Prospects of Spectroscopy at Future Facilities

#### Simon Eidelman

### Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics SB RAS and Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia and Lebedev Physical Institute RAS, Moscow, Russia

#### Outline

- 1. General
- 2. Hadrons of light quarks
- 3. Hadrons with heavy quarks
- 4. Conclusions

## Instead of Introduction

S.L. Olsen, T. Skwarnicki, D. Zieminska, Rev.Mod.Phys. 90, 015003 (2018):

"This nearly total disconnect between the hadrons that we observe in experiments and the quarks and gluons that appear in the theory is a problem of large proportions in particle physics.<sup>3</sup> This is what we refer to as the "QCD dilemma." In addition to the intellectual dissatisfaction with a theory that is not directly applicable to the particles that are used and detected in experiments, there is also a practical problem in that many SM tests and searches for new physics (NP) involve strongly interacting hadrons in the initial and/or final states of the associated measurements."

<sup>3</sup>As Frank Wilczek put it in a recent interview (Wilczek, 2016): "We have something called a standard model, but its foundations are kind of scandalous. We have not known how to define an important part of it mathematically rigorously,..."

## Models of Hadron Production



# General

- What is behind the nice pictures above: how distinguish different possibilities experimentally a question to theory?
- Strong interaction was deprived attention lately compared to CP violation,  $\nu$  physics, astroparticle physics
- In the light meson sector: Many "extra" states (e.g. scalars), which of them are "exotic"? Mesons between 2 and 3 GeV, high-spin states Rather old (LASS) studies of strange mesons
- In the heavy meson sector a variety of new states with exotic properties among heavy quarkonia, but scarce knowledge of open-flavour mesons  $(D^{**}, D_{sJ}, B^{**}, B_{sJ})$
- Situation with baryons is far from satisfactory

Hadrons with Light Quarks

#### August 16-21, 2019



VEPP-2000 will run in the c.m. energy range 0.3-2 GeV for another 7-10 years Cross sections of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  hadrons as an input for the  $(g-2)_{\mu}$  problem Dynamics of multihadronic production of mesons  $\rho, \omega, \phi$  and their excitations Cross sections near the  $N\overline{N}$  threshold S.Eidelman, BINP/LPI

#### p.6/45

#### August 16-21, 2019



#### BaBar

Strong interaction of nucleons in the Paris potential convolved with c.m. energy spread of 0.95 MeV and radiative corrections explains all  $\sigma$ 's A.I. Milstein and S.G. Salnikov, Nucl. Phys. A977, 60 (2018) R.R. Akhmetshin et al., Phys. Lett. B794, 64 (2019) Is the effect  $\propto \mathcal{B}$  in  $p\bar{p}$  annihilation? The effect of strong interaction of  $N\bar{N}$  near threshold is common for  $e^+e^-$ ,  $J/\psi$ , D and B decays

## $e^+e^-$ Colliders – III





#### **BESIII** Detector

The c.m.energy range: 2-4.6(4.9) for BESIII, 9-11.02 (?) for BelleII Study of dynamics in multihadronic processes using ISR, vector meson decays in the  $\psi$  and  $\Upsilon$  families, baryons of light quarks, some baryons with charm





BelleII and BESIII will strogly improve the BaBar measurements



S.Eidelman, BINP/LPI

p.10/45

### Lepto- and Hadroproduction







VES

#### COMPASS

### $\tau$ lepton as a Source of Resonances

- A pure laboratory to test Standard Model
- All three basic interactions are probed: electromagnetic production in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ , weak decay, strong form factors in hadronic decays
- Low decay multiplicity  $\Rightarrow$  smaller combinatorial BG
- Each hadronic decay is saturated by a single resonance:  $2\pi$ ,  $4\pi$ ,  $\eta\pi\pi - \rho + \rho' + \dots$ ,  $3\pi - a_1(1260) + a'_1$  etc.
- At  $\Upsilon(4S) \sigma(e^+e^- \to \tau^+\tau^-) \approx 0.9$  nb, so 1 ab<sup>-1</sup> gives  $\approx 10^9 \tau^+\tau^-$  pairs
- BelleII will collect a data sample
  3 orders of magnitude higher than CLEO

## $\tau$ Decay to Three Pions and $a_1(1260)$

- Current values of mass and width show a high scatter of values caused by different parameterizations
- Determination of decay dynamics  $(\rho \pi, \sigma \pi, KK^*, \ldots)$
- More light on  $a_1(1420)$  and possible  $a'_1$  at 1640 MeV

# $\pi_1(1600), \ \eta \pi, \ \eta' \pi$

- Only single 1<sup>-+</sup>-wave pole required to describe peaks at 1.4 and 1.6 GeV/c<sup>2</sup>
  - $m_0 = (1564 \pm 24_{\text{stat.}} \pm 86_{\text{sys.}}) \text{ MeV}/c^2$
  - $\Gamma_0 = (492 \pm 54 \text{ stat.} \pm 102 \text{ sys.}) \text{ MeV}/c^2$
  - Consistent with  $\pi_1(1600)$
  - First measurement of pole parameters of π<sub>1</sub>(1600)
- Raises doubts about existence of  $\pi_1(1400)$

Rodas et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 122, 042002 (2013)

What can be learned about the  $\eta\pi$  and  $\eta'\pi$  systems from the combined analysis of the  $\eta(\eta')\pi^+\pi^-$  system in  $e^+e^-$  (CMD-3, SND, BESIII), photoproduction (GlueX) and  $\tau$  decays (BelleII)?

S.Eidelman, BINP/LPI

p.14/45





Properties of excited vector mesons  $(\rho', \omega', \phi')$  are known badly Strong evidence for the  $\rho'$  at 2.2 GeV, Is  $\phi(2170)$  normal?  $\omega'$ 

#### August 16-21, 2019



The  $M_{K\pi}$  spectrum is well described by the  $K^*(892), K_0^*(700)$  ( $\kappa$ ) and  $K_0^*(1430)$  (or  $K^*(1410)$ )  $M(K^*(892)^-) = (895.47 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.59)$  MeV  $\Gamma(K^*(892)^-) = (46.2 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.7)$  MeV Are masses of  $K^{*\pm}$  and  $K^{*0}$  different? D. Epifanov et al., Phys. Lett. B 654, 65 (2007)

August 16-21, 2019

## Spectroscopy of $K^*$ 's

#### About 3.5% of $\tau$ decays are with kaons

State	$J^P$	Mass, MeV	Width, MeV	Decays
$K_{0}^{*}(700)(\kappa)$	0+	$824\pm30$	$470 \pm 50$	$K\pi$
$K^{*}(892)$	1-	$891.66\pm0.26$	$50.8\pm0.9$	$K\pi$
$K_1(1270)$	$1^{+}$	$1272\pm7$	$90\pm20$	$K\pi\pi$
$K_1(1400)$	$1^{+}$	$1403\pm7$	$174 \pm 13$	$K\pi\pi$
$K^{*}(1410)$	1-	$1414 \pm 15$	$232\pm21$	$K\pi\pi,\ K\pi$
$K_0^*(1430)$	0+	$1425\pm50$	$270\pm80$	$K\pi$
$K_2^*(1430)$	$2^{+}$	$1425.6\pm1.5$	$98.5\pm2.9$	$K\pi,~K\pi\pi$
K(1460)	$2^{+}$	$1482.40 \pm 3.58 \pm 15.22$	$335.60 \pm 6.20 \pm 8.65$	$K^*\pi,\ K ho$
$K_2(1580)$	$2^{-}$	$\approx 1580$	$\approx 110$	$K^*\pi, \ K_2^*(1430)\pi$
$K_1(1650)$	$1^{+}$	$1650 \pm 50$	$150\pm50$	$K\phi$
$K^{*}(1680)$	1-	$1718 \pm 18$	$322 \pm 110$	$\overline{K\pi}, \ K\rho, \ K^*\pi$

12 more resonances from 1780 to  $3100~{\rm MeV}$  are badly studied

S.Eidelman, BINP/LPI

p.17/45

## $K_L^0$ Factory (KLF) at JLAB





#### Mass and width of the $K_0^*(700)$ ( $\kappa$ )



Measurement with KLF will reduce: Uncertainty in the mass by a factor of two! Uncertainty in the width by a factor of five! Hadrons with Heavy Quarks

# Main Players

In addition to BESIII, Belle/BelleII, LHCb, ATLAS and CMS, there are also Super-c- $\tau$  factories and PANDA



 $p\overline{p} \rightarrow c\overline{c}$  has high potential (all  $J^P$  accessible) Two difficulties – one should know the precise mass of a narrow state, and PANDA can start too late (close to 2030?) after BelleII and LHCb S.Eidelman, BINP/LPI



Analyses of the first data are in progress, the first paper on luminosity measurement will be soon submitted to CPC





## LHCb upgrade - III

	LHCb		
Decay mode	$23\mathrm{fb}^{-1}$	$50{\rm fb}^{-1}$	$300{\rm fb}^{-1}$
$B^+ \to X(3872) (\to J/\psi  \pi^+ \pi^-) K^+$	14k	30k	180k
$B^+ \rightarrow X(3872) (\rightarrow \psi(2S)\gamma) K^+$	500	1k	7k
$B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S) K^- \pi^+$	340k	700k	4M
$B_c^+ \to D_s^+ D^0 \overline{D}{}^0$	10	20	100
$\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi  p K^-$ [*]	680k	1.4M	8M
$\Xi_b^- \to J/\psi \Lambda K^-$	4k	10k	55k
$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$	7k	15k	90k
$\Xi_{bc}^+ \to J/\psi  \Xi_c^+$	50	100	600



S.Eidelman, BINP/LPI

p.26/45



Dots – good old guys, Dots – new states matching Quark Model Dots – neutral, triangles – charged states, exotic? Rectangulars – potential model predictions Exotic because of the too large number of states with given  $I^G J^{PC}$ 

or unexpected decay pattern  $(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^- \text{ instead of open charm})$ 

## Study of Charmonium-(like) States – II



Parameters of the Peaks in e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Cross Sections

Huge data samples needed to perform a coupled-channel analysis resulting in a consistent set of resonance parameters



From a controversial Y(4140) of CDF to  $\chi_{c1}(4140), \ \chi_{c1}(4274), \ \chi_{c0}(4500), \ \chi_{c0}(4700)$  of LHCb Once again about importance of full amplitude analysis! R. Aaij et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 118, 022003 (2017)

## X(3872) Production at ATLAS



## Determination of the X(3872) Width at BelleII



With 50  $ab^{-1}$  for  $D^0 \overline{D}^0 \pi^0$ the toy-MC gives for  $\Gamma_{tot}$ :UL at 90%CL 180 keV $3\sigma$  sign.280 keV $5\sigma$  sign.570 keV

### Talk of H. Hirata PANDA: $\Gamma/\Delta\Gamma > 5$ at $\Gamma > 50...120$ keV





AI Acc-QCD 2016, A. Seryi, JAI

Control Holloway Control OXFORD

### Two projects of Super-charm-tau factories



Novosibirsk: 2-6 GeV, L from 0.63 (1 GeV) to 1 (4 GeV)  $10^{35}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> Hefei: 2-7 GeV, L from 0.5 (Phase I) to 1 (Phase II)  $10^{35}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> Both have longitudinal polarization of the initial  $e^-$  beam



Counting rate 300 kHz Good energy and momentum resolution High efficiency for soft tracks Very high identification quality



|--|

State	$J/\psi$	$\psi(2S)$	$\psi(3770)$	$\psi(4040)$
M,  GeV	3.097	3.686	3.773	4.040
$\Gamma$ , MeV	0.093	0.294	27	84
$\int L dt$ , fb <sup>-1</sup>	800	250	400	10
N	$10^{12}$	$10^{11}$	$2 \cdot 10^9$	$10^{8}$

- Even for the  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$  full decay pattern is unclear
- Is the  $\psi(3770)$  a  $D\overline{D}$  factory?
- 20 (25) fb<sup>-1</sup> needed to produce  $10^8 \psi(4160) (\psi(4415))$  mesons
- ~  $10^{10} \chi_{cJ}$  and  $\eta_c(1S)$  in radiative decays of the  $J/\psi$  and  $\psi(2S)$
- About  $10^8 h_c$  mesons in  $\psi(2S) \to h_c \pi^0$
- $\eta_c(2S)$  mesons can be produced in  $\psi(2S) \to \eta_c(2S)\gamma$  or  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions
- Although believed to be conventional, these states are not well enough studied

### Unconventional charmonia

- All  $\psi(Y)$  states with  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$  will be directly produced at  $\sqrt{s} = M_Y$ :  $\psi(4260/4230), \ \psi(4360), \ \psi(4660)$
- Charged  $Z_c$  states can be produced by scanning the  $\sqrt{s}$  range and studying the  $J/\psi\pi\pi$ ,  $h_c\pi\pi$ ,  $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$  final states
- Neutral  $c\bar{c}$  states with other quantum numbers can be studied in the recoil to  $\pi\pi$ ,  $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\omega$  final states
- C = +1 states can be also produced in  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions
- Between 6 and 7 GeV double  $c\bar{c}$  production?

Mesons with open flavour

- 12  $D^{**}$  are known
- 9  $D_{sJ}$  are known, what are  $D_{s0}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{s1}(2460)$ ?
- 6  $B^{**}$  are known
- Only 3  $B_{sJ}$  observed, there is also X(5568) decaying to  $B_s \pi^{\pm}$  claimed by D0, but not seen by ATLAS, CDF, CMS and LHCb

More efforts from both experiment and theory needed

## $B_c$ and its Excitations – I

The  $B_c$  is well established and its parameters are dominated by LHCb:  $M = 6274.9 \pm 0.8$  MeV,  $\tau = (0.510 \pm 0.009) \times 10^{-12}$ s

The  $B_c(2S)$  decaying to  $B_c \pi^+ \pi^-$  is expected to be narrow. ATLAS claims it at  $6842 \pm 4 \pm 5$  MeV



## $B_c$ and its Excitations – II

CMS and LHCb have consistent, more precise results at 29 MeV higher mass



It would be interesting to search for  $B_c(nP)$  as well as  $B_c^* \to B_c \gamma$ The "Last Meson" definitely deserves more attention

S.Eidelman, BINP/LPI

p.39/45





Mass difference:  $m(\Xi_{cc}^{++})_{LHCb} - m(\Xi_{cc}^{+})_{SELEX} = 103 \pm 2 \text{ MeV}$ >Inconsistent with being isospin partners

- $\tau_{\Xi_{cc}^{++}} = (256_{-22}^{+24} \pm 14)$  fs
- Confirms it is a weakly decaying J = 1/2 ground state
- A challenging search for  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  and  $\Omega_{cc}^{++}$  in future



For the  $\Omega_c(css)$  five narrow states are predicted with mass around 3000 MeV and splittings about 30 MeV, two  $1/2^-$ , two  $3/2^-$  and one  $5/2^-$ 



The  $s\overline{s}$  diquark is ripped apart and made narrow Not clear what is what LHCb: R. Aaij et al., PRL 118, 182001 (2017) Belle: J. Yelton et al., Phys. Rev. D97, 051102 (2018)



#### August 16-21, 2019



R. Aaij et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 122, 222001 (2019)

S.Eidelman, BINP/LPI

p.42/45

Searches for new baryons and maximum BelleII energy

### Promising energy regions

Particles	Threshold, $\text{GeV}/c^2$
$B^{(*)}ar{B}^{**}$	11.00 - 11.07
$B_s^{(*)}ar{B}_s^{**}$	11.13 - 11.26
$arLambda_bar\Lambda_b$	11.24
$B^{**}\bar{B}^{**}$	11.44-11.49
$B_s^{**}\bar{B}_s^{**}$	11.48 - 11.68
$arLambda_b  ar A_b^{**}$	11.53 - 11.54
$\Sigma_b^{(*)}  \bar{\Sigma}_b^{(*)}$	11.62 - 11.67
$arLambda_b^{stst} ar\Lambda_b^{stst}$	11.82 - 11.84

At the moment it is 11.02 GeV or slightly higher

## Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC)

### Unique number of hadrons produced under clean conditions, a study of various correlations

Operation mode	Z factory	WW threshold	Higgs factory
$\sqrt{s} \; (\text{GeV})$	91.2	160	240
Run time (year)	2	1	7
Instantaneous luminosity $(10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	16 - 32	10	3
Integrated luminosity $(ab^{-1})$	8-16	2.6	5.6
Higgs boson yield	_	_	$10^{6}$
W boson yield	_	$10^{7}$	$10^{8}$
Z boson yield	$10^{11}  10^{12}$	$10^{8}$	$10^{8}$

Lepton Universality – How large is  $\mathcal{B}(W^+ \to \tau^+ \nu_{\tau})$ ?

Conclusions

# Already now and more in close future there are excellent possibilities to study strong interactions

Complementarity of different approaches, both at the facility and analysis level, is crucial