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## An Inconvenient Second Slider

The tex file of the previous slider was corrupted last night after a power failure with my computer, and I didn't have time to remake it.

I decided to just put in the last part of the contents here in a new file.

## The Systematic Uncertainty of the Fitting

The following systematic uncertainties are considered:

- $\eta_{c}(2 S)$ width: estimated with the parameter varying by one time the PDG error.
- Fitting Range: compare with the result of fitting in $[3.46 \mathrm{GeV}, 3.71$ GeV ]
- Damping Function: compare with the result of KEDR damping function.
- Background Shapes: Use the biggest difference obtained by changing one background line shape. The changes include using Novosibirsk line shape for $\pi^{0} K_{s}^{0} K^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$ or $K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ and changing the $f_{F S R}$ of $K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{0}$ or $K_{s}^{0} K^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}$.


## The Systematic Uncertainty of the Fitting (09 data)

Table: The summary of systematic uncertainty on the fitted mass and fitted number of events, for $09 \psi^{\prime}$ data.

| Source | Mass(MeV) | $\gamma K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{0}(\%)$ | $\gamma K_{s}^{0} K^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta_{c}(2 S)$ width | $0.9 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ |
| Fitting range | $0.0 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| Damping function | $2.0 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ |
| Background line shape | $0.2 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Combined | $2.2 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ |

## The Systematic Uncertainty of the Fitting (12 data)

Table: The summary of systematic uncertainty on the fitted mass and fitted number of events, for $12 \psi^{\prime}$ data.

| Source | Mass(MeV) | $\mathrm{n}\left(\gamma K^{+} K^{-} \pi^{0}\right)$ | $\mathrm{n}\left(\gamma K_{s}^{0} K^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta_{c}(2 S)$ width | $1.3 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| Fitting range | $0.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| Damping function | $2.0 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ |
| Background line shape | $0.3 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Combined | $2.4 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ |

## Summary

## Summary

- Final calculation is not conducted and the systematic uncertainty of event selection efficiency is not properly estimated.
- The fitting result of 2012 data yields larger systematic uncertainty.
- Working on improvements.


## Next Steps

- Finish the final parts.
- Explore various possibilities of improvements on the fitting: correlates the number of events, combine $09+12$ data, fit the width, etc.
- Alternative estimation of the effect of the continuum background.

