

### In-Medium Properties of Lambda in Pion-Induced Reactions at 1.7 GeV/c\*

Steffen Maurus for the HADES Collaboration

Dense and Strange Hadronic Matter (E62) Physik Department Technische Universität München

\*supported by SFB 1258

### **Pion-Induced Strange Hadron Production**



$$\lambda = 1.5 \text{ fm } (p_{\pi} = 1.7 \text{ GeV/c})$$
  
 $d_{C,W} \approx 5.5, 14.2 \text{ fm}$ 

→ π is likely to undergo reactions with nucleus on the surface of the target nucleus Benabderrahmane et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 182501 (2009)



→ K<sup>0</sup> production scales with the surface of the nucleus in pioninduced reactions (@ 1.15 GeV/c)

### **Pion Facility with HADES**

### SECONDARY PION BEAM @ 1.7 GeV/c



Hadron 2019

### Hyperon Stars



Momentum [MeV/c]

QMC: Lonardoni et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 092301 (2015)



→ QMC: Attractive  $\Lambda$ N interaction + (phenom.) repulsive  $\Lambda$ NN interaction → Hypernuclei ( $U_{\Lambda N} \approx -30$  MeV)

### **Hyperon Stars**



QMC: Lonardoni et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 092301 (2015) ChEFT: T. Hell et al., Phy. Rev. C 90, 045801 (2014)



→ QMC: Attractive AN interaction + (phenom.) repulsive ANN interaction

→ Hypernuclei ( $U_{\Lambda N} \approx -30$  MeV)

- → **ChEFT:** Scattering data
- $\rightarrow$  Further constraints on **YN** forces needed!!
  - → "Hyperon Puzzle"

### Hyperons inside Nuclear Matter



 $\rightarrow \Lambda/\Sigma$  single particle interaction within the nucleus?

Hadron 2019

### **Associated Kaon Productio**



→ Repulsive KN interaction → Attractive  $\overline{K}N$  interaction



 $\rightarrow U_{KN} \approx 20 - 40 \text{ MeV}$ 

K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub> properties: Ar + KCl, p + Nb (p + p) Agakishiev et al. Phys. Rev. C82, 044907 (2010) Agakishiev et al. Phys. Rev. C90, 054906 (2014)

### **Strange Hadron Selection**



q × p [MeV/c]

rix cha

Hadron 2019

K<sup>0</sup>

Counts / 2.5 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>

### **Inclusive Diff. Cross-Sections**



Hadron 2019

### **Inclusive Diff. Cross-Sections**



- → State-of-the-art transport model calculation over-/underestimate yields
- → Strangeness locally conserved: associated strange baryon and meson production
  - $\rightarrow$  No conclusive description of all hadrons!  $\rightarrow$  In-medium effects?

r.xW

### **Kaon-Hyperon Coupling**



Hadron 2019

Σ0

### Kaon-Hyperon Coupling



**<u>5</u>0** 



In HADES acceptance

wx.j

Transport Model: GiBUU



→ Full ensembles ( $\pi$ +C/ $\pi$ +W):  $K^0(p_T, y, p, \Theta)$  and  $\Lambda(p_T, y, p, \Theta)$ 

**Steffen Maurus** 

Hadron 2019

Σ0

In HADES acceptance

Nx's



Transport Model: GiBUU

**1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0 N$  potentials (ES(Y,K))



Hadron 2019

Σ0

In HADES acceptance

Mxx



- → Acceptance and efficiency of HADES applied to GiBUU
- → Global fit of all kinematic observables:  $K^0(p_T, y, p, \Theta)$  and  $\Lambda(p_T, y, p, \Theta)$

Transport Model: GiBUU

**1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))



Σ0

In HADES acceptance

N<sub>X</sub>,



- → Acceptance and efficiency of HADES applied to GiBUU
- → Global fit of all kinematic observables:  $K^0(p_T, y, p, \Theta)$  and  $\Lambda(p_T, y, p, \Theta)$

Transport Model: GiBUU

**1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))



Σ0

#### In HADES acceptance

x x W



#### Transport Model: GiBUU

- **1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))
- **2.** No  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0$  N potentials (ES(Y))



#### KN potential

Agakishiev et al., Phys. Rev. C 90, 054906 (2014)

Σ0

#### In HADES acceptance

1×W



#### Transport Model: GiBUU

- **1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))
- **2.** No  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0$  N potentials (ES(Y))



KN potential

Agakishiev et al., Phys. Rev. C 90, 054906 (2014)

Σ0

#### In HADES acceptance

ex.W



#### Transport Model: GiBUU

- **1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))
- **2.** No  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0$  N potentials (ES(Y))
- **3.** Attractive  $\Lambda / \Sigma^0 N$  potentials (STD)



Σ0

#### In HADES acceptance

ex.W



#### Transport Model: GiBUU

- **1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))
- **2.** No  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0$  N potentials (ES(Y))
- **3.** Attractive  $\Lambda / \Sigma^0 N$  potentials (STD)



Σ0

# $\begin{array}{c} \Lambda \\ \Sigma^0 \\ K^0 \end{array}$

#### In HADES acceptance

N<sub>x</sub>,



### Transport Model: GiBUU (T. Gaitanos)

- **1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))
- **2.** No  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0$  N potentials (ES(Y))
- **3.** Attractive  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0 N$  potentials (STD)
- 4. Attractive  $\Lambda N$ , repulsive  $\Sigma^0 N$  (RS)



#### **Steffen Maurus**

Hadron 2019

 $\begin{array}{c} \Lambda \\ \Sigma^0 \\ K^0 \end{array}$ 

#### In HADES acceptance

Nx's



#### Transport Model: GiBUU (T. Gaitanos)

- **1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))
- **2.** No  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0$  N potentials (ES(Y))
- **3.** Attractive  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0 N$  potentials (STD)
- 4. Attractive  $\Lambda N$ , repulsive  $\Sigma^0 N$  (RS)



Steffen Maurus

Hadron 2019

Λ Κ<sup>0</sup>
Σ<sup>0</sup>

#### In HADES acceptance

N<sub>x</sub>,



- → Data agrees best with **attractive**  $\Lambda N$ and **attractive**  $\Sigma^0 N$  potentials (@ $\rho_0$ )
- $\rightarrow$  Also favored for lighter target (C)
- → Possibility of testing single particle potentials with  $\chi$ EFT

#### Transport Model: GiBUU (T. Gaitanos)

- **1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))
- **2.** No  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0$  N potentials (ES(Y))
- **3.** Attractive  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0 N$  potentials (STD)
- 4. Attractive  $\Lambda N$ , repulsive  $\Sigma^0 N$  (RS)



based on  $\chi$ EFT by Petschauer et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 52, 15 (2016)

μ Κ<sup>0</sup>

#### In HADES acceptance

N<sub>x</sub>x



- → Data agrees best with **attractive**  $\Lambda N$ and **attractive**  $\Sigma^0 N$  potentials (@ $\rho_0$ )
- $\rightarrow$  Also favoured for lighter target (C)
- → Possibility of testing single particle potentials with  $\chi$ EFT

#### Transport Model: GiBUU (T. Gaitanos)

- **1.** No  $K^0/\Lambda/\Sigma^0$ N potentials (ES(Y,K))
- **2.** No  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0$  N potentials (ES(Y))
- **3.** Attractive  $\Lambda/\Sigma^0 N$  potentials (STD)
- 4. Attractive  $\Lambda N$ , repulsive  $\Sigma^0 N$  (RS)



based on  $\chi$ EFT by Petschauer et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 52, 15 (2016)

### Summary



- $\rightarrow K^0 N / \Lambda N$  scattering in heavy target (W)
- $\rightarrow$  Attractive  $\Lambda N$  and attractive  $\Sigma^0 N$ potentials ( $@\rho_0$ ) preferred by all kinematic distributions
- $\rightarrow \Lambda / \Sigma^0$  ratio disfavours attractive  $\Lambda N$  and repulsive  $\Sigma^0 N$  potentials (@ $ho_0$ )
- → HADES data allow to test different forms of potentials



Hadron 2019

<u>5</u>0





 $\rightarrow$  State-of-the-art transport model calculation over-/underestimate yields

- → Strangeness locally conserved: associated strange baryon and meson production
  - $\rightarrow$  No conclusive description of all hadrons!  $\rightarrow$  In-medium effects?

x x C



**Steffen Maurus** 

Hadron 2019

Σ0



**Steffen Maurus** 

Hadron 2019

Σ0



**Steffen Maurus** 

Hadron 2019

Σ0



**Steffen Maurus** 

Hadron 2019

Σ0