## Performance of the Baseline detector for CEPC CDR

## Three pillars

- Performance
- Determined by the detector geometry \& Reconstruction algorithm
- Be characterized at
- Physics Objects
- Higgs Signal
- Benchmark Physics Analyses



## Reference design \& Arbor



## Simulation-Reconstruction Chain with Arbor



# Geometry: APODIS (A PFA Oriented Detector for HIggS factory) 

Feasibility analysis: TPC is OK for CEPC (2017 JINST 12 P07005)

|  | CEPC_v1 <br> $(\sim$ ILD $)$ | APODIS <br> $($ Optimized $)$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Track Radius | 1.8 m | $>=1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ | Requested by Br(H->di muon) measurement |
| B Field | 3.5 T | 3 T | Requested by MDI |
| ToF | - | 50 ps | Requested by pi-Kaon separation at Z pole |
| ECAL Thickness | 84 mm | $84(90) \mathrm{mm}$ | Optimized on Br(H->di photon) at 250 GeV <br> ECAL Cell Size <br> 5 mm <br> 10 mm <br> Passive cooling request $\sim 20 \mathrm{~mm} .10 \mathrm{~mm}$ is <br> required for EW measurements <br> ECAL NLayer <br> HCAL Thickness <br> HCAL NLayer <br> 1.3 m <br> 48 |

## APODIS

- Operational at CEPC Collision environment \& Geometry parameter Optimized
- Significantly reduced Cost/Energy Consumption
- ECAL power: 75-80\%
- Yoke weight: 60-70\%
- Construction cost: 30\%



2015 PreCDR


2017 CDR

## Performance at Physics Objects



## Kaon



Highly appreciated in flavor physics @ CEPC Z pole TPC dEdx + ToF of 50 ps
At inclusive $Z$ pole sample:
Conservative estimation gives efficiency/purity of $91 \% / 94 \%$ ( $2-20 \mathrm{GeV}, 50 \%$ degrading +50 ps ToF)
Could be improved to $96 \% / 96 \%$ by better detector/DAQ performance ( $20 \%$ degrading +50 ps ToF)

## Massive Boson Separation




CEPC-RECO-2017-002 (DocDB id-164),
CEPC-RECO-2018-002 (DocDB id-171),
Eur.Phys.J. C78 (2018) no.5, 426

## Jet Energy Resolution




CMS Reference: CMS-JME-13-004,
Jet energy scale and resolution in the CMS experiment in pp collisions at 8 TeV

## Performance at Higgs Signal: total visible mass at vvH events



Table 2. Benchmark resolutions ( $\sigma /$ Mean) of reconstructed Higgs boson mass, comparing to LHC results.

|  | Higgs $\rightarrow \mu \mu$ | Higgs $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$. | Higgs $\rightarrow \mathrm{bb}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CEPC (APODIS) | $0.20 \%$ | $2.59 \%^{1}$ | $3.63 \%$ |
| LHC (CMS, ATLAS) | $\sim 2 \%[19,20]$ | $\sim 1.5 \%[21,22]$ | $\sim 10 \%[23,24]$ |

[^0]
## Higgs benchmark analyses...

Mostly done with CEPC-v1 geometry

$\sigma(\mathrm{ZH})$ measurements

$\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mu \mu)$


$\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{WW})$

$\sigma(\mathrm{vvH}) * \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{bb})$

$\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{TT})$


## Summary

- The Particle Flow oriented detector is well established and serves as the baseline detector for the CEPC CDR studies
- High efficiency/accuracy reconstruction of all key physics objects;
- Clear Higgs signature in all SM Higgs decay mode
- Mature software/reconstruction tool/team
- APODIS, Optimized for the CEPC collision environments
- Significantly reduced B-Field (15\%), \#readout channels (75\% in ECAL) \& HCAL layer-thickness (20\%) \& cost (15\%/30\% w.r.t CEPC-v1/ILD)
- Same Higgs performance \& enhanced Pid Performance
- Iterate with hardware studies
- Todo:
- Physics study, especially flavor tagging \& EW measurements (t leptons)
- Towards the TDR, Integration, Sub detector modeling, Systematic Studies


## Thank you!

## H to gluons: total visible mass




Table 1. Event selection efficiency for Higgs boson exclusive decay at CEPC with $\sqrt{s}=240 \mathrm{GeV}$.

|  | $\mu \mu$ | $\gamma \gamma$ | di_gluon | bb | $c c$ | $W W^{*}$ | ZZ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 45000 | 48000 | 48000 | 45000 | 46000 | 47000 | 45000 |
| Pt_ISR<1GeV | - | $95.52 \%$ | $95.14 \%$ | $95 . .37 \%$ | $95.27 \%$ | $95.19 \%$ | $95.22 \%$ |
| Pt_neutrino $<1$ GeV | - | - | $89.35 \%$ | $39.00 \%$ | $66.30 \%$ | $37.41 \%$ | $41.42 \%$ |
| $\mid$ costheta\| $<0.85$ | - | - | $67.27 \%$ | $28.55 \%$ | $49.23 \%$ | $37.03 \%$ | $40.91 \%$ |




## Higgs Signal at APODIS

- Tracks - Leptons \& Photons


CEPC-RECO-2018-002
CEPC-Doc id 174, 175


$\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{YY}$ at CEPC-v4/Simplified geometry
Asymmetric tails in CEPC-v4 induced by geometry defects need careful geometry corrections

## Higgs to bb, cc, gg








## Higgs to WW, ZZ



Table 2. Benchmark resolutions ( $\sigma / \mathrm{Mean}$ ) of reconstructed Higgs boson mass, comparing to LHC results.

|  |  | Higgs $\rightarrow \mu \mu$ | Higgs $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$. | Higgs $\rightarrow$ bb |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CEPC (APODIS) | $0.20 \%$ | $2.59 \%^{1}$ | $3.63 \%$ |
| $06 / 28 / 18$ | LHC (CMS, ATLAS) | $\sim 2 \%[19,20]$ | $\sim 1.5 \%[21,22]$ | $\sim 10 \%[23,24]$ |

${ }^{1}$ primary result without geometry based correction and fine-tuned calibration. https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.04992


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ primary result without geometry based correction and fine-tuned calibration. https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.04992

