Prompt yield extraction

Ao Xu

Tsinghua University

Ω_c^0 *lifetime measurement meeting*

January 4, 2019

Outline

Data and simulation

Strategy to extract prompt yields

Fit to MC samples of signal, normalization and control modes

Fit to D^0 data

Ongoing tests

Data and simulation

- 2016 data and simulation samples available for this analysis

Modes	Data	MC	
signal	$\Omega_c^0 \to pK^-K^-\pi^+$	Turbo	ReDecay $\tau = 250\,\mathrm{fs}, 500\,\mathrm{fs}$
normalization	$\Xi_c^0 \to pK^-K^-\pi^+$	Turbo	ReDecay
control	$\Omega_b^- \to \Omega_c^0(\to pK^-K^-\pi^+)\,\pi^-$	Stripping	Full Sim.
control	$\Omega_b^- \to \Omega_c^0(\to pK^-K^-\pi^+)\,\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu$	Stripping	Full Sim. $\tau = 250\,\mathrm{fs}$
control	$\Xi_b \to \Xi_c^0(\to pK^-K^-\pi^+)\,\mu^-\overline{\nu}_\mu$	Stripping	Full Sim.
control	$D^{*+} \to D^0(\to K^-K^+\pi^-\pi^+)\pi^+$	Turbo	ReDecay
control	$B^+ \to \overline{D}^0(\to K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-)\pi^+$	Stripping	Full Sim.

Strategy to extract prompt yields

- Contamination from b-hadron decays is evident in large decay time bins
- Use $\log_{10}(\chi^2_{\text{IP}})$ as discriminating variable
- Model the prompt and secondary components with the Bukin function

$$\mathcal{P}(x;\mu,\sigma,\xi,\rho_1,\rho_2) = \begin{cases} \exp\left\{ \dfrac{(x-x_1)\xi\sqrt{\xi^2+1}\sqrt{2\ln 2}}{\sigma\left(\sqrt{\xi^2+1}-\xi\right)^2 \ln\left(\sqrt{\xi^2+1}+\xi\right)} + \rho_1\left(\dfrac{x-x_1}{\mu-x_1}\right)^2 - \ln 2 \right\} & x \le x_1, \\ \exp\left\{ -\left[\dfrac{\ln\left(1+2\xi\sqrt{\xi^2+1}\dfrac{x-\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{2\ln 2}}\right)}{\ln\left(1+2\xi^2-2\xi\sqrt{\xi^2+1}\right)}\right]^2 \times \ln 2 \right\} & x_1 < x < x_2, \\ \exp\left\{ \dfrac{(x-x_2)\xi\sqrt{\xi^2+1}\sqrt{2\ln 2}}{\sigma\left(\sqrt{\xi^2+1}-\xi\right)^2 \ln\left(\sqrt{\xi^2+1}+\xi\right)} + \rho_2\left(\dfrac{x-x_2}{\mu-x_2}\right)^2 - \ln 2 \right\} & x \ge x_2. \end{cases}$$

where

$$x_1 = \mu + \sigma\sqrt{2\ln 2}\left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{\xi^2+1}} - 1\right)$$

Illustration of the Bukin functions

- Bukin functions with various asymetry and tail parameters with $\mu = 0, \sigma = 1, \rho_1 = 0$



Prompt and secondary MC samples

- Prompt and secondary MC samples of Ω_c^0, Ξ_c^0 and D^0: acquired by apply `MC_MOTHER_ID` requirements to inclusive MC samples
- Components after all selections

Components	orphan	c-quark	c-meson	c-baryon	b-meson	b-baryon
`abs(MC_MOTHER_ID)`	0	4	(400,500)	(4000,5000)	(500,600)	(5000,6000)



Ω_c^0



Ξ_c^0



D^0

- Validation needed with b-decay MC samples

The defination of the decay time

- Decay time t is defined as

$$t \equiv \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{r}}{p^2} \times m$$

where $\vec{p}$ is the momentum vector, $\vec{r}$ the vector pointing from PV to decay vertex, and m the invariant mass of the charm hadron

Fit with all parameters free in all decay time bins

- All fits converge with accurate error matrix
- μ: dependent on t with clear pattern for prompt and secondary
- σ: vary with t for prompt and secondary
- ξ: vary with t for prompt and secondary
- ρ_1, ρ_2: vary with t for prompt and secondary

Fit results in decay-time bins: μ

Prompt Ω_c^0





Secondary Ω_c^0

Prompt Ξ_c^0





Secondary Ξ_c^0

Prompt D^0





Secondary D^0

Fit results in decay-time bins: σ

Prompt Ω_c^0





Secondary Ω_c^0

Prompt Ξ_c^0





Secondary Ξ_c^0

Prompt D^0





Secondary D^0

Fit results in decay-time bins: ξ

Prompt Ω_c^0





Secondary Ω_c^0

Prompt Ξ_c^0





Secondary Ξ_c^0

Prompt D^0





Secondary D^0

Fit results in decay-time bins: ρ_1

Prompt Ω_c^0





Secondary Ω_c^0

Prompt Ξ_c^0





Secondary Ξ_c^0

Prompt D^0





Secondary D^0

Fit results in decay-time bins: ρ_2

Prompt Ω_c^0





Secondary Ω_c^0

Prompt Ξ_c^0





Secondary Ξ_c^0

Prompt D^0





Secondary D^0

Sanity check: fit combined MC samples

- Check whether the fit can re-produce the input prompt fraction with MC samples
- Fit the combined (prompt+secondary) MC with free μ_{prompt} and $\mu_{\mathrm{secondary}}$, while fixing other parameters to values of seperate fits

Fit results of combined MC samples: Ω_c^0





















Comparison of input and extracted yield: Ω_c^0



Prompt



Secondary

Likelihood scan of μ_{prompt} and $\mu_{\text{secondary}}$

- Ω_c^0 bin 0



Fit results of combined MC samples: Ξ_c^0



Comparison of input and extracted yield: Ξ_c^0



Prompt



Secondary

Likelihood scan of μ_{prompt} and $\mu_{\text{secondary}}$

- Ξ_c^0 bin 4



Fit results of combined MC samples: D^0



Comparison of input and extracted yield: D^0



Prompt



Secondary

Fit to $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0 (\to K^- K^+ \pi^- \pi^+)$ data

- Mass fit
  - In $1865 \pm 45\,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ mass region
  - Gaussian + 2^{nd}-order Chebychev
- IPCHI2 fit
  - In $1865 \pm 2.5 \times 5.65\,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ mass region
  - Prompt and secondary signal components: μ_{prompt} and $\mu_{\mathrm{secondary}}$ free and other parameters fixed to MC
  - Background: kernel estimation with mass-sideband data
  - The total number of backgrounds fixed to values from mass fit
  - Binning scheme: the same as the signal mode

Fit results of D^0 data



Fit results of yields



Prompt



Secondary

Fit results of μ



Prompt



Secondary

Comparison of data and MC: prompt fraction



Data



MC

The first four bins with old configuration









Likelihood scan of μ_{prompt} and $\mu_{\text{secondary}}$



Ongoing tests

- Key point: find a reliable way to constrain $\mu_{\mathrm{secondary}}$
  - How is "reliable"?
  - $\mu(t)$?
  - $\mu_{\mathrm{secondary}} - \mu_{\mathrm{prompt}}$?
- Reduce the dependence on MC parameters
  - Share some parameters (ρ_2, ρ_1) in decay time bins

Backup

Fit with all parameters free: bin 0

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit with all parameters free: bin 1

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit with all parameters free: bin 2

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit with all parameters free: bin 3

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit with all parameters free: bin 4

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit with all parameters free: bin 5

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit with all parameters free: bin 6

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit with all parameters free: bin 7

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit with all parameters free: bin 8

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit with all parameters free: bin 9

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary for (top) Ω_c^0 and (bottom) Ξ_c^0









Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 0

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary





Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 1

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary





Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 2

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary





Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 3

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary





Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 4

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary





Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 5

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary





Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 6

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary





Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 7

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary





Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 8

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary





Fit D^0 MC with all parameters free: bin 9

- (left) Prompt and (right) secondary