# SIMULATION STUDY OF THE $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda$ REACTION AT $\overline{P}$ ANDA AT FAIR

GABRIELA PÉREZ ANDRADE\*, WALTER IKEGAMI ANDERSSON, KARIN SCHÖNNING, MICHAEL PAPENBROCK, JENNY REGINA

1

ON BEHALF OF THE  $\overline{P}$ ANDA COLLABORATION

FORSCHUNGZENTRUM JÜLICH UPPSALA UNIVERSITY

**HADRON 2019** 



Member of the Helmholtz Association







- Introduction
- The  $\overline{P}ANDA$  experiment at FAIR
- Analysis strategy
- Results
- Conclusions



#### **INTRODUCTION**

Open question in QCD:

a comprenhensive understanding of the strong interaction.

At high energies:

- $\alpha_s$  is weak
- pQCD is successful

At low and intermediate energies:

- $\alpha_s$  grows
- pQCD fails

#### To provide the effective degrees of freedom in the confinement domain is one of the challenges in modern physics







Non-perturbative QCD phenomena are connected to some of the **nucleons puzzles** *e.g.* :

- Mass •
- Spin \* •
- Inner structure<sup>\*\*</sup> •









Figure extracted from *Hyperon Physics with PANDA at FAIR* Karin Schönning, The 12th International Workshop on Excited Nucleons,

# Non-perturbative QCD phenomena are connected to some of the **nucleons puzzles** *e.g.* :

- Mass
- Spin \*
- Inner structure<sup>\*\*</sup>

To learn more about a system, one can \*\*\*:

- Excite it
- Scatter on it
- Replace one of its building blocks:

#### **HYPERONS**

\*C. A. Aidala et al., RMP 85 (2013) 655-691. \*\* G. A. Miller, PRL 99 (2007) 112001. \*\* \* C. Granados et al., EPJA 53 (2017) 117





## **HYPERONS**

- Strange hyperon production is governed by m<sub>s</sub>~100 MeV, probing confinement domain.
- Spin observables are **experimentally accessible** and distinguish between different production models.
- $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{Y}Y$  production models :
  - Occur through different kinematic channels
  - Have different degrees of freedom

Which are the relevant degrees of freedom? What is the role of spin?



Figure. Quark-Gluon picture



Figure. Meson exchange picture

#### $PREVIOUS \, \overline{p}p \ \rightarrow \ \overline{Y}Y \, MEASUREMENTS$



- Performed mainly at PS185 experiment at LEAR
- $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{\Xi}^+\Xi$  measurements were performed with bubble chambers
- Cross sections and spin observables obtained for mainly single-strange  $\,\overline{p}p\,\to\,\overline{Y}Y$  channels
- Little data at  $\bar{p}_{beam} > 4 \text{ GeV/c}$
- No data on  $\Omega$



Figure. Johansson T 2003 Proceedings of 8th Int. Conf. on Low Energy Antiproton Physics 95

## THE $\overline{p}p \ \longrightarrow \ \overline{\Sigma}{}^0 \Lambda \ \text{CHANNEL}$

- Comparisons between channels containing isospin partners such as  $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Lambda}\Lambda$ ,  $\overline{\Sigma}^0\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Sigma}^0\Sigma$ , provides information about the role of the isospin in strangeness production.
- Data from PS185 shows a strongly forward peaked differential cross section down to the reaction threshold\*:









The High Energy Storage Ring (HESR)

- Anti-proton beam within 1.5
- High resolution mode (Day One)  $L \sim 10^{31} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , dp/p = 4 × 10<sup>-5</sup>
- High luminosity mode (Design)  $L \sim 2 \times 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , dp/p = 2 × 10<sup>-4</sup>



#### \*Karin Schoenning talk at The 12th International Workshop on Excited Nucleons

#### 10

# Charm and exotics

• Hadrons in nuclei

Nucleon structure

•

**Strangeness physics** 



THE **PANDA PHYSICS PROGRAM** 





#### **FEASIBILITY STUDIES OF** $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{Y}Y$ **RECONSTRUCTION AT PANDA**

- Single and double strange channels (so far)
- Exclusive event reconstruction (so far)
- Ideal pattern recognition and PID
- Cross section distribution based on data for  $\overline{\Lambda}\Lambda$ ,  $\overline{\Sigma}{}^{0}\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Xi}\Xi$  at 4.6 GeV/c
- Model prediction for  $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Xi}\Xi$  cross-section at 7 GeV/c

<b>p</b> <sub>beam</sub>	Reaction	<b>σ</b> [μb]	Efficiency (%)	<sup>2</sup> Rate [min <sup>-1</sup> ]
1.64	${}^{1}\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Lambda}\Lambda$	64.0	16	600
1.77	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}{}^0\Lambda$	10.9	?	?
6.0	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}{}^0\Lambda$	20	?	?
4.6	${}^{1}\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Xi}{}^{+}\Xi^{-}$	~ 1	8.2	6.4
7	${}^{1}\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Xi}{}^{+}\Xi^{-}$	~ 0.3	7.9	2.0

<sup>1</sup> W. Ikegami-Andersson (talk at FAIRNESS 2019)

<sup>2</sup> At Day One Luminosity mode: 10<sup>31</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

#### ANALISIS STRATEGY : $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda$



Two cases studied for  $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda$ :

- 10k events at  $p_{beam} = 1.771 \text{ GeV/c}$
- 10k events at  $p_{beam} = 6 \text{ GeV/c}$

- Pre-selection
  - Final state particles identification
  - Photon energy selection
  - $\Lambda/\overline{\Lambda}$  reconstruction
    - Combine all  $\pi^+ \bar{p} / \pi^- p$  respectively.
    - Kinematic fit on vertices



ANALISIS STRATEGY:  $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda$ ,  $p_{beam} = 1.771 \, GeV/c$ 

- Final selection
  - Pre-selected  $\overline{\Lambda}$  and  $\gamma$  combined.
  - $\bar{\Sigma}^0$  and  $\Lambda$  candidates combined.
  - 4-C fit on all the  $\overline{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda$  pairs.





#### **BACKGROUND GENERATION**



- Generic hadronic background by DPM generator ( $\overline{p}p \rightarrow anything$ ).
- Independent  $\overline{\Lambda}\Lambda$  sample used as background
- $\overline{\Sigma}{}^0 \Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}\Lambda$  channels were removed from DPM sample.
- Additional cut :  $3\sigma$  around  $m(\overline{\Lambda})$  and  $m(\overline{\Sigma}^0)$



#### FINAL RESULTS, $p_{beam} = 1.771 \text{ GeV/c}$





Figure: Signal and background reconstruction. Simulation at  $p_{beam}$  = 1.771 GeV/c

Channel	$\overline{\Sigma}{}^0 \Lambda$	Combinatorial	DPM (90% C.L.)	$\overline{\Lambda}\Lambda$
Total	526 ± 23	38	<50.6	4
S/B		14	>11	120
ε(%)	$5.3 \pm 0.2$	0.38	$< 5.1 \times 10^{-4}$	$4.0 \times 10^{-5}$

**Table:** Final efficiencies for the signal and background samples at  $p_{beam} = 1.771 \text{ GeV/c}$ 

#### ANALISIS STRATEGY, $p_{beam} = 6 \text{ GeV/c}$

- Final selection
  - Pre-selected  $\overline{\Lambda}$  and  $\gamma$  combined
  - Cut in the photon energy boosted to its  $\bar{\Sigma}^0$  rest frame.
  - $\bar{\Sigma}^0$  and  $\Lambda$  candidates combined.
  - 4-C fit on all the  $\, \overline{\Sigma}{}^0 \, \Lambda \,$  pairs





#### **BACKGROUND GENERATION**

- DPM sample
- DPM sample filtered from all channels containing  $\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Lambda}$  hyperons
- Additional cuts:
  - $3\sigma$  and  $5\sigma$  around  $m(\overline{\Lambda})$  and  $5\sigma m(\overline{\Sigma}^0)$  respectively
  - $\overline{\Lambda}$  decay vertex > 6 cm







#### FINAL RESULTS, $p_{beam} = 6 \text{ GeV/c}$





Figure: Signal and background reconstruction. Simulation at  $p_{beam}$  = 6 GeV/c

Channel	$\overline{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda$	Combinatorial	DPM	DPM filtered (90%C.L.)
Total	614 <u>+</u> 25	111	30	< 18
S/B		5.5	20.7	> 34.7
ε(%)	$6.1 \pm 0.3$	1.1	3.0 ×10 <sup>-6</sup>	$< 3.9 \times 10^{-6}$

**Table:** Final efficiencies for the signal and background samples at  $p_{beam} = 6 \text{ GeV/c}$ 



#### **FEASIBILITY STUDIES OF** $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{Y}Y$ **RECONSTRUCTION AT PANDA**

- Single and double strange channels (so far)
- Exclusive event reconstruction (so far)
- Ideal pattern recognition and PID
- Cross section distribution based on data for  $\overline{\Lambda}\Lambda$ ,  $\overline{\Sigma}{}^{0}\Lambda$  and  $\overline{\Xi}\Xi$  at 4.6 GeV/c
- Model prediction for  $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Xi}\Xi$  cross-section at 7 GeV/c

<b>p</b> <sub>beam</sub>	Reaction	<b>σ</b> [μb]	Efficiency (%)	<sup>2</sup> Rate [min <sup>-1</sup> ]
1.64	${}^{1}\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Lambda}\Lambda$	64.0	16	600
1.77	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}{}^0\Lambda$	10.9	5.3	32
6.0	$\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}{}^0\Lambda$	20	6.1	96
4.6	${}^{1}\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Xi}{}^{+}\Xi^{-}$	~ 1	8.2	6.4
7	${}^{1}\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Xi}{}^{+}\Xi^{-}$	~ 0.3	7.9	2.0

<sup>1</sup> W. Ikegami-Andersson (talk at FAIRNESS 2019)

<sup>2</sup> At Day One Luminosity mode: 10<sup>31</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

- Hyperons studies can provide valuable information to complement our knowledge of the strong interaction.
- The  $\overline{P}ANDA$  experiment aims to increase the available data on single and multi-strange  $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{Y}Y$  processes.
- Feasibility studies of event reconstruction have been performed showing that high exclusive reconstruction efficiencies will be achievable at PANDA starting from Day One.









#### **Previous measurements:** $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda$

The cross-section was parametrization in terms of the reduced four-momentum transfer



 $\vartheta^* =: c.m.$  scattering angle of the antihyperon p =: incoming  $\overline{p}$  c.m. momentum q =: outgoing  $\overline{\Sigma}^0$  c.m. momentum

\*H. Becker Nuclear Physics B141 (1978) 48-64 E. Klempt et al. Physics Reports 368 (2002) 119–316



Figure. Cross section parametrization,  $\,p_{beam}=$  1.771 GeV/c  $^{*}$  (top) and  $p_{beam}=$  6 GeV/c (bottom)\*\*

# Why hyperons?

- Strange hyperon production is governed by m<sub>s</sub>~100 MeV, probing confinement domain.
- Spin observables are model dependent and experimentally accessible *e.g.* **polarization**:

All ground state hyperons (Y) decay weakly<sup>\*</sup>  $\rightarrow$  Parity is violated. Consider:

$$Y\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \to B\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + M(0)$$

• Decay angular distribution given by

$$I(\cos\theta_B) \propto (1 + \alpha P_Y \cos\theta_B)$$

• Where :

 $\alpha$  : Asymmetry parameter (known)  $\cos \theta_B$ : Baryon emission angle (measured)  $P_Y$  : Hyperon polarization (extracted!)



<sup>23</sup> \*Except for the  $\overline{\Sigma}^0$ , which decays electromagnetically



#### Exclusive event selection **p**<sub>beam</sub> = 6 GeV/c

- Pre-selected  $\overline{\Lambda}$  and  $\gamma$  combined.
- Cut in the photon energy boosted to its  $\overline{\Sigma}{}^0$  rest frame.
- $\overline{\Sigma}{}^0$  and  $\Lambda$  candidates combined.
- 4-C fit on all the  $\overline{\Sigma}{}^0 \Lambda$  pairs,
- Reject p < 0.01.
- Best pair selection according to  $\chi^2$  value.





Figure 4.18: Probability distribution corresponding to the vertex fit performed on the (a)  $\Lambda$  and (b)  $\overline{\Lambda}$  respectively. Beam momenta  $p_{beam} = 1.771 \text{ GeV/c.}$ 





Figure 4.20: Probability distribution corresponding to the vertex fit performed on the (a)  $\Lambda$  and (b)  $\overline{\Lambda}$  respectively. Beam momenta  $p_{beam} = 6 \text{ GeV/c}$ .



Channel	$\bar{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda$	DPM	ĀΛ
Size	104	107	10 <sup>5</sup>
$\sigma[\mu b]$	11	95,000	80
w	1	22	0.73

Channel	$\bar{\Sigma}^0\Lambda$	DPM	DPM filtered
Size	104	107	9 X 10 <sup>6</sup>
$\sigma[\mu b]$	20.0	59,000	57,690
w	1	7.4	7.7

$$\epsilon = \frac{N_{reconstructed}}{N_{simulated}} \times 100\%$$

$$w_{anything} = \frac{N_{signal}}{N_{anything}} \frac{\sigma(\bar{p}p \to \bar{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda) BR(\bar{\Sigma}^0 \to \bar{\Lambda}\gamma) BR(\bar{\Lambda} \to \bar{p}\pi^+)^2}{\sigma(\bar{p}p \to \bar{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda) BR(\bar{\Sigma}^0 \to \bar{\Lambda}\gamma) BR(\bar{\Lambda} \to \bar{p}\pi^+)^2}$$

$$w_{\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda} = \frac{N_{signal}}{N_{\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda}} \frac{\sigma(\bar{p}p \to \bar{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda) BR(\bar{\Sigma}^0 \to \bar{\Lambda}\gamma) BR(\bar{\Lambda} \to \bar{p}\pi^+)^2}{\sigma(\bar{p}p \to \bar{\Sigma}^0 \Lambda) BR(\bar{\Sigma}^0 \to \bar{\Lambda}\gamma) BR(\bar{\Lambda} \to \bar{p}\pi^-)^2} \times \mathcal{L} \times \epsilon$$

Device	Polar angle coverage
MVD (Discs)	3° - 40°
MVD (Half-shells)	40° - 150°
STT	22° - 140°
GEM	0° - 22°
FT	0° - 10°

Table 4.4: Angular coverage of the principal tracking devices at the Target and Forward spectrometer at PANDA.

Device	Polar angle coverage
Barrel DIRC	22° - 140°
Forward endcap DIRC	5° - 22°
Barrel TOF	22° - 140°
RICH	5° - 22°

Table 4.5: Angular coverage of the principal PID devices in the Target and Forward spectrometers at PANDA.

Device	Polar angular coverage	Energy coverage (GeV)
Backward	151.4° - 169.7°	0.01 - 0.7
Barrel	22° - 140°	0.01 - 7.3
Forward	5° - 23.6°	0.01 - 14.6

Table 4.6: Angular acceptance and energy ranges at which each part of the calorimeter are used as PID device.