### Inclusive and Electroweakino SUSY search with leptons

### Yang Liu On behalf of ATLAS collaboration

Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Science

yang.l@cern.ch

4th CLHCP @ CCNU Wuhan

December 19, 2018





CLHCP SUSY

# Introduction



- The Supersymmetry is a well motivated and favored extension of the Stand Model (SM):
  - ◊ Solves hierarchy problem, provides dark matter candidate, helps with the GUT...
- Basically have 2 production ways:



 This talk will focus on the public results of SUSY search with 1L+jets (1708.08232), SS/3L+jets, (1706.03731) and Di-Tau (1708.07875) at ATLAS during run2\_\_\_\_

### **Analysis Overview:**

- Signature: 1L+jets+MET, decay from gluinos or squarks produced in a strong interaction
- Dominant backgrounds (W+jets and ttbar) are studided in dedicated control regions (CR); others are estimated via Monte Carlo (MC) simulations
- The contributions in signal regions (SRs) are derived using a simultaneously fit



### **Signal Regions:**

• Defined for different signal models aiming for different mass regions:

	Compressed region	High-x region	Low-x region	High mass region				
SR	2J	4J high-x	4J low-x	6J				
$N_{\ell}$		=	1					
$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\ell}$ [GeV]	$> 7(6)$ for $e(\mu)$ and $< \min(5 \cdot N_{jet}, 35)$	> 35	> 35	> 35				
N <sub>jet</sub>	$\geq 2$	4–5	4–5	$\geq 6$				
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ [GeV]	> 430	> 300	> 250	> 350				
$m_{\rm T}$ [GeV]	> 100	> 450	150-450	> 175				
Aplanarity	_	> 0.01	> 0.05	> 0.06				
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/m_{\rm eff}$	> 0.25	> 0.25	-	-				
$N_{b-\text{iet}}$ (excl)		$= 0$ for <i>b</i> -veto, $\geq 1$ for <i>b</i> -tag						
m [GeV] (excl)	$3 \text{ bins} \in [700, 1900]$	$2 \text{ bins} \in [1000, 2000]$	$2 \text{ bins} \in [1300, 2000]$	3 bins ∈ [700,2300]				
m <sub>eff</sub> [Gev] (exci)	+ [> 1900]	+ [> 2000]	+ [> 2000]	+ [> 2300]				
$m_{\rm eff}$ [GeV] (disc)	> 1100	> 1500	> 1650(1300) for gluino (squark)	> 2300(1233) for gluino (squark)				

Squark 1-step





CLHCP SUSY

### **Background estimation:**

#### BKGs in 2-6J SR:

- ◇ Dominant backgrounds (ttbar, single top and W+Jets): semi-data driven method used, "object replacement method" as cross check
- $\diamond~$  Small backgrounds (ttV, Z+Jets and diboson): estimated by MC directly
- Fakes are negligible due to the stringent selection on MET
- BKGs in 9J SR: ABCD method



### Systematic uncertainties:

- Experimental systematics:
  - ◊ Object scale factors, ES, ER, MET, b-tagging efficiencies, etc...
- Theoretical uncertainties:
  - ◊ uncertainties from SM samples modeling etc...
- Method uncertainties: Coming from the methods we used to estimate the backgrounds

**Results:** 





- Good agreement between data and bkgs
- No significant excess observed

### **Results:**



• Limits up to 2.1 TeV in gluino and 1.25 TeV in squark mass have been excluded

• Limits up tp 1.8 TeV (2-step grid) and 1.7 TeV (pMSSM grid) have been excluded

### **Analysis Overview:**

- Signature: Same sign or 3L+jets+MET, decays from gluino or squarks produced in strong interaction, can be studided in both RPC and RPV scenarios
- **Dominant backgrounds**: reducible and irreducible backgrounds are estimated using data-driven and MC method separately
- The contributions in signal regions (SRs) are derived using a simultaneously fit

### **Signal Regions:**

- 13 SRs designed for 7 RPC SUSY scenarios including NUHM2: (left 2 columns)
- 6 SRs designed for 6 RPV SUSYS scenarios: (right 3 columns)



• Mainly use NLep, NBJet, NJet, MET, Meff and MET/Meff to optimise SRs

### **Background Estimation:**

- Irreducible background (ttV, VV, rare): estimated via MC simulation directly
- reducible backgrounds:
  - Charge-flip: Estimated via a data-driven method
  - Fakes or non-prompt (FNP) leptons: "matrix method" and "MC Template" method are used, final yields coming from weighted average of the two results



< 67 ▶

### Systematic uncertainties:

- uncertainties range from 25% to 45%, depending on the SRs
- Dominant uncertainties come from fakes/CF and theoretical uncertainties



### **Results:**

- No significant excess in any of the SRs
- All divergences are within 2  $\sigma$



• Exclusion limits for RPC: Gluino up to 1.5 TeV, Sbottom up to 700 GeV, Stop up to 700 GeV, LSP up to 1.2 TeV are excluded



CLHCP SUSY

• Exclusion limits for RPV: Gluino up to 1.3 TeV,  $\tilde{d_R}$  up to 500 GeV are excluded



### **Analysis Overview:**

- Model: Two simplified models of  $\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-$  (C1C1) and  $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \tilde{\chi}_2^0$  (C1N2) direct production decay via mediated  $\tilde{\tau}/\tilde{\nu_{\tau}}$  are considered in this search
- Signature:  $\geq$  2 hadronic au, low jet multiplicity and large MET



#### Signal Regions:

• Two SRs are designed aiming for two different mass split region:

SR-lowMass	SR-highMass						
At least one opposite-sign tau pair							
<i>b</i> -jet veto							
Z-veto							
At least two medium tau candidates	at least one medium and one tight tau candidates						
-	$m(\tau_1, \tau_2) > 110 \text{ GeV}$						
$m_{T2} > 70 \text{ GeV}$	$m_{\rm T2} > 90 {\rm ~GeV}$						
Di-tau+ $E_T^{miss}$ trigger	di-tau+ $E_T^{miss}$ trigger	asymmetric di-tau trigger					
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 150 {\rm ~GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 150 {\rm ~GeV}$	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 110 {\rm ~GeV}$					
$p_{T,\tau_1} > 50 \text{ GeV}$	$p_{T,\tau_1} > 80 \text{ GeV}$	$p_{T,\tau_1} > 95 \text{ GeV}$					
$p_{T,\tau_2} > 40 \text{ GeV}$	$p_{T,\tau_2} > 40 \text{ GeV}$	$p_{T,\tau_2} > 65 \text{ GeV}$					

### **Background Estimation:**

- Reducible backgrounds:  $\geq 1$  fake tau
  - o multi-jet: estimated via ABCD method:
  - $\diamond~$  w+jets: normalized in a CR to data
- Irreducible backgrounds (VV, ZJets, and Top processes): estimated via MC simulation



#### **Results:**

No excess observed in SRs



- C1C1: C1 up to 630 GeV are excluded for a massless LSP
- C1N2: C1/N2 up to 760 GeV are excluded for a massless LSP



CLHCP SUSY

# Summary & Outlook:

### Summary:



- Gluino masses up to  $\sim$ 2 TeV are excluded
- $ilde{q}$  masses up to  ${\sim}1$  TeV are excluded
- $\tilde{t}/\tilde{b}$  masses up to  $\sim$ 700 GeV are excluded
- C1/N2 masses up to  ${\sim}700~\text{GeV}$  are excluded

**Outlook:** Will update all resutls with end of run2 data ( $\sim$ 140  $fb^{-1}$ )

< <>></>

# **Back Up**

< 🗗

2

# ATLAS Summary:



(人間) トイヨト イヨト

# CMS Summary:



Selection of observed limits at 85% C.L. (theory uncertainties are not included). Probe up to the quoted mass limit for light LSPs unless stand otherwise The quantiles  $\Delta H$  and r represent the absolute mass difference between the primary specticle and the LSP, and the difference between the intermediate sourches and the DSP relative to  $\Delta M$  security's university in the infinite directive intermediate.

Selection of observed limits at 90% C.1. (shoory uncertainties are not included). Probe up to the quoted mass limit for light LSPs unless stand atherwise. The quantities 2.M and a represent the absolute mass difference between the primary sparticle and the LSP, and the difference between the intermediate standard and the LSP relative to 3.M, resecritive, mass indicated enterview.

(日) (同) (三) (三)



Selection of observed limits at 95% C.L. (theory uncertainties are not included). Probe up to the quoted mass limit for light LSP's unless stated otherwise. The quantities  $\Delta M$  and x represent the absolute mass difference between the primary sparticle and the LSP, and the difference between the intermediate sparticle and the LSP relative to  $\Delta M$ , respectively, unless indicated otherwise.

Yang Liu On behalf of ATLAS collaboration

CLHCP SUSY

December 19, 2018 21 / 32

(日) (周) (三) (三)

### Background estimation:

- BKGs in 2-6J SR:
  - ♦ Dominant backgrounds (ttbar, single top and W+Jets):
    - o use semi-data driven method
    - "object replacement method" is developed independently for cross-check
  - ♦ Small backgrounds (ttV, Z+Jets and diboson) are estimated by MC directly
  - Fakes are negligible due to the stringent selection on MET



extrapolate bin-by-bin in  $m_{\rm eff}$ 

 BKGs in 9J SR: ABCD method used to reduce the dependence on the mismodelling of additional jets



### **Analysis Overview:**

- Signature: Same sign or 3L+jets+MET, decays from gluino or squarks produced in strong interaction, can be studided in both RPC and RPV scenarios
- Dominant backgrounds: reducible (charge-flip & fakes) and irreducible (ttV & VV) backgrounds are estimated using data-driven and MC method separately
- The contributions in signal regions (SRs) are derived using a simulations fit

### Signal Regions: RPC

- Thirteen SRs designed for six RPC SUSY scenarios including a model with non-universal Higgs masses with two extra parameters (NUHM2):
  - Four scenarios focus on gluino pair production
  - Two scenarios focus on direct production of 3<sup>rd</sup> generation squark-antisquark pairs



### Signal Regions: RPV

- Six SRs designed for RPV scenarios:
  - Two scenarios focus on gluinos and squarks decay directly to top quarks
  - Two scenarios target on gluino decays into a neutralino which further decays to SM particles via a non-zero  $\lambda'$  or  $\lambda''$  RPV coupling
  - Two scenarios consider Pair-production of right-handed same-sign down squarks



Signal region	∧ <sup>signal</sup> leptons	$N_{b-jets}$	N <sub>jets</sub>	$\rho_{\mathrm{T,jet}}$	E <sup>miss</sup>	m <sub>eff</sub>	$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}/m_{\mathrm{eff}}$	Other	Targeted
Name				[GeV]	[GeV]	[GeV]			Signal
Rpv2L1bH	$\ge 2SS$	$\geq 1$	$\geq 6$	> 50	-	> 2200	-	-	Figs. 1g,1h
Rpv2L0b	= 2SS	= 0	$\geq 6$	> 40	-	> 1800	-	veto 81 $<$ m $_{e^\pm e^\pm}$ $<$ 101 GeV	Fig. 1i
Rpv2L2bH	$\ge 2SS$	$\geq 2$	$\geq 6$	> 40	-	> 2000	-	veto 81 $<$ $m_{\mathrm{e^\pm e^\pm}}$ $<$ 101 GeV	Fig. 1j
Rpv2L2bS	$\geq \ell^-\ell^-$	$\geq 2$	$\geq 3$	> 50	-	> 1200	-		Fig. 1k
Rpv2L1bS	$\geq \ell^- \ell^-$	$\geq 1$	$\geq$ 4	> 50	-	> 1200	-	-	Fig. 11
Rpv2L1bM	$\geq \ell^-\ell^-$	$\geq 1$	≥ 4	> 50	-	> 1800	_	_	Fig. 1

Yang Liu On behalf of ATLAS collaboration

December 19, 2018 24 / 32

### **Background Estimation:**

- Irreducible background: SM process with SS/3L in the final state
  - Estimate from pure MC samples
  - Since diboson and  $t\bar{t}V$  events are the main backgrounds in the signal regions
  - Dedicated validation regions (VR) are defined to verify the background predictions from the simulation.

Validation Region Name	$N_{ m lepton}^{ m signal}$	$N_{b- m jets}$	N <sub>jets</sub>		$p_{T,jet}$ [GeV]	E_T^miss         m_{eff}           [GeV]         [GeV]		Other		
tŦW	$t\bar{t}W = 2SS$		$\geq$ 4 ( $e^{\pm}e^{\pm}$ , $e^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ )		> 40	> 45	> 45 > 550		$p_{\mathrm{T}}(\ell_2) > 40 \; \mathrm{GeV}$	
			$\geq$ 3 ( $\mu^{\pm}\mu^{\pm}$ )		> 25			$\sum p_T^{D-jet}$	$\sum p_T^{b-jet} / \sum p_T^{jet} > 0.25$	
$t\bar{t}Z \ge 3$ > 1 SFOS pair		$\geq 1$	≥ 3		> 35	- > 450		$81 < m_{ m SFOS} < 101 { m GeV}$		
WZ4j	= 3	= 0	$\geq 4$		> 25	-	> 450	450 $E_T^{miss} / \sum p_T^{\ell} < 0.7$		
WZ5j	= 3	= 0	 ≥ 5		> 25	25 - 3		$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} / \sum p_T^{\ell} < 0.7$		
W <sup>±</sup> W <sup>±</sup> jj	= 2 <i>SS</i>	= 0	≥ 2		> 50	> 55	> 650	veto $81 < m_{e^{\pm}e^{\pm}} < 101 \text{ GeV}$		GeV
								$p_{T}^{\ell_{2}} > 30$	GeV	
								$\Delta R_{\eta}(\ell_{1,2})$	(j, j) > 0.7	
All MD								$\Delta R_{\eta}(\ell_1,$	ℓ <sub>2</sub> ) > 1.3	
All VKS				veto events	Delongir	ng to any s	ĸ			
Validation Regions		t	ŦW	tīZ		WZ4j		VZ5j	W <sup>±</sup> W <sup>±</sup> jj	
$t\bar{t}Z/\gamma^*$		6.2	$\pm 0.9$	$123 \pm 17$	7 1	$7.8 \pm 3.5$	10.	$1 \pm 2.3$	$1.06\pm0.22$	Ì.
tŦŴ		19.0	$0 \pm 2.9$	$1.71 \pm 0.2$	27 1.	30 ± 0.3	2 0.45	$5\pm0.14$	$4.1\pm0.8$	
t₹H		5.8	$\pm 1.2$	$3.6 \pm 1.8$	3 1	1.8 ± 0.6 0		$\dot{b} \pm 0.34$	$0.69\pm0.14$	
tītī	tītī		± 0.22 0.27 ± 0.		L4 0.	$0.04\pm0.02$		$3 \pm 0.02$	$0.03\pm0.02$	
$W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$		0.5	$\pm 0.4$						$26\pm14$	
WZ		1.4	$\pm 0.8$	$29 \pm 17$	2	$200\pm110$		$0 \pm 40$	$27\pm14$	
ZZ		0.04	$\pm 0.03$	$5.5 \pm 3.1$	L	$22\pm12$		$9 \pm 5$	$0.53\pm0.30$	
Rare		2.2	$\pm 0.5$	$26 \pm 13$	1	$7.3\pm 2.1$		$0 \pm 1.0$	$1.8\pm0.5$	
Fake/non-prompt leptons		ns 18	$\pm 16$	$22\pm14$		$49\pm31$		$7 \pm 12$	$13\pm10$	
Charge-flip		3.4	$3.4 \pm 0.5$						$1.74\pm0.22$	
Total SM background		57	$\pm16$	$212 \pm 35$	5 3	$00 \pm 130$	11	$0\pm50$	$77 \pm 31$	
Observed			71	209	209			106 -	🗇 199 🖛 🗏	•

Yang Liu On behalf of ATLAS collaboration

CLHCP SUSY

December 19, 2018 25 / 32

- reducible backgrounds:
  - ◊ Charge-flip:
    - Mainly caused by bremmstrahlung of the origin electron interact with detector materials
    - A data-driven method is used,  $w_{flip} = \xi_1(1 \xi_2) + (1 \xi_1)\xi_2$ ,  $\xi$  means charge-flip rate extracted from  $Z/\gamma^* \rightarrow ee$  data sample
  - Fakes or non-prompt (FNP) leptons: two data-driven methods are used, final yields coming from weighted average of teh two results
    - Matrix method: Relates the prompt and FNP events with tight and loose-not-tight leptons and fake/real efficiency ( $\epsilon/\xi$ )

$$\begin{pmatrix} n_{pass} \\ n_{fail} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon} & \frac{1-\xi}{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_{real} \\ n_{fake} \end{pmatrix}$$

 MC Template: 6 CRs are defined to re-weight MC to match the data, 5 categories to classfiy events



### **Background Estimation:**

- Reducible backgrounds: >1 fake tau
  - o multi-jet: estimated via ABCD method:
    - Techs: Exclusive CRs and VRs are defined in 2D plane as a function of 2 sets 0 of uncorrelated variables, contributions in SRs extracted from correspondig CRs
    - Results: Good agreement between bkgs and data in VRs



### **Background Estimation:**

W CB (ut)

- Reducible backgrounds:  $\geq 1$  fake tau
  - $\diamond~$  w+jets: A dedicated CR with high purity and low signal contamination is used to normalize to data. A VR is used to validate this method





### **Background Estimation:**

- Irreducible backgrounds: VV, ZJets, and Top processes
  - Estimated via MC directly
  - Dedicated VRs are defined to validate them



Image: A math a math

### **Results:**



- C1C1: C1 up to 630 GeV are excluded for a massless LSP
- C1N2: C1/N2 up to 760 GeV are excluded for a massless LSP



### Systematic Uncertainty:

- Main sources:
  - Experimental: Mainly coming from TauID, pile-up, and object's energy scales and energy resolutions
  - Theoretical: Mainly coming from theory uncertainties in MC samples, the choice of QCD normalization scale and resummation scale
  - ◊ Uncertainties in ABCD method

Source of systematic uncertainty	SR-low $Mass$	SR-highMass
Normalisation uncertainties of the multi-jet background	32	32
Statistical uncertainty of MC samples	18	24
Multi-jet estimation	14	13
Pile-up reweighting	8	8
Jet energy scale and resolution	11	4
Tau identification and energy scale	6	8
$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ soft-term resolution and scale	2	6
Total	40	38

# THE END

◆ □ ▶ ◆ 🗇

2