W Mass Measurement in CEPC

Presented by ¹Pei-Zhu Lai (賴培築)

Supervisor: ²Man-Qi Ruan, ²Gang Li, ²Bo Liu, ¹Chia-Ming Kuo ¹National Central University, Taiwan ²Institute of High Energy Physics, China EW Meeting

Jun 06, 2018







- **Use MC matching to remove the prompt muon.**
- V4 problems





dR between "muon in the jet" and MC true muon less than 0.1(from the plot) is identified as the prompt muon candidate.

CEPC

dE(MC μ , μ in jet)/E(MC μ)



■ The second close muon in the jet may be less than 0.1.

■ The closest muon is required |dE|/E < 0.2(from the plot), and the second on is required 0.1(from the plot).

I will choose the energy closest one



After Matching *µ*



After vetoing a muon in polluted event, dijet invariant mass can be recovered.



After Matching μ



"No any μ" means two jets don't contain any muon in these events.
"Remove a μ in matched event" means the blue plot in S5.
Cleaned one has higher shoulder and shifting peak.





■ We knew the polluted event only occupy 1% in total event, but it can cause the shoulder in the dijet mass distribution.



Final plot



■ After cleaning the polluted event, it could slightly improve the mass resolution and remove the shoulder

V1($\sqrt{s}=250$ GeV) vs. V4($\sqrt{s}=240$ GeV)



After using the same approach to cluster jet in V4, I found some problems in reco jet. (Shoulder and peak position)

V1($\sqrt{s}=250$ GeV) vs. V4($\sqrt{s}=240$ GeV)



After cleaning the polluted event, the shoulder in the V4 can be remove. However, the peak position is wrong.

 $C \mathcal{E} \mathcal{P}$



$V4(\sqrt{s}=240GeV)$



■ If I reconstructed the W mass by all PFOs, the W resonance region is fine.





By MC matching approach, the additional muon is removed and make sure the problem is caused by prompt muon not all muon inside the jets.

To do:

• Check the JEC for the peak region and then study the isolation muon selection criteria in the jet clustering for shoulder region.



Back up



 $dE(MC \mu, \mu \text{ in jet})$

