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## Introduction

- Little Higgs mechanism was proposed to solve the "little hierarchy" problem, or equivalently, the stability of EW scale under radiative corrections;
- As an example, the Simplest Little Higgs (SLH) model contains the minimal extended scalar sector, there is only one additional scalar (denoted as $\eta$ ), and its properties are related to the electro-weak symmetry breaking (EWSB);
- An accidental motivation: last year at the same conference (3th CLHCP, NJU), I gave a talk stating that in previous papers, the kinetic part of the SLH model was not canonically-normalized, which leads to wrong interactions, thus the properties of $\eta$ and its phenomenology must be re-considered;
- I and my collaborators finished that in a series of papers: S.-P. He, Y.-N. Mao, C. Zhang, and S.-H. Zhu, Phys. Rev. D97, 075005 (2018) 1709.08929 ; K. Cheung, S.-P. He, Y.-N. Mao, C. Zhang, and Y. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D97, 115001 (2018) 1801.10066; S.-P. He, Y.-N. Mao, C. Zhang, and S.-H. Zhu, 1804.11333; K. Cheung, S.-P. He, Y.-N. Mao, P.-Y. Tseng, and C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D98, 075023 (2018), 1809.03809;
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## Brief Review of the Model

D. E. Kaplan and M. Schmaltz, JHEP 0310 (2003) 039 hep-ph/0302049

- Global symmetry breaking $[\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(1)]^{2} \rightarrow[\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)]^{2}$ at scale $f \gg v$ : 10 Nambu-Goldstone bosons are generated;
- Enlarged gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(1) \rightarrow$ additional gauge bosons: there are totally 8 massive gauge bosons thus only 2 Goldstones bosons are left physical (SM-like Higgs boson $H$ and a pseudoscalar $\eta$ );
- Fermion doublets are also enlarged to triplets: additional heavy fermions.
- Two scalar triplets transform as $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$ and $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})$ respectively;
- The nonlinear realization:

$$
\Phi_{1}=\exp \left(\mathrm{i} \frac{\Theta^{\prime}}{f}\right) \exp \left(\mathrm{i} \frac{t_{\beta} \Theta}{f}\right)\binom{\mathbf{0}_{1 \times 2}}{f c_{\beta}}, \quad \Phi_{2}=\exp \left(\mathrm{i} \frac{\Theta^{\prime}}{f}\right) \exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \frac{\Theta}{f t_{\beta}}\right)\binom{\mathbf{0}_{1 \times 2}}{f s_{\beta}}
$$

- The matrix fields are defined as:

$$
\Theta \equiv \frac{\eta}{\sqrt{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & h \\
h^{\dagger} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \Theta^{\prime} \equiv \frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & k \\
k^{\dagger} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

- $t_{\beta}$ is the ratio between the VEVs of two scalar triplets;
- The $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ doublets are

$$
h \equiv\binom{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v+H-\mathrm{i} \chi)}{h^{-}}, \quad k \equiv\binom{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\sigma-\mathrm{i} \omega)}{k^{-}}
$$

- $h$ is just the SM Higgs doublet, doublet $k$ and singlets $\eta, \zeta$ are expected to be the other 6 Goldstone bosons and 5 of which are eaten by extra gauge bosons (note that after EWSB we need further canonically-normalization, see the details later);
- Gauge boson mass spectrum (to the leading order of $v / f$ )

| $m_{A}$ | $m_{W^{ \pm}}$ | $m_{Z}$ | $m_{X^{ \pm}, Y^{0}, \bar{Y}^{0}}$ | $m_{Z^{\prime}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $g v / 2$ | $g v /\left(2 c_{W}\right)$ | $g f / \sqrt{2}$ | $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3-t_{W}^{2}}} g f$ |

- In this talk, we focus on the phenomenology of $\eta$ which dominantly interact with $t$ and its partner $T$, thus we don't discuss the vector properties, vector-scalar interaction, and scalar potential (how to obtain a nonzero $v$ ) in details;
- The scalar kinetic term can be expanded as $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial H^{2}+\partial \sigma^{2}+\mathbb{K}_{i j} \partial_{\mu} G_{i} \partial^{\mu} G_{j}\right)$;
- $G_{i / j}$ runs over $\eta, \zeta, \chi, \omega$, and when $v \neq 0, \mathbb{K} \neq \mathbb{I}_{4 \times 4} \rightarrow$ non-canonically normalized;
- We also have two point transition $\mathbb{F}_{p i} V_{p}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} G_{i}$, which must be exactly canceled;
- These two problems can be solved together through a normalization procedure in CP-odd scalar sector: for canonically-normalized basis $S_{i}$, define the inner product $\left\langle S_{i} \mid S_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}$, we have $\left\langle G_{i} \mid G_{j}\right\rangle=\left(\mathbb{K}^{-1}\right)_{i j}$, and thus we can find the basis
- $\left(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{G}_{i}\right)=\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{\left(\mathbb{K}^{-1}\right)_{11}}}, \frac{\mathbb{R}_{p q} \mathbb{F}_{q i} G_{i}}{m_{p}}\right)$, we can check $\langle\tilde{\eta} \mid \tilde{\eta}\rangle=1,\left\langle\tilde{\eta} \mid \tilde{G}_{p}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle\tilde{G}_{p} \mid \tilde{G}_{q}\right\rangle=\delta_{p q} ;$
- This normalization procedure will modify the interactions including $\eta$.
- Choose the "anomaly free" embedding, [O. C. W. Kong, arXiv: hep-ph/0307250; J. Korean Phys. Soc. 45, S404 (2004); Phys. Rev. D 70, 075021 (2004)], the triplets $L^{T}=(\nu, \ell, \mathrm{i} N)_{L}, Q_{1}^{T}=(d,-u, \mathrm{i} D)_{L}, Q_{2}^{T}=(s,-c, \mathrm{i} S)_{L}, Q_{3}^{T}=(t, b, \mathrm{i} T)_{L} ;$
- $Q_{x}\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}, N_{R}\right)=0, Q_{x}\left(Q_{3}\right)=1 / 3, Q_{x}\left(u_{R}\right)=2 / 3, Q_{x}\left(d_{R}\right)=-1 / 3, Q_{x}(L)=-1 ;$
- Lagrangian $\left[d_{R, j}\right.$ runs over $(d, D, s, S, b)_{R}$ and $u_{R, j}$ runs over $\left.(u, c, t, T)_{R}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{i}\left(\lambda_{d, n}^{a} \bar{d}_{R, n}^{a} \Phi_{1}^{T}+\lambda_{d, n}^{b} \vec{d}_{R, n}^{b} \Phi_{2}^{T}\right) Q_{n}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\lambda_{u}^{j k}}{f} \bar{u}_{R, j} \operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{1}^{*}, \Phi_{2}^{*}, Q_{k}\right)-\mathrm{i} \frac{\lambda_{b, j}}{f} \bar{d}_{R, j} \operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, Q_{3}\right) \\
& \quad+\mathrm{i}\left(\lambda_{t}^{a} \bar{u}_{R, 3}^{a} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger}+\lambda_{t}^{b} \bar{u}_{R, 3}^{b} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger}\right) Q_{3}+\mathrm{i} \lambda_{N, j} \bar{N}_{R, j} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} L_{j}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\lambda_{\ell}^{j k}}{f} \bar{\ell}_{R, j} \operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, L_{k}\right)+\text { H.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Fermion Spectrum:

| $m_{\nu_{j}}$ | $m_{\ell_{j}}$ | $m_{N_{j}}$ | $m_{u, c}$ | $m_{b}$ | $m_{q}$ | $m_{Q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $y_{\ell_{j}} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\lambda_{N_{j}} f s_{\beta}$ | $y_{u, c} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\lambda_{b, 3} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\lambda_{q} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}$ | $\sqrt{\left\|\lambda_{q}^{a} c_{\beta}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\lambda_{q}^{b} s_{\beta}\right\|^{2}} f$ |

- $y_{\ell_{j}}$ is an eigenvalue of matrix $\lambda_{\ell}^{j k}, y_{u, c}$ is an eigenvalue of matrix $\lambda_{u}^{j k}$, index $q(Q)=$ $d(D), s(S), t(T)$ and $\lambda_{q} \equiv\left|\lambda_{q}^{a} \lambda_{q}^{b}\right| / \sqrt{\left|\lambda_{q}^{a} c_{\beta}\right|^{2}+\left|\lambda_{q}^{b} s_{\beta}\right|^{2}}$;
- Right-handed mixing $s_{2 \theta_{R, q}}=\sqrt{2} m_{q} s_{2 \beta} /\left(m_{Q} \kappa\right)$;
- The exact mixing angle depends on the relative magnitude of couplings $\lambda_{a, b}$;
- No right handed mixing in lepton sector (since we don't consider $\nu_{R}$ );
- Left-handed mixing $[\sim \mathcal{O}(\kappa)$ to leading order of $\kappa$ ]

$$
\binom{\tilde{q}}{\tilde{Q}}_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\delta_{q} \\
\delta_{q} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{q}{Q}_{L}, \quad\binom{\tilde{N}}{\tilde{\nu}}_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\delta_{\nu} \\
\delta_{\nu} & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{N}{\nu}_{L}
$$

- $\delta_{t}=\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2} s_{2 \beta}}\left( \pm\left|c_{2 \theta_{R, t}}\right|-c_{2 \beta}\right), \delta_{d / s}=-\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2} s_{2 \beta}}\left( \pm\left|c_{2 \theta_{R, d / s}}\right|-c_{2 \beta}\right)$, we denote them as $\delta_{q}^{ \pm}$;
- If we ignore $m_{d}$ and $m_{s}$, we have $\delta_{d, s}^{+}=-\frac{\kappa t_{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\delta_{d, s}^{-}=\delta_{\nu}=\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{2} t_{\beta}}$ for simplify;
- Fields with ${ }^{\sim}$ are mass eigenstates, parameterize the Yukawa interaction again as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} \supset & -\sum_{f}\left(y_{H, f} \bar{f} f H+\mathrm{i} y_{\eta, f} \bar{f} \gamma^{5} f \eta\right)-\sum_{f / F} H\left(y_{H, f F} \bar{f}_{R} F_{L}+y_{H, F f} \bar{F}_{R} f_{L}+\text { H.c. }\right) \\
& -\sum_{f / F} \eta\left(\mathrm{i} y_{\eta, f F} \bar{f}_{R} F_{L}+\mathrm{i} y_{\eta, F f} \bar{F}_{R} f_{L}+\text { H.c. }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- $F$ is the corresponding partner of $f$ like above;
- Similarly, $Q$ is the partner of SM quark $q$;
- The coefficients are listed in the table:

| $\left.c_{H, f}\right\|_{f \in \mathrm{SM}}$ | $c_{H, N}$ | $c_{H, Q}$ | $c_{H, F f}$ | $c_{H, t T}$ | $c_{H, d D / s S}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{m_{f}}{v}$ | 0 | $-\frac{m_{q}^{2}}{v m_{Q}}$ | $\frac{m_{Q} \delta_{q}}{v}$ | $-\frac{m_{t}}{v}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \kappa}{t_{2 \beta}}+\delta_{t}\right)$ | $\frac{m_{d / s}}{v}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \kappa}{t_{2 \beta}}-\delta_{d / s}\right)$ |  |
| $\left.c_{\eta, f}\right\|_{f=u, c, b, \ell, \nu}$ | $c_{\eta, q}$ | $c_{\eta, N}$ | $c_{\eta, Q}$ | $c_{\eta, q Q}$ | $c_{\eta, N \nu}$ | $c_{\eta, Q q}$ |
| 0 | $-\frac{m_{q} \delta_{q}}{v}$ | $-\frac{m_{N}}{\sqrt{2} f t_{\beta}}$ | $\frac{m_{Q} \delta_{q}}{v}$ | $\frac{m_{q}}{v}$ | $\frac{m_{N} v}{2 f^{2} s_{\beta}^{2}}$ | $-\frac{m_{Q}}{v}\left(\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}+\delta_{q}^{2}\right)$ |

- The red coefficients are different from the results before canonically-normalization.

We choose the region $m_{\eta} \sim(450-750) \mathrm{GeV}$, reasons:

$(f=10 \mathrm{TeV}) t_{\beta}$ distribution

- $Z^{\prime} \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$direct search set a limit $f>8 \mathrm{TeV}$, Goldstone scattering unitarity requires $t_{\beta}<8.9$ and UV cutoff $\Lambda=\sqrt{8 \pi} f c_{\beta}$;
- Naturalness defined as $\Delta_{\mathrm{TOT}}^{\mu}=\left|\frac{\partial \ln m_{h}^{2}}{\partial \ln \mu_{U}^{2}}\right|, \Delta_{\mathrm{TOT}}^{\lambda}=\left|\frac{\partial \ln m_{h}^{2}}{\partial \ln \lambda_{U}}\right|$, $\Delta_{\text {TOT }}$ is the maximal one, $\mu_{U}$ and $\lambda_{U}$ are defined at $\Lambda$;
- We choose the natural region with $f=8 \mathrm{TeV}$ and lighter $m_{T}$;
- Mass relation can be used to derive $t_{\beta}$ distribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{\eta}^{2} s_{\alpha}^{2} \approx m_{h}^{2}-2 v^{2}\left(\Delta_{A}-2 A\right), \text { where } \alpha \equiv \sqrt{2}(v / f) / s_{2 \beta} \text { and } \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta_{A}=\frac{3}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(\lambda_{t}^{4} \ln \frac{m_{T}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}-\frac{g^{4}}{8} \ln \frac{m_{X}^{2}}{m_{W}^{2}}-\frac{g^{4}}{16 c_{W}^{4}} \ln \frac{m_{Z}^{2}}{m_{Z}^{2}}\right) \\
A=\frac{3}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(\lambda_{t}^{4}-\frac{g^{4}}{8}-\frac{g^{4}}{16 c_{W}^{4}}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## Decay Widths of $\eta$

| Channel | Decay Width |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\eta \rightarrow t \bar{t}$ | $\frac{3 m_{n}}{8 \pi}\left(\frac{m_{t} \delta_{t}}{v}\right)^{2} \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{t}^{2}}{m_{n}^{2}}}$ (Domininant) |
| $\eta \rightarrow d D$ | $\frac{3 m_{n}}{8 \pi}\left(\frac{m_{D}}{v}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\kappa^{2}}{2}+\delta_{D d}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{D}^{2}}{m_{\eta}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\text { if } m_{\eta}>m_{D}\right)$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow N \bar{N}$ | $\left.\frac{m_{\eta} m_{N}^{2}}{16 f^{2} t_{\eta}^{2}} \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{N}^{2}}{m_{\eta}^{2}}} \text { (if } m_{\eta}>2 m_{N}\right)$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow N \nu$ | $\frac{m_{\eta}}{8 \pi}\left(\frac{\kappa m_{N}}{2 f s_{\beta}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{N}^{2}}{m_{\eta}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(\text { if } m_{\eta}>m_{N}\right)$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow g g$ | $\frac{m_{m_{8}^{3} \alpha_{s}^{2}}^{12 \pi^{3} v^{2}}}{}\left\|-\delta_{t} A_{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{t}\right)+\delta_{t} A_{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{T}\right)+\delta_{D d} A_{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{D}\right)+\delta_{S s} A_{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{S}\right)\right\|^{2}$ |
| $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ | $\frac{m_{\eta}^{3} \alpha^{2}}{2304 \pi^{3} v^{2}}\left\|-4 \delta_{t} A_{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{t}\right)+4 \delta_{t} A_{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{T}\right)+\delta_{D d} A_{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{D}\right)+\delta_{S s} A_{1 / 2}\left(\tau_{S}\right)\right\|^{2}$ |
| $m_{\eta}^{2} / 4 m_{f}^{2}$ and function $A_{1 / 2}(\tau) \equiv 2 f(\tau) / \tau$, where $f(\tau) \equiv \arcsin ^{2} \sqrt{\tau}$ for $\tau \leq 1$ and$f(\tau) \equiv-\frac{1}{4}\left[\ln \left(\left(1+\sqrt{1-\tau^{-1}}\right) /\left(1-\sqrt{1-\tau^{-1}}\right)\right)-\mathrm{i} \pi\right]^{2} \text { for } \tau>1 .$ |  |



An example: $f=8 \mathrm{TeV}, m_{T}=m_{D}=m_{S}=3 \mathrm{TeV}, m_{N_{i}}>m_{\eta}$;
$\eta$ Direct Production




- Up: $p p \rightarrow \eta$; Down: $p p \rightarrow t \bar{\eta} \eta$;
- For each case, choose $f=8 \mathrm{TeV}$;
- Choose $K$-factor 1 everywhere;
- Solve $\beta$ through mass relation;
- Difficult to test at hadron colliders.

Test $\eta$ through Cascade Decay

## Decay Widths of $T$

| Channel | Decay Width |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T \rightarrow W b$ | $\frac{g^{2} \delta_{t}^{2} m_{T}^{3}}{64 \pi m_{W}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{m_{W}^{2}}{m_{T}^{2}}-\frac{2 m_{W}^{4}}{m_{T}^{4}}\right) F\left(0, \frac{m_{W}}{m_{T}}\right) \simeq \frac{\delta_{t}^{2} m_{T}^{3}}{16 \pi v^{2}}$ |
| $T \rightarrow Z t$ | $\frac{g^{2} \delta_{t}^{2} m_{T}^{3}}{128 \pi c_{W}^{2} m_{Z}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{m_{Z}^{2}-2 m_{t}^{2}}{m_{T}^{2}}+\frac{m_{t}^{4}+m_{t}^{2} m_{Z}^{2}-2 m_{Z}^{4}}{m_{T}^{4}}\right) F\left(\frac{m_{t}}{m_{T}}, \frac{m_{Z}}{m_{T}}\right) \simeq \frac{\delta_{t}^{2} m_{T}^{3}}{32 \pi v^{2}}$ |
| $T \rightarrow H t$ | $\frac{m_{T}^{3} \delta_{t}^{2}}{32 \pi v^{2}}\left(1+\frac{m_{t}^{2}-m_{H}^{2}}{m_{T}^{2}}\right) F\left(\frac{m_{t}}{m_{T}}, \frac{m_{H}}{m_{T}}\right) \simeq \frac{\delta_{t}^{2} m_{T}^{3}}{32 \pi v^{2}}$ |
| $T \rightarrow \eta t$ | $\frac{m_{T} m_{t}^{2}}{32 \pi v^{2}}\left(1+\frac{m_{t}^{2}-m_{\eta}^{2}}{m_{T}^{2}}\right) F\left(\frac{m_{t}}{m_{T}}, \frac{m_{\eta}}{m_{T}}\right) \simeq \frac{m_{T} m_{t}^{2}}{32 \pi v^{2}}\left(1-\frac{m_{\eta}^{2}}{m_{T}^{2}}\right)^{2}$ |

Function $F(x, y) \equiv \sqrt{(1+x+y)(1+x-y)(1-x+y)(1-x-y)}$.

Choose $f=8 \mathrm{TeV}$ and $m_{\eta}=500 \mathrm{GeV}$, note that $\beta$ can be solved from the mass relation.




## Decay Widths of $D / S / N$

| Channel | Decay Width |
| :---: | :---: |
| $D \rightarrow W u$ | $\frac{g^{2} \delta_{D d}^{2} m_{D}^{3}}{64 \pi m_{W}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{m_{V}^{2}}{m_{D}^{2}}-\frac{2 m_{W}^{4}}{m_{D}^{4}}\right) F\left(0, \frac{m_{W}}{m_{D}}\right) \simeq \frac{\delta_{D d}^{2} m_{D}^{3}}{16 \pi v^{2}}$ |
| $D \rightarrow Z d$ | $\frac{g^{2} \delta_{D d}^{2} m_{T}^{3}}{128 \pi c_{W}^{2} m_{Z}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{m_{Z}^{2}}{m_{D}^{2}}-\frac{2 m_{Z}^{4}}{m_{D}^{4}}\right) F\left(0, \frac{m_{Z}}{m_{D}}\right) \simeq \frac{\delta_{D d}^{2} m_{D}^{3}}{32 \pi v^{2}}$ |
| $D \rightarrow H d$ | $\frac{m_{D}^{3} \delta_{D d}^{2}}{32 \pi v^{2}}\left(1-\frac{m_{H}^{2}}{m_{D}^{2}}\right) F\left(0, \frac{m_{H}}{m_{D}}\right) \simeq \frac{\delta_{D d}^{2} m_{D}^{3}}{32 \pi v^{2}}$ |
| $D \rightarrow \eta d$ | $\frac{m_{D}^{3}}{32 \pi v^{2}}\left(\frac{v^{2}}{2 f^{2}}+\delta_{D d}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{m_{\eta}^{2}}{m_{D}^{2}}\right)^{2} \ll \Gamma_{D \rightarrow W u, Z d, H d}$ |

The cases for $S$ and $N$ are the same after corresponding replacements.

$m_{T}=m_{D}=m_{S}=3 \mathrm{TeV}, \quad m_{\eta}=500 \mathrm{GeV}, \quad \bar{T} j$ processes are also included for the right two figures.


- Choose $f=8 \mathrm{TeV}$ as usual;
- $\sigma_{p p \rightarrow F \bar{F} \rightarrow \eta+X}=\sigma_{p p \rightarrow F \bar{F}} \mathrm{Br}_{\eta}\left(2-\mathrm{Br}_{\eta}\right)$;
- $\sigma_{p p \rightarrow F j \rightarrow \eta+X}=\sigma_{p p \rightarrow F j} B r_{\eta}$;
- LHC very difficult, but we may expect for future $p p$ colliders with larger $\sqrt{s}$.


## Summary and Discussion

- The SLH model is not a new model, but some of the interactions about $\eta$ was incorrect, we re-derived the interactions and re-considered the phenomenology;
- After the correction, $Z H \eta$-vertex is not important $\left[\sim(v / f)^{3}\right]$, thus we should turn to the Yukawa sector: direct production or cascade decay;
- $f$ is now pushed to $>8 \mathrm{TeV}$ and we choose the naturalness favored region $m_{\eta} \sim$ (450 - 750) GeV, where $\mathrm{Br}_{\eta \rightarrow t \bar{t}} \sim 1$;
- The direct production is impossible to test $\eta$, while it is possible through $T$ cascade decay: the cross section can reach about $\mathcal{O}\left(10^{2}\right) \mathrm{fb}$ at $\sqrt{s}=100 \mathrm{TeV}$ proton colliders, though still very difficult at LHC;
- In many models, a similar pseudoscalar can exist, our analysis is just an example, but there may also be similar properties in other models;
- For example, $Z H \eta$-vertex is suppressed by $(v / f)^{3}$ is a model-independent property if $\eta$ is a SM-singlet pseudoscalar, based on an EFT analysis; $f$ is also pushed to a similar high scale for models with extra gauge bosons with similar properties [for example, the littlest Higgs model without T-parity];
- Light $\eta$ scenario is disfavored by naturalness, but not excluded;
- This talk is a simplify version due to the time limit, I will present a complete version at CFHEP, IHEP (Dec. 27, Thursday, 14:30).


