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to \tau\tau)$	1.20%	0.9%	0.9%
$\sigma(ZH) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to \gamma\gamma)$	9.00%	8.1%	8.2%
$\sigma(ZH) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to \mu\mu)$	17%	15.9%	15.9%
$\sigma(\mathrm{vv}H) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{bb})$	2.80%	3.1%	3.1%
$\mathrm{Br}_{\mathrm{upper}}(\mathrm{H} \to \mathrm{inv.})$	0.28%	0.42%	0.42%
$\sigma(ZH) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \to Z\gamma)$	\	4σ	4σ

some explanation about the combination are already uploaded to the git.