weekly report

7-13

discussion on Jpsi to inv.

6.2 $\psi(3686) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi, J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$

For the exclusive decay mode $\psi(3686) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$, $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$, the background level is rather low, so here we count the number of signals in the region $\left| M_{\pi^+\pi^-}^{\rm rec} - M_{J/\psi} \right| < 15 \ {\rm MeV/c^2}$ directly and the number is 714438 ± 693. After subtracting the dominant background events, $\psi(3686) \to \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$, $J/\psi \to \pi^+\pi^-$ (744 ± 25) and $\psi(3686) \to \eta J/\psi$, $\eta \to \gamma \pi^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$ (35 ± 6), and scaled continuum data (7 ± 5), the signal yield is found to be 713652 ± 693.

- could not repeat the statistical uncertainty of yield given by Qian.
- Dayong prefer use binominal-uncertainty, rather than sqrt{N}
- But neither formula could repeat the value in memo.
- may be Qian calculated it mistakenly.? OR my understanding is not right.....

```
我用的是二项分布的误差公式:
V(r)=np(1-p)
不过我理解为n是总的di-muon数量,p是探测效率,所以可以是sqrt(713652*(1-0.3236))=695
我之前实际的算法是通过Psi(2S)的数量和分之比计算了di-muon的数量,也就是没有用之前的探测效率。
供参考。
```

plan next week

- Jpsi to inv.
 - make sure calculate the statistical uncertainty of the yield correctly.
 - release memo
- ChicJ to gam inv.
 - reply comments collected on Collaboration meeting
 - plan to give a feed-back report within two weeks on NPG meeting