Interactons between two heavy mesons

within chiral effective field theory

Zhan-Wei LIU

Lanzhou University



Collaborators:

Ning LI, Xiang LIU, Bo WANG, Hao XU, Shi-Lin ZHU

XVIII International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure, Guil

Guilin, 20/8/2019

1. Introduction

2. Effective potentials between two heavy mesons

3. Possible molecular states

Introduction

threshold effects

 $D_s(2317)$: contribution of DK continuum

threshold effects

 $D_s(2317)$: contribution of DK continuum

molecular states

Deuteron: bound state of proton and neutron

 P_c states reported at LHCb recently; $Z_b(10610), Z_b(10650)$

threshold effects

 $D_s(2317)$: contribution of DK continuum

molecular states

Deuteron: bound state of proton and neutron

 P_c states reported at LHCb recently; $Z_b(10610), Z_b(10650)$

other exotic states

X, Y, Z states, debate with different interpretations: molecules? tetraquark? ordinary charmonium? two diquark? kinetic effects?

Study of Interactions within chiral perturbation theory (ChPT)

- ChPT with respect on symmetries of QCD
- Power counting
 - NOT in power series: α_s , α_s^2 , α_s^3 , ...
 - expanded with small momentum
 - systematically study, order by order, error controlled
 - check of standard model
- Natual extension

2-body force, 3-body force,...

Wide applications

Nucleon-nucleon interaction



Dealing systems with light mesons

ChPT results can be expanded as power series of

 m_{ϕ}/Λ_{χ} , q/Λ_{χ} , ...

Power Counting Breaking (PCB) in systems with heavy hadrons involved

large masses of heavy hadrons make q^{μ} is never small again power counting can be recovered with the help of residual momentum \tilde{q}^{μ}

$$ilde{q}^\mu = q^\mu - m(1,ec{0}).$$

Solutions for systems with one heavy hadron

Heavy hadron effective field theory (EFT)

nonrelativistic reduction at Lagrangian level, breaking of analyticity.

Simple and still correct if not analytically extending results too far away

Infrared regularization

relativistic Lagrangian, drop PCB terms at regularization good power counting and analyticity

Extended on-mass-shell scheme

relativistic Lagrangian, drop PCB terms at final results good power counting and analyticity

Results with three different schemes will be same if

- being summarized at ALL orders, or
- the mass of heavy hadron becomes infinitely large.

ChPT with few hadrons involved—new trouble

The amplitude of following 2-Particle-Reducible diagram contains ¹

$$\mathcal{I} \equiv i \int dl^{0} \frac{i}{l^{0} + l^{0} - \vec{P}^{2}/(2m_{N}) + i\varepsilon} \frac{i}{-l^{0} + l^{0} - \vec{P}^{2}/(2m_{N}) + i\varepsilon} \approx \frac{-\pi}{\vec{P}^{2}/(2m_{N}) + i\varepsilon}$$
(1)

naïve power counting scheme $\rightarrow \mathcal{I} \sim O(1/|\vec{P}|)$ eq. (1) $\rightarrow \mathcal{I} \sim O(m_N/|\vec{P}|^2)$

\mathcal{I} is actually enhanced by a large factor $m_N/|\vec{P}|$.



Solid line for nucleon, dashed line for pion.

(P represents the residual momentum)

¹we have not listed the parts preserving power counting

Box Diagram.

- not directly calculate physical observables with perturbation theory
- systematically study effective potentials first (without 2PR contribution)
- solve the dynamical equation to get the physical observables (equivalent to recover the 2PR contributions)

Effective potentials between two heavy mesons

With Heavy Meson EFT, we study the systems made up of

- DD
- *D***D*
- D*D*

Similar for $B^{(*)}B^{(*)}$ and corresponding anti-meson pair system.

We have not studied systems like $D\bar{D}$ because there exist annihilation effects.

Leading order vertice

contact terms: $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ vertice $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}\pi$, $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}\pi\pi$ vertice

Next-to-leading order vertice

they absorb divergences, provide finite higher-order corrections

$$\mathcal{L}_{4H}^{(0)} = D_{a} \operatorname{Tr} [H\gamma_{\mu}\bar{H}] \operatorname{Tr} [H\gamma^{\mu}\bar{H}] + D_{b} \operatorname{Tr} [H\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\bar{H}] \operatorname{Tr} [H\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\bar{H}] + E_{a} \operatorname{Tr} [H\gamma_{\mu}\lambda^{a}\bar{H}] \operatorname{Tr} [H\gamma^{\mu}\lambda_{a}\bar{H}] + E_{b} \operatorname{Tr} [H\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\lambda^{a}\bar{H}] \operatorname{Tr} [H\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\lambda_{a}\bar{H}],$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{H\phi}^{(1)} = -\langle (iv \cdot \partial H)\bar{H} \rangle - \langle Hv \cdot \Gamma\bar{H} \rangle + g\langle H\psi\gamma_{5}\bar{H} \rangle - \frac{1}{8}\Delta\langle H\sigma^{\mu\nu}\bar{H}\sigma_{\mu\nu} \rangle,$$

Leading order vertice

contact terms: $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ vertice $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}\pi$, $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}\pi\pi$ vertice

Next-to-leading order vertice

they absorb divergences, provide finite higher-order corrections

$$\mathcal{L}_{4H}^{(2)} = D_a^h \operatorname{Tr} \left[H \gamma_\mu \bar{H} \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[H \gamma^\mu \bar{H} \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left(\chi_+ \right) + \dots \\ + D_a^d \operatorname{Tr} \left[H \gamma_\mu \tilde{\chi}_+ \bar{H} \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[H \gamma^\mu \bar{H} \right] + \dots \\ + D_1^q \operatorname{Tr} \left[(D^\mu H) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 (D^\nu \bar{H}) \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[H \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 \bar{H} \right] + \dots$$

Leading order

contact, one-pion exchange

Next-to-leading order

two-pion exchange, renormalization to $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}\pi$ coupling, loop corrections to contact term, tree diagrams with NL vertice



Leading order

contact, one-pion exchange

Next-to-leading order

two-pion exchange, renormalization to $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}\pi$ coupling, loop corrections to contact term, tree diagrams with NL vertice



11

• Leading order

contact, one-pion exchange

Next-to-leading order

two-pion exchange, renormalization to $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}\pi$ coupling, loop corrections to contact term, tree diagrams with NL vertice



• Leading order

contact, one-pion exchange

Next-to-leading order

two-pion exchange, renormalization to $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}\pi$ coupling, loop corrections to contact term, tree diagrams with NL vertice



11

- fit to experimental data
- first principle of QCD
- fit to data of Lattice QCD
- phenomenological models

Determination of low-energy constants

- fit to experimental data
- first principle of QCD
- fit to data of Lattice QCD
- phenomenological models



- fit to experimental data
- first principle of QCD
- fit to data of Lattice QCD
- phenomenological models

Effective potentials in momentum space



 $DD^*: I = 1$

 $DD^*: I = 0$

Possible molecular states

Search for new states

- Potentials \rightarrow partial waves, dynamical equation (momentum space) \rightarrow T matrices \rightarrow poles
- Potentials→ Fourier transform, dynamical equation (coordinate space)

 \rightarrow eigenvalues of bound states for different partial waves

Search for new states

- Potentials \rightarrow partial waves, dynamical equation (momentum space) \rightarrow T matrices \rightarrow poles
- Potentials→ Fourier transform, dynamical equation (coordinate space)

 \rightarrow eigenvalues of bound states for different partial waves Taking DD^* as an example

• I = 0: bound state with around $E = -21^{+19}_{-38}$ MeV.

I = 1: no bound state.

Search for new states

- Potentials \rightarrow partial waves, dynamical equation (momentum space) \rightarrow T matrices \rightarrow poles
- Potentials→ Fourier transform, dynamical equation (coordinate space)

 \rightarrow eigenvalues of bound states for different partial waves Taking DD^* as an example

- I = 0: bound state with around E = -21⁺¹⁹₋₃₈ MeV.
 I = 1: no bound state.
- Comparison with one-boson-exchange model Li,Sun,Liu,Zhu,PRD88(2013),114008;I = 0: -43 ~ -5 MeV; Liu,Wu,Valderrama,Xie,Geng,PRD99(2019),094018; I = 0: -3^{+4}_{-15} . $\rho/\omega/...$ contribution is covered not only by the two-pion-exchange part but also by contact terms.

We use similar approaches to study the system of $\bar{B}^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$ in S wave

•
$$\bar{B}\bar{B}$$
: $I(J^P) = 1(0^+)$

•
$$\bar{B}\bar{B}^*$$
: $I(J^P) = 1(1^+),$ $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$

• $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$: $I(J^P) = 1(0^+), \quad I(J^P) = 1(2^+), \quad I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$

We use similar approaches to study the system of $\bar{B}^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$ in S wave

•
$$\bar{B}\bar{B}$$
: $I(J^P) = 1(0^+)$

•
$$\bar{B}\bar{B}^*$$
: $I(J^P) = 1(1^+),$ $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$

• $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$: $I(J^P) = 1(0^+), \quad I(J^P) = 1(2^+), \quad I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$

Example:

potentials for $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$ with $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ in momentum space



Example:

potentials for $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$ with $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ in coordinate space



Results for $\bar{B}^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$ systems

we find two bound states in the channels of O(1^+) $\bar{B}\bar{B}^*$ and $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$

• binding energies: $\Delta E_{\bar{B}\bar{B}^*} \simeq -12.6^{+9.2}_{-12.9}$ MeV, $\Delta E_{\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*} \simeq -23.8^{+16.3}_{-21.5}$ MeV

masses: $m_{\bar{B}\bar{B}^*} \simeq 10591.4^{+9.2}_{-12.9}$ MeV, $m_{\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*} \simeq 10625.5^{+16.3}_{-21.5}$ MeV

• strong decays are forbidden because of phase space they can be searched in $\bar{B}\bar{B}\gamma$ or $\bar{B}\bar{B}\gamma\gamma$

Results for $\bar{B}^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$ systems

we find two bound states in the channels of 0(1⁺) $ar{B}ar{B}^*$ and $ar{B}^*ar{B}^*$

• binding energies: $\Delta E_{\bar{B}\bar{B}^*} \simeq -12.6^{+9.2}_{-12.9}$ MeV, $\Delta E_{\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*} \simeq -23.8^{+16.3}_{-21.5}$ MeV

masses: $m_{\bar{B}\bar{B}^*} \simeq 10591.4^{+9.2}_{-12.9}$ MeV, $m_{\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*} \simeq 10625.5^{+16.3}_{-21.5}$ MeV

- strong decays are forbidden because of phase space they can be searched in $\bar{B}\bar{B}\gamma$ or $\bar{B}\bar{B}\gamma\gamma$

Bound states $[bb\bar{q}\bar{q}]$ with $I(J^P) = 0(1^+)$ also existed in other framework

Eichten, Quigg, PRL.119(2017), 202002; Karliner, Rosner, PRL.119(2017)202001; Bicudo, Scheunert, Wagner, PRD95(2017)034502; Wang, Acta Phys. Polon.B49(2018)1781; Park, Noh, Lee, Nucl. Phys. A983(2019)1–19; Liu, Wu, Valderrama, Xie, Geng, PRD99(2019), 094018; Francis, Hudspith, Lewis, Maltman, PRL.118(2017)142001; Junnarkar, Mathur, Padmanath, PRD99(2019)034507; Leskovec, Meinel, Pflaumer, Wagner, PRD100(2019), 014503 **Table 1:** The binding energies of $0(1^+) \bar{B}\bar{B}^*$ and $\bar{B}^*\bar{B}^*$ states obtained with different strategies in units of MeV.

Binding energy	No $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ LECs	Strategy A	Strategy B
$\Delta E_{ar{B}ar{B}^*}$	$-12.6^{+9.2}_{-12.9}$	$-10.4^{+7.2}_{-9.7}$	$-15.9^{+9.7}_{-12.7}$
$\Delta E_{ar{B}^*ar{B}^*}$	$-23.8^{+16.3}_{-21.5}$	$-20.1^{+14.5}_{-20.0}$	$-28.2^{+18.6}_{-23.6}$

We have studied the potentials upto one-loop level between two heavy mesons within chiral perturbation theory.

By solving the Schrodinger equations, we found some bound states in some channels.

With the wavefunctions obtained, we can further study other properties of these new states.

Thanks!

Thanks!

- Phys.Rev. D99 (2019) no.3, 036007
- Phys.Rev. D99 (2019) no.1, 014027
- Phys.Rev. D89 (2014) no.7, 074015