# Reconstruction of K short and Λ at CEPC Baseline Detector

Taifan ZHENG

Nanjing University & IHEP

Expected boson yields at CEPC

Operation mode	Z factory	W threshold scan	Higgs factory
$\sqrt{s}/\text{GeV}$	91.2	158 - 172	240
$L/10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$	16-32	10	3
Running time/year	2	1	7
Integrated Luminosity/ab <sup>-1</sup>	8 - 16	2.5	5
Higgs yield			$10^{6}$
W yield	-	$10^{7}$	$10^{8}$
Z yield	$10^{11-12}$	$10^{9}$	$10^{9}$

Main Higgs production channels in CEPC





Feynman diagrams of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$ ,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}H$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-H$  processes.

- Z is most numerous & most important for Higgs production
- Z is also important for flavor physics

Higgs production cross sections

- Z decay modes:
- Leptonic: 10%
- Invisible: 20%
- Hadronic: 70%

We will try to reconstruct its neutral hadronic decay products. Starting from K short, because:

- On average, 1 Ks per  $Z \rightarrow qq$  event.
- Has high probability to decay into a pair of charged pions (leave tracks)
- To study CP violation in  $\tau \rightarrow \pi + Ks + v$
- Can be used to evaluate detector performance etc ...

#### K short info:

- Mass: 497.6 MeV
- cτ = 2.68 cm
- Decay modes: π0π0 : 30.7 % π+π-: 69.2 %

Arbor, the current particle reconstruction algorithm can only reconstruct final state particles, we need another one on top of that to reconstruct K short decayed in tracker.



Distance Ks traveled in  $Z \rightarrow qq$  events (Ks end point to IP distance)

#### Introduction K short info: \* Substantial amount of Mass: 497.6 MeV Ks are decayed in tracker We will $10^{3}$ • cτ = 2.68 cm reconstruct Ks Decay modes: through this mode π0π0:30.7 % π+π-: 69.2 % 10 Arbor, the current particle reconstruction algorithm can only reconstruct final state particles, we need another one 3500 3000 4000 on top of that to reconstruct K

short decayed in tracker.

Distance from Ks end point to its origin(IP)

mm

# **Simulation Sample**

5x10^4 Z $\rightarrow$ qq events. Total Ks truth: 50093

Decayed into  $\pi + \pi - : 33993$ 

Both of daughters are reconstructed only 46% of the time

Both daughters are reconstructed: 15561 → Denominator of efficiency Both PID are correct: 14734



#### Where are the lost pions?

Take  $\pi$ + as an example: Among 33993  $\pi$ + created, 30% interacted with tracker, 7.2% decayed in the tracker, most of these aren't reconstructed.

CEPC tracker layout

 $\pi$ + end points in tracker

E<sup>2000</sup>

1500

1000

500

-500

-1000

-1500



#### $\pi$ + energy distribution



# Loss of Efficiency Through PID

5.3 % of efficiency is lost because of PID, their statistics are as follows

Pi+	PID	is wrong: 415			
	Pi+	identified as	PDGID =	= -13 <mark>:</mark> 263	31.8017 %
	Pi+	identified as	PDGID =	= -11 <mark>:</mark> 116	14.0266 %
	Pi+	identified as	PDGID =	= -211: 34	4.11125 %
	Pi+	identified as	PDGID =	= 13: 2	0.241838 %
Pi-	PID	is wrong: 429			
	Pi-	identified as	PDGID =	= 13: 274	33.1318 %
	Pi-	identified as	PDGID =	= 11: 116	14.0266 %
	Pi-	identified as	PDGID =	= 211: 37	4.474 %
	Pi-	identified as	PDGID =	= -13: 2	0.241838 %

#### **Reconstruction Method**

1. Find the K short decay point(secondary vertex) using a pair of  $\pi + \pi$ - tracks.

2. Reconstruct K short 4-momentum at vertex.

3. Reconstruct K short flight path, check its vicinity with IP.

- 4. Perform appropriate cuts along the way
  - 1) Closest distance between two tracks
  - 2) K short mass
  - 3) K short flight path line to IP distance / length of flight

# Secondary Vertex Finding(Preliminary Method)

1. Find a pair of  $\pi$ + and  $\pi$ -.

2. Determine track helix using track parameters. Pick one loop of helix near IP.

3. Apply geometric method to find possible vertex, i.e. the point where the distance between two tracks are very close



Find vertex near these intersections using binary search



#### Vertex reconstruction error



K short mass resolution: 0.25%



Ks mass vs Vertex error



Ks mass vs Ks momentum direction



Ks mass vs pion of lesser En's momentum direction



Ks mass vs pion of bigger En's momentum direction



Ks mass vs  $\pi$ + momentum direction

#### Apply the Algorithm in the Simulation Sample



Reconstructed Ks mass: Mass resolution: 0.27% Efficiency: 80.1% Purity: 80.1%

# **Background Analysis**

20% from Hadron  $\rightarrow \pi + \pi - +$  others 10% from  $\wedge \rightarrow$  proton +  $\pi$ - (proton identified as  $\pi$ +) 70% from random combination

Coral = 15560		
CoralSuccess = 12585		
Total background = 2975		
<pre>ParentType1 == ParentType2:</pre>	977	32.8403 %
Same parent: 881		29.6134 %
Parent PDGID = 223:	303	10.1849 %
Parent PDGID = 3122:	: 152	5.10924 %
Parent PDGID = -3122	2: 150	5.04202 %
Parent PDGID = 310:	73	2.45378 %
Parent PDGID = 113:	34	1.14286 %
Others:	169	5.68067 %
Different parent: 96	3	.22689 %
Parent PDGID = 223:	67	2.2521 %
Others:	29	0.97479 %

ParentType1 means parent type of \*supposed\*  $\pi$ + ParentType2 is for  $\pi$ -

<pre>ParentType1 != ParentType2: 1998</pre>	67.1597 %
ParentType1:	
Parent1 PDGID = 223: 282	9.47899 %
Parent1 PDGID = 213: 277	9.31092 %
Parent1 PDGID = 113: 227	7.63025 %
Parent1 PDGID = 92: 169	5.68067 %
Parent1 PDGID = 323: 85	2.85714 %
Parent1	2.52101 %
Parent1 PDGID = 221: 71	2.38655 %
Parent1 PDGID = 313: 65	2.18487 %
Parent1 PDGID = 310: 60	2.01681 %
Parent1 PDGID = -421: 56	1.88235 %
Parent1 PDGID = 413: 45	1.51261 %
Parent1 PDGID = -313: 37	1.2437 %
Parent1 PDGID = 211: 36	1.21008 %
Others: 513	17.2437 %
ParentType2:	
Parent2	9.84874 %
Parent2 PDGID = -213: 254	8.53782 %
Parent2 PDGID = 113: 187	6.28571 %
Parent2 PDGID = 92: 177	5.94958 %
Parent2 PDGID = -323: 83	2.78992 %
Parent2	2.62185 %
Parent2 PDGID = 421: 65	2.18487 %
Parent2 PDGID = 310: 62	2.08403 %
Parent2 PDGID = 221: 53	1.78151 %
Parent2 PDGID = -413: 50	1.68067 %
Parent2 PDGID = -313: 50	1.68067 %
Parent2 PDGID = 313: 44	1.47899 %
Parent2	1.27731 %
Parent2 PDGID = -421: 37	1.2437 %
Others: 527	17.7143 %

#### Reconstructing $\Lambda$ through $\Lambda \rightarrow$ proton + $\pi$ - in the Simulation Sample



Since Arbor(CEPC particle reconstruction algorithm) identifies all hadrons as pions, we need to cheat the proton PID. Reconstructed  $\Lambda$  mass: Mass resolution: 0.037% Efficiency: 81.7% Purity: 94.4%

Most of the backgrounds are from random combinations

# If Arbor PID Had 100% Purity While Maintaining Current Efficiency

K short mass resolution and efficiency are virtually same, purity go from 80.1% to 87.1%

For  $\Lambda$ , all parameters are virtually same since we already cheated the proton PID before.