

university of groningen

faculty of science and engineering

van swinderen institute for particle physics and gravity

# **cLFV in Meson Decays** – at high energy colliders –



中國科學院為能物招補完備 Institute of High Energy Physics Chinese Academy of Sciences

Gerco Onderwater

International School on cLFV 2019 - IHEP Beijing

## Who am I?

**1993-1998 : VU/NIKHEF Amsterdam** 

Hadron group at the AmPS facility

## 1998-2004 : Univ. of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Precision Physics Group Several low-energy "precision" experiments, incl. muon g–2, EDM & lifetime @ BNL & PSI

#### 2004-now : Univ. of Groningen Van Swinderen Institute for Particle Physics & Gravity Experimental Particle Physics Group

**C, P, & T**: EDM (µ,p,d,Ra,Xe), Ra<sup>+</sup>APV, β-decay, SrF **LIV** : <sup>20</sup>Na & Λ-decay, dτ/dΩ **LFV** : B<sub>(s)</sub> → eµ, Λ<sub>b</sub>→ Λeµ **LU** : Muon g-2



## My research



## **University of Groningen**



## **Groningen, the Netherlands**



## Outline

### Goal

by the end of my lectures, you can formulate how cLFV appears in meson decays, what the essential steps are in the experimental searces, and how to interpret the result in relation to other cLFV searches

#### **Topics to cover**

cLFV in meson & baryon decay

Sensitivity & Selectivity

Hadron production

Particle identification

Signal & background: invariant mass spectrum

Normalisation

Efficiency





# cLFV in hadron decay

## Convention

# Towards studying (c)LFV

Decay

Angela Papa Kiyoshi Hayasaka µ→eγ, µ→eee, τ→µµµ, τ→µhh, ...

Conversion

µA→eA David Hitlin

Calippi Production

Oscillation

orenzo

 $B_{a} \rightarrow e\mu$ ,  $B \rightarrow Ke\mu$ ,  $\Lambda_{b} \rightarrow \Lambda e\mu$ ,  $h^{0} \rightarrow \mu T$ , ...

 $v_{e} \leftrightarrow v_{\mu} \leftrightarrow v_{\tau'} M(\mu^{+}e^{-}) \leftrightarrow \overline{M}(\mu^{-}e^{+})$ 

Number violation

0v2β, B<sup>-</sup>→π<sup>+</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>μ<sup>-</sup>, ...

Non-Universality

 $\overline{B}{}^{0} \rightarrow D^{*+} \overline{T} \overline{V}_{\tau} vs \overline{B}{}^{0} \rightarrow D^{*+} \mu \overline{V}_{\mu}, g_{e} vs g_{\mu}, ...$ Sébastien Descotes-Genon Tsutomu Mibe

(charges dropped, unless relevant)

# Leptonic meson decay in SM







10

11

## Leptonic meson decay in SM



μ

e

PMNS



# Leptonic meson decay post-SM

#### Standard Model cLFV

Only possible via neutrino oscillations Unmeasureably small

#### **Beyond Standard Model Physics**

(Too) many well-motivated possibilities Many produce results within reach of experiment Experiments put stringents limits on them *E.g.*, **quark-lepton unification**\* with lepto-quarks:



THERE ARE KNOWN KNOWNS THERE ARE THINGS THAT WE KNOW THAT WE KNOW, THERE ARE KNOWN UNKNOWNS THAT IS TO SAY, THERE ARE THINGS THAT WE NOW KNOW WE DON'T KNOW BUT THERE ARE ALSO UNKNOWN UNKNOWNS THERE ARE THINGS WE DO NOT KNOW WE DON'T KNOW AND EACH YEAR WE DISCOVER A FEW MORE OF THOSE UNKNOWN UNKNOWNS

# **Sensitivity & Selectivity**

# **Some definitions**

In designing an experiment two properties to be considered:

### Sensitivity

Also known as *true positive rate*, *probability of detection*, or *efficiency* "If the process occurs, what fraction of events do we actually see?" In other words, your ability to identify and measure the signal

#### Selectivity

Also known as *specificity*, or *true negative rate* "If another process occurs, what fractions of events are labeled as such?" In other words, your ability to identify and eliminate background

Typically **sensitivity** and **selectivity** are mutually exclusive









Quiz 1

What does "ROC" stand for?

Answer: ROC = **R**eceiver **O**perating **C**haracteristic

## Quiz 2

What does this have to do with cLFV? Where does this name come from?



# **Expected** significance

#### Signal estimation : S

Within signal window number of counts is measured In an independent window the background is estimated :  $N_{\rm B} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ From these two together, the signal is estimated

:  $N_{S} = \mathbf{\eta} \cdot S + \mathbf{\lambda} \cdot B$  $: S = (N_{S} - N_{B}/\mathbf{k})/\mathbf{n}$ 

Error estimation :  $\sigma$ Uncertainty :  $\mathbf{\eta}^2 \sigma^2(S) = \sigma^2(N_S) + \sigma^2(N_B)/\mathbf{k}^2$ = N<sub>S</sub> + N<sub>B</sub>/k<sup>2</sup>  $= (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{B}) + (\mathbf{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{k})$  $= \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{\lambda} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ k' = 1 + 1/k $\sigma^2(S) = S/\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{\lambda}/\mathbf{n}^2 \cdot B$ 

Significance : p S « B S ≤ 1  $\rho \equiv S/\sigma(S) = \eta S/\sqrt{(\eta S + \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{\lambda} \cdot B)} \approx \eta S/\sqrt{(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{\lambda} \cdot B)} \approx \eta/\sqrt{(\mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{\lambda} \cdot B)}$ 

## So, need $\eta$ large, $\lambda$ small, k large, small B

20





21

# Branching fractions etc.

## Branching fraction ${\mathcal B}$ defined and measured as

 $\mathcal{B}(M \rightarrow X) = \Gamma(M \rightarrow X) / \Gamma(M \rightarrow anything)$ 

- =  $\int \Gamma(M \rightarrow X) \cdot dt / \int \Gamma(M \rightarrow anything) \cdot dt$
- =  $N(M \rightarrow X) / N(M \rightarrow anything)$
- $= N(M \rightarrow X) / N(M)$
- =  $N(M \rightarrow X) / [N(collision) \cdot f(collision \rightarrow M)]$
- = N(M $\rightarrow$ X) / [  $\int \mathcal{L} \cdot dt \cdot \sigma(\text{collision} \rightarrow M)$  ]

**Beware**: could produce multiple M's per collision!

 $\mathcal{L}$ : luminosity  $\sigma$  : cross section

#### Also for other particles

 $\mathcal{B}(P \rightarrow Y) = N(P \rightarrow Y) / [\int \mathcal{L} \cdot dt \cdot \sigma(\text{collision} \rightarrow P)]$ 

#### **Combining two reactions gives**

 $\mathcal{B}(M \rightarrow X) = \mathcal{B}(P \rightarrow Y) \cdot N(M \rightarrow X) / N(P \rightarrow Y) \cdot \sigma(\text{collision} \rightarrow P) / \sigma(\text{collision} \rightarrow M)$ 

22

## Need high $\int L \cdot dt$ , large $\sigma$ (collision $\rightarrow M, P$ ), known $\mathcal{B}(P \rightarrow Y) \& f(P)/f(M)$

# Hadron production

# High Energy Machines (2000–)

### **Electron-positron colliders**

LEP, BEPC (II), CESR(-c), VEPP(-4M,5, 2000), DA $\Phi$ NE, *PEP-II*, (*Super*)*KEKB*  $\mathcal{F}$ = 104 4.63 6 6 0.7 9+3.1 7+4 GeV

**Electron-Proton colliders** HERA (27.5+920GeV)

**Proton-Antiproton colliders** Tevatron (980GeV)

**Proton-Proton colliders** RHIC (255GeV), LHC (6.5TeV)

**Fixed target machines** LANL, PSI, AGS, J-PARC



# **Electron-Positron Colliders**

Some scan their energy, but mostly fixed Resonant production, *e.g.* via  $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\Psi \rightarrow M\overline{M}$ 

Only Y(4S) decays into  $B\overline{B}$  ( $\mathcal{B}$ >96%)

Most are symmetric, so  $J/\Psi(c\overline{c})$  or  $Y(b\overline{b})$  is at rest Ofter referred to as **B-factories**, or **tau-charm factories** (depending on most abundant production channel)



25

## **Electron-Positron Colliders**

10<sup>35</sup>

**5**010<sup>33</sup>

**KEKB** 

Luminosity of 10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup> Production rate of ~50 Y·s<sup>-1</sup> Annually  $\sim 5.10^8 \text{ BB}$ 

**SuperKEKB** Annually ~5.109 BB

BEPC Annually  $\sim 3.10^9 \Psi(2S)$  Luminosity (cm<sup>-2</sup> s **DAΦNE** LEP PEP LEP DORIS2 CESR -c LEP LEP **VEPP2000** PETRA BEPC PETRA VEPP-4M VEPP-2M SPEAR2 Ø Y(4S) ADONE 10<sup>29</sup> DCI https://arxiv.org/pdf/1106.5329 ψ(2S) ADONE 10<sup>27</sup> 1000 0.1 10 100 c.m. Energy (GeV)

SuperB

ILC

CLIC

SUPERKEKB

кекв

PEP-II

CESR

BINP c-T

BEPCII

Figure 1. Peak luminosity and energy of the past, present and future (diamonds) electron-positron colliders.

DOI:10.1063/PT.6.1.20180516a

# Large Hadron Collider

Proton on proton collision Enormous energy: 6.5 TeV + 6.5 TeV Single collision produces many particles (100's)

#### LHCb (2015-2018)

Average luminosity <L> ~ 50  $\mu b^{-1} \cdot s^{-1}$ BB production in acceptance  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow b\overline{b}X) \sim 150 \ \mu b$ Production rate BB ~ 7500 s<sup>-1</sup> Integrated luminosity: 6 fb<sup>-1</sup> Total production: ~ 10<sup>12</sup> BB

#### ATLAS (2015-2018)

Integrated luminosity: 160 fb<sup>-1</sup> BB production in acceptance  $\sigma(pp \rightarrow b\overline{b}X) \sim 500 \ \mu b$ Total production: ~ 10<sup>14</sup> BB



# **Particle identification**





## School 2019 decav meson water, cLFV 2 ō Π С С







Onderwater, cLFV School 2019 meson **Z** C





33

# Triggering

#### Most collison events are un-interesting $\rightarrow$ event selection / triggering





•

. •

**Tracking : connecting hits** 

#### **Tracking : connecting hits to form tracks**



#### **Tracking : connecting hits to form tracks**

and determine *charge* and *momentum* from bending in magnetic field



### Find the **primary vertex** = collision point of two protons

Many tracks will originate from a common location close to the beam



#### Find the primary vertex



#### **Find secondary vertex**

= location in space where two (or more tracks) converge WARNING: probability that 2 lines in 3D space cross = zero, so use "best" point





#### Find secondary vertex, reconstuct parent track

Combing 3-momenta gives parent 3-momentum, and direction of track POSSIBLE IMPROVEMENT: refit tracks with perfect secondary vertex





Find secondary vertex, reconstuct parent track, and repeat





**Find secondary vertex, reconstuct parent track, and repeat** until last parent tracks comes from primary vertex





#### **Identify detected particles**

WARNING: cannot be done uniquely, assume *most likely* identity



#### **Identify invisible particles**

Construct four-momenta of parents, calculate invariant mass, and identify POSSIBLE IMPROVEMENT: refit tracks with perfect vertices and/or parent mass





# Challenge #1 : Particle (mis-)ID

At LHCb all light particles ( $\gamma$ ,e, $\mu$ , $\pi$ ,K,p) ultra-relativistic,  $p \leq 200$ GeV/cPhotons and electron cause similar shower (mostly) in EM calorimeter (ECAL) Photons leave no/little signal in pre-shower detector (PS) and trackers (TT-T3) (Essentially) only muons make it to the muon chambers (M1-M5) Hadrons (mostly) stop in hadronic calorimeter (HCAL) Ring-imaging Cherenkov Detector (RICH) distinguishes velocity All rather energy dependent





# Challenge #1 : Particle (mis-)ID

Particle not uniquely ID'ed

Likelihood per species



**46** 

# (Boosted) decision trees

Example: signal and background measured in 2 detectors X and Y



Signal windows has lots of background  $\rightarrow$  (automatically) optimize selection?

47

# (Boosted) decision trees

Repeatedly split data in X or Y to optimize signal-significance



Boosting: take output of DT and re-weight training data for next DT



# (Boosted) decision trees





**50** 

# Particle (mis-)ID

Incorrect inclusion/rejection of event for further analysis Leads to mis-reconstruction of parent mass



# Challenge #2 : Bremsstrahlung

**Charged particles deflected by other particles (nuclei) emit radiation** Bremsstrahlung is proportional to  $\gamma^6$ . Especially important for **electrons** Photons emitted predominantly along direction of motion **Main effect** : change in *magnitude* of momentum, less so in *direction* 

In part automatically recovered, in part to be done by-hand



# Challenge #2 : Bremsstrahlung

### **Reconstructed parent mass less precise**

- $\rightarrow$  wider signal region
- → more background
- $\rightarrow$  lower signal significance
- → weaker limit





Tau lifetime is 0.29 ps ( $cT = 87 \mu m$ )

So **tau** cannot be detected itself





### Can only detect charged decay products, not neutrinos

 $\rightarrow$  always missing tau-neutrino, if leptonic decay also second one

B<sup>0</sup>

V-

Br ~ 17%

- $\rightarrow$  missing p and E
- $\rightarrow$  cannot find secondary vertex
- $\rightarrow$  cannot reconstruct decay

Hadronic decay : only a single missing neutrino

 $p_{\perp}(\mathbf{v})$  along  $\mathbf{p}_{\mu} \ge \mathbf{p}_{T}$  $p_{\parallel}(\mathbf{v})$  along  $\mathbf{p}_{T}$  $p_{\perp}(\mathbf{v}) \ 3^{rd}$  term  $\perp \mathbf{p}_{T}$ 

Vт



Can reconstruct B decay vertex if **tau** & B<sup>0</sup> mass/origin assumed always:  $p_{\perp}(\mathbf{v}) = -p_{\perp}(\mathbf{3n})$ , for given  $V_B$ :  $p_{\perp}(\mathbf{v}) = -p_{\perp}(\mathbf{3n})$ ,  $p_{\parallel}(\mathbf{v})$  fixed by masses

#### Adding an extra charged meson fixes B decay vertex

"Tags" the presence of the parent meson CHALLENGE: need to detect 5 particles



 $p_{\perp}(\mathbf{v})$  and  $p_{\perp}(\mathbf{v})$  determined by  $p(\mathbf{3n})$ , but still need to find  $p_{\parallel}(\mathbf{v})$  SOLUTION: could fix **tau** mass and check B mass or v.v.

