

Higgs pheno and dynamical EWSB

Ligong Bian 边立功
Chongqing University 重庆大学
lgbycl@cqu.edu.cn

CW, LGB,18, LGB,YLT,18, LGB,XWL,18

RYZ,WC,XD,LGB,YCW,19,

LGB,HKG,YCW,RYZ,19, RYZ, CW, LGB, 19

Outline



Why SFOEWPT



Why BSM



extra EWSB or not






Searches




Related topics

$T_n \sim 10^2 \text{ GeV}$ Why SFOEWPT

h






JM NO



Higgs Potential Shape??? EFT or ???

First or second order



$$V = \mu^2 H^\dagger H - \lambda_h (H^\dagger H)^2 + (H^\dagger H)^3 / \Lambda^2$$

Grojean, Servant, Wells 05, P. Huang, Jokelar, Li, Wagner (2015)

F.P. Huang, Gu, Yin, Yu, Zhang (2015) F.P. Huang, Wan, Wang, Cai, Zhang (2016) Cao, F.P. Huang, Xie, & Zhang (2017)

LHC say the quantum fluctuation (quadratic oscillation) around $h=v$ with $m_h=126$ GeV, not sensitive to the specifically potential shape

BSM for EWPT

SM+Scalar Singlet

Espinosa, Quiros 93, Benson 93, Choi, Volkas 93, Vergara 96, Branco, Delepine, Emmanuel- Costa, Gonzalez 98, Ham, Jeong, Oh 04, Ahriche 07, Espinosa, Quiros 07, Profumo, Ramsey-Musolf, Shaughnessy 07, Noble, Perelstein 07, Espinosa, Konstandin, No, Quiros 08, Barger, Langacker, McCaskey, Ramsey-Musolf, Shaughnessy 09, Ashoorioon, Konstandin 09, Das, Fox, Kumar, Weiner 09, Espinosa, Konstandin, Riva 11, Chung, Long 11, Barger, Chung, Long, Wang 12, Huang, Shu, Zhang 12, Fairbairn, Hogan 13, Katz, Perelstein 14, Profumo, Ramsey-Musolf, Wainwright, Winslow 14, [Jiang, Bian, Huang, Shu 15](#), Kozaczuk 15, Cline, Kainulainen, Tucker-Smith 17, Kurup, Perelstein 17, Chen, Kozaczuk, Lewis 17, [Cheng, Bian 17, Bian, Tang 18](#),...

SM+Scalar Doublet

Turok, Zadrozny 92, Davies, Froggatt, Jenkins, Moorhouse 94, Cline, Lemieux 97, Huber 06, Froome, Huber, Seniuch 06, Cline, Kainulainen, Trott 11, Dorsch, Huber, No 13, Dorsch, Huber, Mimasu, No 14, Basler, Krause, Muhlleitner, Wittbrodt, Wlotzka 16, Dorsch, Huber, Mimasu, No 17, [Bernon, Bian, Jiang 17, Bian, Liu 18](#),...

SM + Scalar Triplet

Profumo, Ramsey-Musolf 12, Chiang 14, [Zhou, Cheng, Deng, Bian, Wu 18](#)...





NMSSM

Pietroni 93, Davies, Froggatt, Moorhouse 95, Huber, Schmidt 01, Ham, Oh, Kim, Yoo, Son 04, Menon, Morrissey, Wagner 04, Funakubo, Tao, Yokoda 05, Huber, Konstandin, Prokopec, Schmidt 07, Chung, Long 10, Kozaczuk, Profumo, Stephenson Haskins, Wainwright 15, [Bi, Bian, Huang, Shu, Yin 15, Bian, Guo, Shu 17](#)...

EFT Approach (h^6 and ??)

Grojean, Servant, Wells 05, Bodeker, Froome, Huber, Seniuch 05, Huang, Joglekar, Li, Wagner 15, Cai, Sasaki, Wang 17, [Bian, Lee 190x.xxxxx](#),...

EWSB or not???



Zhou, Cheng, Deng, Bian, Wu 18



SM+real singlet
SM+complex singlet

One-step (red) and two-step phase (blue) transition process. A: $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ preserving vacuum; B: EW vacuum; C: $SU(2)_V$ preserving vacuum.


SFOEWPT

Vacuum structure tells not singlet field EFT

multistep 1. Z_2 symmetry



second order PT: Z_2




first order PT, EWSB

SFOEWPT




Vacuum structure tells not single field EFT

multistep 2. general with two fields









$$0 \rightarrow (0, \langle h_{\xi} \rangle) \rightarrow (\langle h_{\phi} \rangle, \langle h_{\xi} \rangle)$$

EWSB or not








PT strength and triple Higgs couplings




$$\lambda_{3h}^{GM} \approx \lambda_{3h}^{SM} - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \alpha \mu_1 + \alpha^2 (-48\lambda_1 + 24\lambda_4 + 12\lambda_5) v + O(\alpha^3),$$


$$\lambda_{4h}^{GM} \approx \lambda_{4h}^{SM} + \alpha^2 (-48\lambda_1 + 24\lambda_4 + 12\lambda_5) + O(\alpha^3).$$





BP_lowmass






BPh5-1step




hadron collider



Lepton collider



GM model






Possibilities

- Tell Potential Shape with collider and GW
- Dark matter with plasma effects
- Nonperturbative evaluation of EWPT and GW
- Sphaleron calculation and collider detect

One-step/multi-step With Z2


not EFT!!!



SM+real singlet:



WIMP DM+Inflation, Cheng, Bian 18

FIMP DM, Bian, Tang 18




IDM+singlet




FIMP DM: Bian, Liu 18



Freeze-in and Freeze-out



FIMP DM







$m_\phi = 170$ GeV, $\lambda_{\phi3} = 0.66, \lambda_\phi = 1$

$m_\phi = 170$ GeV, $\lambda_{\phi3} = 0.66, \lambda_\phi = 1$

1712.03962, Michael J. Baker et al.





Amplified effects:
larger thermal masses before PT






temporarily open of decay channel

1810.03172, L. Bian, Y. Tang


OBC pattern PT DDM-late decay



reduction effects:
smaller thermal masses before PT
temporarily open of decay channel at high T







WIMP DM



SM+complex singlet
 WIMP DM+Inflation, Cheng, Bian 18
 EWBG+sphaleron, Michael J. Ramsey-Musolf 17

SM+2 real scalars: WIMP DM, Chao, Guo, Shu 17



blue: Xenon1T; yellow: h(s) inflation;
 orange: SFOEWPT

Thanks

Bubble, Sphaleron and BAU

Instanton

$$\frac{\Gamma}{V} = A(T)e^{-S_3/T}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{S_3(T_N)}{T_N} - \frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{S_3(T_N)}{T_N} \right) \\ = 152.59 - 2 \ln g_*(T_N) - 4 \ln \left(\frac{T_N}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Bubble nucleation

$$S_3(T_N)/T_N \sim 140-150$$

Washout avoid

$$\Gamma_{\text{sph}} = A_{\text{sph}}(T) \exp[-E_{\text{sph}}(T)/T] < H(T)$$

$$E_{\text{sph}}(T) \approx E_{\text{sph},0} \frac{v(T)}{v} \quad \frac{v(T)}{T} > (0.973 - 1.16) \left(\frac{E_{\text{sph},0}}{1.916 \times 4\pi v/g} \right)^{-1} \quad 1708.03061$$

SM+S

$$E_{\text{sph}}[f, h, k] = \frac{4\pi v}{g_2} \int_0^\infty d\xi \left[4 \left(\frac{df}{d\xi} \right)^2 + \frac{8}{\xi^2} (f - f^2)^2 + \frac{\xi^2}{2} \left(\frac{dh}{d\xi} \right)^2 + h^2(1 - f)^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\xi^2 v_S^2}{2 v^2} \left(\frac{dk}{d\xi} \right)^2 + \frac{\xi^2}{g_2^2 v^4} V_{\text{eff}}(h, k, T) \right]$$

$$V_{1\ell} = V_{\text{tree}} + \Delta V_{1\ell}$$

$$\Delta V_{1\ell} = \Delta V_{1\ell, T=0} + V_{1\ell, T \neq 0} ,$$

$$\Delta V_{1\ell, T=0} = \sum_{i=h, \chi, W, Z, t} \frac{n_i m_i^2(h_c)}{64\pi^2} \left(\log \frac{m_i^4(h_c)}{v^2} - C_i \right) ,$$

$$V_{1\ell, T \neq 0} = \frac{n_t T^4}{2\pi^2} J_f (m_t^2(h_c)/T^2) + \sum_{i=h, \chi, W, Z} \frac{n_i T^4}{2\pi^2} J_b (m_i^2(h_c)/T^2)$$

the high-temperature expansion of J_b and J_f leading terms,

$$J_b(x) \rightarrow \pi^2 x/12 \text{ and } J_f(x) \rightarrow -\pi^2 x/24$$

GWs

$$\alpha \sim \frac{\text{latent heat}}{\text{radiation energy}} \sim \frac{T \partial_T V(T)}{a g_* T^4}$$

$$v_b \simeq \frac{1/\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 2\alpha/3}}{1 + \alpha}, \quad \kappa \simeq \frac{0.715\alpha + \frac{4}{27}\sqrt{3\alpha/2}}{1 + 0.715\alpha}$$

Bubble size $\langle R \rangle \sim v_b \tau \sim \frac{v_b}{\beta}$ $\frac{\beta}{H_*} = T_* \frac{d}{dT} \left(\frac{S_3}{T} \right) \Big|_{T_*}$

β reflect the duration of the phase transition

$$\Omega_{\text{col}} h^2 = 1.67 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{H_*}{\beta} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\kappa \alpha}{1 + \alpha} \right)^2 \left(\frac{100}{g_*} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{0.11 v_b^3}{0.42 + v_b^2} \right) \frac{3.8 (f/f_{\text{env}})^{2.8}}{1 + 2.8 (f/f_{\text{env}})^{3.8}}$$

envelop approximation

$$f_{\text{env}} = 16.5 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{f_*}{H_*} \right) \left(\frac{T_*}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right) \left(\frac{g_*}{100} \right)^{1/6} \text{ Hz}$$

$$\Omega_{\text{sw}} h^2 = 2.65 \times 10^{-6} \left(\frac{H_*}{\beta} \right) \left(\frac{\kappa_v \alpha}{1 + \alpha} \right)^2 \left(\frac{100}{g_*} \right)^{1/3} v_b \left(\frac{f}{f_{\text{sw}}} \right)^3 \left(\frac{7}{4 + 3(f/f_{\text{sw}})^2} \right)^{7/2} \quad (5.6)$$

$$\Omega_{\text{turb}} h^2 = 3.35 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{H_*}{\beta} \right) \left(\frac{\kappa_{\text{turb}} \alpha}{1 + \alpha} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{100}{g_*} \right)^{1/3} v_b \frac{(f/f_{\text{turb}})^3}{[1 + (f/f_{\text{turb}})]^{11/3} (1 + 8\pi f/\hbar_*)} \quad (5.7)$$


$$\kappa_v \approx \alpha(0.73 + 0.083\sqrt{\alpha} + \alpha)^{-1} \text{ and } \kappa_{\text{turb}} \approx 0.1\kappa_v f_{\text{sw}} = 1.9 \times 10^{-5} \frac{1}{v_b} \left(\frac{\beta}{H_*} \right) \left(\frac{T_*}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right) \left(\frac{g_*}{100} \right)^{1/6} \text{ Hz},$$

κ_v, κ_{turb}: the fraction of latent heat transformed into the bulk motion of the fluid for sound waves and MHD

$$f_{\text{turb}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-5} \frac{1}{v_b} \left(\frac{\beta}{H_*} \right) \left(\frac{T_*}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right) \left(\frac{g_*}{100} \right)^{1/6} \text{ Hz}$$

Type II 2HDM case (I)


ATLAS + CMS, $\beta = \alpha + \pi/2$



the CP-phase of the top Yukawa coupling $\tan \theta_t$.

the ACME constraint $|\tilde{c}_t^*| < O(10^{-2})$ without cancellation (J. Brod, U. Haisch and J. Zupan, JHEP 1311, 180 (2013))


$|\alpha_b| = 0.06$





BAU

α, α_b : mixing angles between two CP-even Higgs, the light CP-even and the CP-odd Higgs

MSSM case





$$\text{Im}(\mu M_2^*) = \text{Im}(\mu A_f^*) = 45^\circ.$$

NMSSM case




Figure 4. The combined plots of the eEDM and BAU in the plane $(\phi_\kappa, \phi_\mu(\phi_{M_2}))$ with $\phi_{M_2}(\phi_\mu) = 0$ for the scenario that H_2 is the SM-like Higgs in the CPV NMSSM. In both plots the green regions are excluded by the current eEDM experiments, the gray regions are excluded by requiring m_{H_2} to be close to 125 GeV while the orange regions are favored by the BAU results. For the contours, the blue, cyan and pink dashed lines represent the magnitudes of top quark, charginos and W boson loop contributions of the γH Barr-Zee diagrams of eEDM. The other parameters are chosen as in Table. 1.