#### W Mass Measurement at CEPC

#### Presented by <sup>1</sup>Pei-Zhu Lai (賴培築)

Supervisor: <sup>2</sup>Man-Qi Ruan, <sup>2</sup>Gang Li, <sup>2</sup>Bo Liu, <sup>1</sup>Chia-Ming Kuo <sup>1</sup>National Central University, Taiwan <sup>2</sup>Institute of High Energy Physics, China EW Meeting Jul 17, 2018





Outline

- The selection efficiencies of signal sample.
- Results after event selections
  - Without Btag
  - With Btag score < 0.5 for two jets
- Comparison between wi/wo Btag



V1(WW→µ∨qq̄)	# of event	Efficiency	Efficiency w.r.t. previous
Tot # of event	11206127	—	—
Muon Selection	9570465	85.4%	—
Detector acceptance Icos(θμ)I < 0.995	9570465	85.4%	100%
Pt <sub>Miss</sub> > 10 GeV	9197450	82.0%	96.1%
Visible mass > 0.5*√s	8479808	75.6%	92.1%
Two jets Btag score < 0.5	7990921	71.3%	94.2%

- These selection are following Maarten's suggestions.
- The effective luminosity is 10 ab<sup>-1</sup>.
- Muon selections: ID=13 & R0 < 0.01mm & Eµ >= 10 (GeV).
- Jet reconstruction: force all events to two jets

Jul 17, 2018







V1(WW→µ∨q <b>q</b> )	ud	CS	us	cd
<b>µ</b> вw	82.13 ± 0.0031	81.4632 ± 0.0046	81.9237 ± 0.0135	81.6609 ± 0.0179
Орвсв	3.6720 ± 0.0039	4.1756 ± 0.0062	3.8382 ± 0.0177	4.0097 ± 0.0254
Entries	4041407	3992963	219817	218041
RMS	17.097	17.287	17.059	17.268
RMS/√Nw	0.0018	0.0021	0.0082	0.0086

- First, after passing event selection, the should on the high mass region disappear.
- There is a bump on 125 GeV. I think it is caused by "Visible mass >  $0.5*\sqrt{s}$ ".
- Actually, RooFit cannot fit the distribution well around the right boundary. I don't know why and I think it doesn't effect on W mass measurement.
- Since there is a bump on 125 GeV I change the mass window from 50 to 125 GeV.



7

#### Mass window (40,160)

V1(WW→µ∨qq̄)	ud	CS	us	cd
$\mu_{\sf BW}$	82.13 ± 0.0031	81.4632 ± 0.0046	81.9237 ± 0.0135	81.6609 ± 0.0179
Орвсв	3.6720 ± 0.0039	4.1756 ± 0.0062	3.8382 ± 0.0177	$4.0097 \pm 0.0254$
Entries	4041407	3992963	219817	218041
RMS	17.097	17.287	17.059	17.268
RMS/√N <sub>w</sub>	0.0018	0.0021	0.0082	0.0086

#### **Mass window (50,125)**

V1(WW→µ∨qq̄)	ud	CS	us	cd
$\mu_{\sf BW}$	81.95 ± 0.0034	81.3845 ± 0.0050	81.7741 ± 0.0163	81.5296 ± 0.0197
Орвсв	3.5765 ± 0.0045	3.9522 ± 0.0072	3.6688 ± 0.0245	3.8378 ± 0.0285
Entries	4041407	3992963	219817	218041
RMS	17.097	17.287	17.059	17.268
RMS/√Nw	0.0018	0.0020	0.0078	0.0082

• The fitting results are different after changing the mass window. The  $\mu_{BW}$  and  $\sigma_{DBCB}$  are smaller than the wide mass window.



#### Combined



 $C \mathcal{E} \mathcal{P}$ 



Jul 17, 2018

V1(WW→µ∨q <b>q</b> )	ud	CS	us	cd
<b>µ</b> вw	82.13 ± 0.0031	81.4488 ± 0.0049	81.9208 ± 0.0136	81.4488 ± 0.0049
σdbcb	3.6659 ± 0.0040	4.1423 ± 0.0065	3.8333 ± 0.0179	3.9915 ± 0.0268
Entries	3955286	3621348	214655	198410
RMS	17.081	16.816	17.035	16.822
RMS/√Nw	0.0018	0.0022	0.0083	0.0090

- First, after passing event selection, the should on the high mass region disappear.
- There is a bump on 125 GeV. I think it is caused by "Visible mass >  $0.5*\sqrt{s}$ ".
- Actually, RooFit cannot fit the distribution well around the right boundary. I don't know why and I think it doesn't effect on W mass measurement.
- Since there is a bump on 125 GeV I change the mass window from 50 to 125 GeV.



Pei-Zhu Lai (NCU, Taiwan)

Mass window (40,160)

V1(WW→µ∨q <b>q</b> )	ud	CS	us	cd
$\mu_{\sf BW}$	82.13 ± 0.0031	81.4488 ± 0.0049	81.9208 ± 0.0136	81.4488 ± 0.0049
Орвсв	$3.6659 \pm 0.0040$	4.1423 ± 0.0065	3.8333 ± 0.0179	3.9915 ± 0.0268
Entries	3955286	3621348	214655	198410
RMS	17.081	16.816	17.035	16.822
RMS/√N <sub>w</sub>	0.0018	0.0022	0.0083	0.0090

#### Mass window (50,125)

V1(WW→µ∨qq̄)	ud	CS	us	cd
$\mu$ вw	81.95 ± 0.0034	81.3592 ± 0.0054	81.7700 ± 0.0164	81.4952 ± 0.0214
Орвсв	3.5709 ± 0.0046	3.9439 ± 0.0079	3.6667 ± 0.0246	3.8414 ± 0.0314
Entries	3955286	3621348	214655	198410
RMS	17.081	16.816	17.035	16.822
RMS/√Nw	0.0018	0.0022	0.0083	0.0090

• The fitting results are different after changing the mass window. The  $\mu_{BW}$  and  $\sigma_{DBCB}$  are smaller than the wide mass window.



Entries / (0.2) GeV

### Results(with Btag score < 0.5)

Combined **1** $\Pi^3 WW \rightarrow \mu v q \overline{q}$ ×**10<sup>3</sup> WW**→μν*q*<u></u>α CEPC Preliminary (250 GeV) CEPC Preliminary (250 GeV) . . . . . . . . . . . . .  $\mu_{_{BW}} = 81.8573 \pm 0.0025$  $\mu_{_{\rm BW}} = 81.7120 \ \pm 0.0029$ 140 140 MC MC  $\sigma_{DBCB} = 3.7135 \pm 0.0042$  $\sigma_{DBCB} = 3.8592 \pm 0.0038$ **BW**⊗DBCB **BW**⊗DBCB 120 120  $\sigma_{_{\rm BW}} = 2.0850$  $\sigma_{\rm BW} = 2.0850$  $\chi^2$ /ndf = 76711.63 / 594  $\chi^2$ /ndf = 38759.33 / 369 Entries / (0.2) GeV RMS = 16.968RMS = 16.968100 100 **Entries = 7990921** Entries = 7990921  $RMS/\sqrt{N_{W}} = 0.0014$  $RMS/\sqrt{N_{W}} = 0.0013$ 80 80 60 60 40 40 20 20 0 40 50 120 60 80 100 140 160 60 70 80 90 100 110 120 m<sub>ii</sub> [GeV] m<sub>ii</sub> [GeV]

### Comparison between wi/wo Btag

#### Mass window (40,160), without Btag

V1(WW→µ∨q <b>q</b> )	ud	CS	us	cd
$\mu_{\sf BW}$	82.13 ± 0.0031	81.4632 ± 0.0046	81.9237 ± 0.0135	81.6609 ± 0.0179
σdbcb	3.6720 ± 0.0039	4.1756 ± 0.0062	3.8382 ± 0.0177	4.0097 ± 0.0254
Entries	4041407	3992963	219817	218041
RMS	17.097	17.287	17.059	17.268
RMS/√Nw	0.0018	0.0021	0.0082	0.0086

#### Mass window (40,160), with Btag score < 0.5

V1(WW→µ∨qq̄)	ud	CS	us	cd
$\mu_{\sf BW}$	82.13 ± 0.0031	81.4488 ± 0.0049	81.9208 ± 0.0136	81.4488 ± 0.0049
Орвсв	$3.6659 \pm 0.0040$	4.1423 ± 0.0065	3.8333 ± 0.0179	3.9915 ± 0.0268
Entries	3955286	3621348	214655	198410
RMS	17.081	16.816	17.035	16.822
RMS/√Nw	0.0018	0.0022	0.0083	0.0090

• Using Btag makes the resolution better than without using Btag. In addition, it makes the invariant mass of heavy flavor jet closer to the value on PDG.

CEP

### Comparison between wi/wo Btag

#### Mass window (50,125), without Btag

V1(WW→µ∨q <b>q</b> )	ud	CS	us	cd
$\mu_{\sf BW}$	81.95 ± 0.0034	81.3845 ± 0.0050	81.7741 ± 0.0163	81.5296 ± 0.0197
Орвсв	3.5765 ± 0.0045	3.9522 ± 0.0072	3.6688 ± 0.0245	3.8378 ± 0.0285
Entries	4041407	3992963	219817	218041
RMS	17.097	17.287	17.059	17.268
RMS/√Nw	0.0018	0.0020	0.0078	0.0082

#### Mass window (50,125), with Btag score < 0.5

V1(WW→µ∨qq̄)	ud	CS	us	cd
$\mu_{\sf BW}$	81.95 ± 0.0034	81.3592 ± 0.0054	81.7700 ± 0.0164	81.4952 ± 0.0214
Орвсв	3.5709 ± 0.0046	3.9439 ± 0.0079	3.6667 ± 0.0246	3.8414 ± 0.0314
Entries	3955286	3621348	214655	198410
RMS	17.081	16.816	17.035	16.822
RMS/√Nw	0.0018	0.0022	0.0083	0.0090

• Using Btag makes the resolution better than without using Btag. In addition, it makes the invariant mass of heavy flavor jet closer to the value on PDG.

CEP

#### **Comparison between wi/wo Btag** CEP



Jul 17, 2018

 $\mu_{_{\rm BW}} = 81.7126 \pm 0.0028$ 

 $\sigma_{\text{DBCB}} = 3.7273 \ \pm 0.0041$ 

 $\chi^2$ /ndf = 41155.64 / 369

 $\sigma_{BW} = 2.0850$ 

RMS = 17.200

Entries = 8479808

 $RMS/\sqrt{N_{w}} = 0.0013$ 

wo Btag

 $\mu_{_{BW}} = 81.7120 \ \pm 0.0029$ 

 $\sigma_{_{DBCB}} = 3.7135 ~\pm 0.0042$ 

 $\chi^2$ /ndf = 38759.33 / 369

 $\sigma_{BW}$  = 2.0850

RMS = 16.968Entries = 7990921

 $RMS/\sqrt{N_{W}} = 0.0013$ 

wi Btag

100 110 120

Pei-Zhu Lai (NCU,



### Back up



# b-/c- tagging plots



**Red** line is for b-jet, blue line is for c-jet, and black line is for light-jet.

- According to left plot, if want to reject b-jet, the score is recommended less than 0.9; if want to select b-jet, the score is recommended greater than 0.8.
- According to right plot, if want to reject c-jet, the score is recommended less than 0.6; if want to select c-jet, the score is recommended greater than 0.4.