Coupled-channel effects in Heavy Hadrons

18th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure (HADRON2019)

D.R. Entem





Overview



- Symmetry breaking effects
 - Isospin breaking
 - Isospin breaking for the X(3872)
 - Isospin breaking for pentaquark
 - HQSS and HFS breaking
- Threshold cusps
- Triangle singularities

The X(3872) now $\chi_{c1}(3872)$



Mass very close to the $D^{*0} \overline{D}^0$

$$B \equiv m_{X(3872)} - m_{D^{*0}} - m_{\bar{D}^0} = 1.1^{+0.6+0.1}_{-0.4-0.3} \text{ MeV}$$

= (0.00 ± 0.18) MeV

From a *w* Belle arXiv: hep-ex/0505037

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(X(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 J/\psi)}{\mathcal{B}(X(3872) \to \pi^+ \pi^- J/\psi)} = 1.0 \pm 0.4 \text{(stat)} \pm 0.3 \text{(syst)}$$

From a ρ^{0} CDF Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 102002

Isospin breaking scale

$$m_{D^{*+}} + m_{D^-} - m_{D^{*0}} - m_{\bar{D}^0} = 8.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ MeV} \gg B$$

HADRON 2019

Belle 2003



$$\frac{m_{D^+} - m_{D^0}}{m_{D^+} + m_{D^0}} \sim 0.13\%$$
$$\frac{m_{D^{*+}} - m_{D^{*0}}}{m_{D^{*+}} + m_{D^{*0}}} \sim 0.08\%$$







Less than 1% isospin

Short range is different ____ confusion about isospin violation

D. Gamermann and E. Oset, Phys. Rev. D 80, 014003 (2009). QFT treatment

violation Interpreted as probabilities 2982 0.015 |gneutral|-|gcharged|)/|gneutral $g_{D^+D^{*-}}$ 0.012 3005 $g_{D^0\bar{D}^{*0}}$ 0.009 0.006 Small isospin breaking effect $\mathcal{R}_{o/\omega} \sim 3.2\%$ 0.003 12 E_B [MeV] $\frac{\mathcal{B}(X(3872) \to \pi\pi J/\psi)}{\mathcal{B}(X(3872) \to \pi\pi\pi J/\psi)} = \mathcal{R}_{\rho/\omega} \frac{\int_0^\infty q \mathcal{S}(s, m_\rho, \Gamma_\rho) \theta(m_X - m_{J/\psi} - \sqrt{s}) ds}{\int_0^\infty q \mathcal{S}(s, m_\omega, \Gamma_\omega) \theta(m_X - m_{J/\psi} - \sqrt{s}) ds} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}_\rho}{\mathcal{B}_\omega}$ Phase space effect due to large Final result compatible with differences on the widths experimental data



This was clarified in D. Gamermann et al., Phys. Rev. D 81, 014029 (2010). The coupling is

$$g^{2} = \lim_{E \to E_{\alpha}} (E - E_{\alpha})T = \left| v \int d^{3}k \langle \vec{k} | \alpha \rangle \right|^{2} = -\left(\frac{dG}{dE}\right)_{E=E_{\alpha}}^{-1}$$

Which can be related with the wave function at the origin

 $gG(E_{\alpha}) = (2\pi)^{3/2}\psi(0)$

A short range amplitude will be given by

Isospin factors

 $\mathcal{M} = g_1 G_{11}(E_\alpha) F_1 + g_2 G_{22}(E_\alpha) F_2 = (2\pi)^{3/2} (\psi_1(0)F_1 + \psi_2(0)F_2)$

Short range observables will show small isospin violation Long range observables will show large isospin violation Isospin conserving interactions





Isospin conserving interactions

Pentaquark states



Measured by LHCb in 2015 PRL 115, 072001 (2015)



Pentaquark states



New pentaquarks, LHCb PRL 122, 222001 (2019)



States close to thresholds

Isospin violation on Pc(4457) decays



F.-K. Guo et al., Phys. Rev. D 99, 091501 (2019).

$$\begin{split} M_{P_c(4457)^+} &= 4457.3 \pm 0.6^{+4.1}_{-1.7} \\ \Gamma_{P_c(4457)^+} &= 6.4 \pm 2.0^{+5.7}_{-1.9} \\ M_{\Sigma_c^+} + M_{\bar{D}^{*0}} &= 4459.8 \pm 0.4 \,\mathrm{MeV} \Rightarrow B_1 = 2.5^{+1.8}_{-4.2} \,\mathrm{MeV} \\ M_{\Sigma_c^{++}} + M_{D^{*-}} &= 4464.23 \pm 0.15 \,\mathrm{MeV} \Rightarrow B_2 = 6.9^{+1.8}_{-4.1} \,\mathrm{MeV} \end{split}$$

In analogy to the X(3872)

$$|\Sigma_c \bar{D}^*; I = 1/2, I_3 = 1/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\Sigma_c^{++} D^{*-}\rangle - \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |\Sigma_c^{+} \bar{D}^{*0}\rangle$$
$$|\Sigma_c \bar{D}^*; I = 3/2, I_3 = 1/2\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} |\Sigma_c^{++} D^{*-}\rangle + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} |\Sigma_c^{+} \bar{D}^{*0}\rangle$$

Isospin violation on Pc(4457) decays



 $\sim -\sqrt{2}$

$$V_{11} \equiv \langle \Sigma_{c}^{++}D^{*-}|V|\Sigma_{c}^{++}D^{*-}\rangle = \frac{2}{3}V_{1/2} + \frac{1}{3}V_{3/2}$$

$$V_{22} \equiv \langle \Sigma_{c}^{+}\bar{D}^{*0}|V|\Sigma_{c}^{+}\bar{D}^{*0}\rangle = \frac{1}{3}V_{1/2} + \frac{2}{3}V_{3/2}$$

$$V_{12} \equiv \langle \Sigma_{c}^{++}D^{*-}|V|\Sigma_{c}^{+}\bar{D}^{*0}\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}(V_{3/2} - V_{1/2})$$

$$T^{-1} = V^{-1} - G = 0$$

$$\frac{g_{++,-}}{g_{+,0}} = \frac{2V_{1/2} + V_{3/2} - 3V_{1/2}V_{3/2}G_{2}}{-\sqrt{2}(V_{1/2} - V_{3/2})} \sim$$

$$G_{1} \equiv G_{\Sigma_{c}^{++}D^{*-}}$$

$$G_{2} \equiv G_{\Sigma_{c}^{+}\bar{D}^{*0}}$$



Isospin violation on Pc(4457) decays



Again

$$\psi_{i}^{\Lambda}(r=0) = -2\mu_{i}g_{i}\int \frac{d^{3}q}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2q^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}}}{\gamma_{i}^{2}+q^{2}} \equiv g_{i}G_{i}^{\Lambda}$$

$$g_{++,-} \sim -\sqrt{2}g_{+,0}$$

$$g_{++,-} \sim -\sqrt{2}g_{+,0}$$

 $\frac{|\mathcal{A}(P_c(4457)^+ \to J/\psi\Delta^+)|}{|\mathcal{A}(P_c(4457)^+ \to J/\psi p)|} = \sqrt{10} \left| \frac{\psi_{3/2}^{\Lambda}(r=0)}{\psi_{1/2}^{\Lambda}(r=0)} \right| = 2\sqrt{5} \left| \frac{G_{++,-}^{\Lambda} - G_{+,0}^{\Lambda}}{2G_{++,-}^{\Lambda} + G_{+,0}^{\Lambda}} \right|$





Slightly broken in the heavy-light

$$\frac{M_{D^*} - M_D}{M_{D^*} + M_D} \sim 3.6\%$$

And heavy-heavy sectors

$$\frac{M_{J/\psi} - M_{\eta_c}}{M_{J/\psi} + M_{\eta_c}} \sim 1.8\%$$
$$\frac{M_{\chi_{c2}(1P)} - M_{\chi_{c0}(1P)}}{M_{\chi_{c2}(1P)} + M_{\chi_{c0}(1P)}} \sim 2.0\%$$

- HQSS The interaction does not depend on the heavy quark spin
- *HFS The interaction of charm and bottom is the same*



HQSS and HFS predictions



• HQSS implies the $DD^*(1^{++})$ interaction the same as $D^*D^*(2^{++})$

 $V(D\bar{D}^* 1^{++}) = V(D^*\bar{D}^* 2^{++})$ $X(3872)(1^{++}) \Rightarrow X(4012)(2^{++})$ Not found

J. Nieves and M. Pavón Valderrama, Phys. Rev. D 86, 056004 (2012). Baru, V. et al., A.V., EPJ Web Conf. 137, 06002 (2017).

• *HFS* implies interaction between $D^{(*)}D^{(*)}$ the same as $B^{(*)}B^{(*)}$

 $V(B^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)}) = V(D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}) \qquad X(3872)(1^{++}) \Rightarrow X_b(1^{++}), X_b(2^{++})$

C. Hidalgo-Duque et al., Phys. Rev. D 87, 076006 (2013).

Not found by

- CMS S. Chatrchyan et al., Physics Letters B 727, 57 (2013).
- ATLAS G. Aad et al., Physics Letters B 740, 199 (2015).
- Belle X. H. He et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 142001 (2014).

OZI allowed decays couples one meson and two meson states strongly

 $c\bar{c} \to (c\bar{n})(n\bar{c})$

The quark model is appropriate for studying these effects:

- The 3P0 model gives a microscopic model for these couplings. Fitted to strong decays
- The quark-quark interaction gives the wave functions of the mesons. Gives the form factors.
- The Resonanting group method gives the interaction between mesons using the quark-quark interaction and meson wave functions.
- The Chiral quark model introduces pion-exchange interactions.
- Rearrangement process produces OZI suppressed decays







Rearrangement processes





The X(3872)

 \blacksquare ${}^{3}S_{1}$ and ${}^{3}D_{1}$ DD^{*} partial waves included.

- Coupling to 1^{++} ground and first excited $c\bar{c}$ states with bare masses within the model: $c\bar{c}(1^{3}P_{1}) \rightarrow M = 3503,9 \ MeV \ c\bar{c}(2^{3}P_{1}) \rightarrow M = 3947,4 \ MeV \ and$
- $\blacksquare \text{ Isospin breaking } M_{D^{\pm}} + M_{D^{\ast}\pm} \neq M_{D^0} + M_{D^{\ast}0}$

Parameter free calculation.

M (MeV)	$c\bar{c}(1^3P_1)$	$c\bar{c}(2^3P_1)$	$D^0 D^{*0}$	$D^{\pm}D^{*\mp}$	Assignment
3937	0%	79%	7~%	14%	
3863	1~%	30~%	46~%	23%	$\rightarrow X(3872)$
3467	95~%	0 %	$^{2,5\%}$	$^{2,5\%}$	

Isospin probabilities: $\mathcal{P}_{I=0} = 66 \%$, $\mathcal{P}_{I=1} = 3 \%$, $\mathcal{P}_{c\bar{c}} = 30 \%$.

- **I** Fine tune ${}^{3}P_{0} \gamma$ strength parameter to E_{bind} . $\mathcal{P}_{I=0} \sim 70 \%$, $\mathcal{P}_{I=1} \sim 23 \%$, $\mathcal{P}_{c\bar{c}} \sim 7 \%$
- P.G. Ortega, J. Segovia, DRE, F. Fernández, Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010)
- P.G. Ortega, DRE, F. Fernández, J. Phys. G 40 (2013)
- M. Takizawa, S. Takeuchi, PTEP 9 (2013) at hadron level



The X(3872) analogs



Including only open-charm and open-bottom channels

- *No X(4012) is found*
- $X_b(1^{++})$ is close to bind and $X_b(2^{++})$ is bounded

DRE et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1735, 060006 (2016);

More refined calculation including other channels gives the same conclusion for the charmonium sector

P.G. Ortega et al., Phys.Lett. B778 (2018) 1

Why this discrepancies with HQSS and HFS?

HQSS and HFS



Heavy Quark Spin Symmetry and Heavy Flavor Symmetry is fulfilled by the model

 $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\langle D^*D^*(0^{++})|H_I|DD(0^{++})\rangle = \langle DD(0^{++})|H_I|DD(0^{++})\rangle - \langle D^*D^*(0^{++})|H_I|D^*D^*(0^{++})\rangle$



HQSS and HFS

HADRON 2019



Heavy Quark Spin Symmetry and Heavy Flavor Symmetry is fulfilled by the model

$$\langle DD^*(1^{++})|H_I|DD^*(1^{++})\rangle = \langle D^*D^*(2^{++})|H_I|D^*D^*(2^{++})\rangle \\ = \frac{3}{2} \bigg[\langle DD(0^{++})|H_I|DD(0^{++})\rangle - \frac{1}{3} \langle D^*D^*(0^{++})|H_I|D^*D^*(0^{++})\rangle \bigg]$$





$$\frac{M_{D^*} - M_D}{M_{D^*} + M_D} \sim 3.6\% \quad but \qquad M_{D^*} - M_D \sim 141 \text{MeV} \Rightarrow M_{D^*D^*} - M_{DD} \sim 282 \text{MeV}$$

 $\frac{M_{\chi_{c2}(1P)} - M_{\chi_{c0}(1P)}}{M_{\chi_{c2}(1P)} + M_{\chi_{c0}(1P)}} \sim 2.0\% \qquad M_{\chi_{c2}(1P)} - M_{\chi_{c0}(1P)} \sim 141 \text{MeV} \Rightarrow M_{\chi_{c2}(2P)} - M_{\chi_{c0}(2P)} \leq 141 \text{MeV}$ D. Ebert et al., The Eur. Phys. J. C 71, 1825 (2011) $M_{\chi_{c2}(2P)} - M_{\chi_{c0}(2P)} \sim 80 \text{MeV}$

S wave states:

- $^{\bullet} 0^{++} \Rightarrow D\bar{D}, D^*\bar{D}^*$
- $1^{++} \Rightarrow D\bar{D}^*$
- $^{\bullet} 2^{++} \Rightarrow D^* \bar{D}^*$

$1^{3}P_{0}$	0^{++}	3413	$\chi_{c0}(1P)$	3414.75(31)
$1^{3}P_{1}$	1^{++}	3511	$\chi_{c1}(1P)$	3510.66(7)
$1^{3}P_{2}$	2^{++}	3555	$\chi_{c2}(1P)$	3556.20(9)
$1^{1}P_{1}$	1^{+-}	3525	$h_c(1P)$	3525.41(16)
$2^{3}P_{0}$	0^{++}	3870		
$2^{3}P_{1}$	1^{++}	3906		
$2^{3}P_{2}$	2^{++}	3949	$\chi_{c2}(2P)$	3927.2(2.6)
$2^{1}P_{1}$	1^{+-}	3926		

$$\sim G_{c\bar{c}}^{0} = \frac{1}{E - \mathring{m}_{c\bar{c}}} \begin{cases} < 0 & \mathring{m}_{c\bar{c}} > E \\ > 0 & \mathring{m}_{c\bar{c}} < E \end{cases} \begin{array}{c} \text{Attraction} \\ \text{Repulsion} \end{cases}$$



Charmonium





Bottomonium





E. Cincioglu et al., Eur. Phys. J. C 76, 576 (2016)

A systematic study of this effect has been performed at hadron level



HADRON 2019

VNIVERSIDAD DSALAMANCA CAMPUS DE EXCELENCIA INTERNACIONAI

HQSS breaking



Mass of the X(3872) *is fixed varying d and* C_{0x} *at the same time*

d [fm ^{1/2}]	C_{0X} [fm ²]	$g_{D\bar{D}^*}^{X(3872)}$ [GeV ^{-1/2}]	$\tilde{X}_{X(3872)}$	$(m_{\chi_{c1}}, \Gamma_{\chi_{c1}})$ [MeV]	$g_{D\bar{D}^*}^{\chi_{c1}}$ [GeV ^{-1/2}]	$ ilde{X}_{\chi_{c1}} $	$\tilde{Z}_{\chi_{c1}}$
0.	-0.789	0.90	1	(3906.0,0)	0.	0.	1.
0.05	-0.774	0.89	0.98	(3906.6, 1.9)	0.01 + 0.16 i	0.02	0.99 + 0.01 i
0.1	-0.731	0.87	0.92	(3908.2, 7.9)	0.03 + 0.31 i	0.06	0.96 + 0.05 i
0.15	-0.659	0.83	0.84	(3910.5, 19.2)	0.07 + 0.44 i	0.14	0.92 + 0.11 i
0.20	-0.559	0.78	0.75	(3912.4, 37.8)	0.14 + 0.56 i	0.23	0.87 + 0.19 i
0.25	-0.429	0.73	0.66	(3912.0, 67.0)	0.24 + 0.65 i	0.36	0.82 + 0.31 i
0.30	-0.271	0.68	0.57	(3903.9, 112.8)	0.38 + 0.73 i	0.55	0.77 + 0.50 i
0.35	-0.084	0.63	0.49	(3864.5, 185.2)	0.63 + 0.85 i	>1	0.70 + 1.01 i
$d^{\rm crit}$	0.000	0.61	0.47	(3798.3, 209.4)	0.93 + 1.09 i	>1	0.53 + 2.12 i
0.375	0.020	0.61	0.46	(3754.4, 186.4)	1.21 + 1.37 i	>1	0.29 + 3.66 i
0.3775	0.031	0.61	0.46	(3701.6, 93.5)	2.19 + 2.39 i	>1	-0.44 + 12.27 i
0.40	0.132	0.59	0.43	(3827.1, 0) at SRS	0.96	$\tilde{X}_{\chi_{c1}} < 0$	2.07
0.45	0.376	0.55	0.37	(3850.9,0) at SRS	0.63	$\tilde{X}_{\chi_{c1}} < 0$	1.52
0.5	0.649	0.51	0.32	(3858.4,0) at SRS	0.51	$\tilde{X}_{\chi_{c1}} < 0$	1.36
1.0	4.963	0.29	0.11	(3869.7, 0) at SRS	0.21	$\tilde{X}_{\chi_{c1}} < 0$	1.08
2.0	22.217	0.15	0.03	(3871.3, 0) at SRS	0.10	$ ilde{X}_{\chi_{c1}} < 0$	1.02
$d \gg d^{\rm crit}$	$\sim \frac{d^2}{\mathring{m}_{\chi_{c1}} - M_{\chi}}$	$\mathcal{O}(1/d)$	$O(1/d^2)$	$(M_X - \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{d^2}), 0)$ at SRS	$\mathcal{O}(1/d)$	$\tilde{X}_{\chi_{c1}} = -\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{d^2})$	$1 + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{d^2})$

The molecular component of the *X*(3872) decreases

 $\chi_{c2}(2P)$ becomes a resonance that goes to SRS

HQSS breaking



Mass of the X(3872) is fixed varying d and C_{0X} at the same time

d [fm ^{1/2}]	$\tilde{X}_{X(3872)}$	$g_{D^*\bar{D}^*}^{\chi_{c2}}$ [GeV ^{-1/2}]	$ ilde{X}_{\chi_{c2}}$	$\stackrel{\circ}{m}_{\chi_{c2}}$ [MeV]	$M_{X_2} - 2M_{D^*} - i \frac{\Gamma_{X_2}}{2}$ [MeV]	$g_{D^*\bar{D}^*}^{X_2}$ [GeV ^{-1/2}]	\tilde{X}_{X_2}
0.	1	0.0	0.0	3927.2	-5.6	0.97	1.
0.05	0.98	0.27	0.01	3927.8	-4.5	0.90	0.996
0.10	0.92	0.51	0.02	3929.6	-1.8	0.67	0.991
0.15	0.84	0.69	0.04	3932.2	-0.0 at SRS	-0.12i	>1
0.20	0.75	0.82	0.05	3935.2	-6.4 at SRS	-0.76 i	>1
0.22	0.71	0.86	0.06	3936.4	-21.2 at SRS	-1.24 i	>1
0.25	0.66	0.90	0.06	3938.3	$-28.3 - \frac{72.9}{2}i$	0.23 - 0.65 i	0.47 + 0.32 i
0.30	0.57	0.95	0.07	3941.2	$-31.2 - \frac{162.8}{2}i$	0.03 + 0.67 i	0.48 - 0.04 i
0.35	0.49	0.96	0.07	3943.8	$-59.5 - \frac{312.6}{2}i$	0.30 + 0.71 i	0.52 - 0.39 i

The X(4012) disappears

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{2^{++}}(E = 2M_{D^*}) = V_{\text{eff}}^{1^{++}}(E = M_X) + d^2 \times \left(\frac{(2M_{D^*} - M_X) - (\mathring{m}_{\chi_{c2}} - \mathring{m}_{\chi_{c1}})}{(2M_{D^*} - \mathring{m}_{\chi_{c2}})(\mathring{m}_{\chi_{c1}} - M_X)}\right)$$

Repulsion

HFS breaking



d [fm ^{1/2}]	$\tilde{X}_{X(3872)}$	$g_{B\bar{B}^*}^{\chi_{b1}}$ [GeV ^{-1/2}]	$ ilde{X}_{\chi_{b1}}$	$\stackrel{\circ}{m}_{\chi_{b1}}$ [MeV]	$E_{X_b} - M_B - M_{B^*}$ [MeV]	$g^{X_b}_{B\bar{B}^*}$ [GeV ^{-1/2}]	$ ilde{X}_{X_b}$
0.	1	0.0	0.0	10512.1	-65.9	2.30	1.
0.05	0.98	0.98	0.09	10515.0	-60.7	2.04	0.91
0.10	0.92	1.46	0.20	10521.4	-47.6	1.55	0.80
0.15	0.84	1.59	0.24	10527.8	-30.8	1.11	0.77
0.20	0.75	1.57	0.23	10532.6	-13.1	0.69	0.80
0.25	0.66	1.49	0.21	10536.1	-0.1	0.16	0.96
0.30	0.57	1.40	0.18	10538.5	$4.9 - \frac{68.2}{2}i$	0.05 - 0.26 i	0.43 + 0.16i
0.35	0.49	1.29	0.16	10540.2	$44.8 - \frac{181.4}{2}i$	0.12 + 0.28 i	0.55 - 0.21i
d [fm ^{1/2}]	$ ilde{X}_{X(3872)}$	$g_{B^*\bar{B}^*}^{\chi_{b2}}$ [GeV ^{-1/2}]	$ ilde{X}_{\chi_{b2}}$	$\stackrel{\circ}{m}_{\chi_{b2}}$ [MeV]	$E_{X_{b2}} - 2M_{B^*}$ [MeV]	$g_{B^*\bar{B}^*}^{X_{b2}}$ [GeV ^{-1/2}]	$ ilde{X}_{X_{b2}}$
0.	1	0.0	0.0	10522.1	-66.2	2.31	1.
0.05	0.98	0.69	0.02	10523.4	-62.5	2.17	0.98
0.10	0.92	1.20	0.06	10526.9	-52.3	1.82	0.94
0.15	0.84	1.50	0.10	10531.3	-37.2	1.37	0.91
0.20	0.75	1.64	0.11	10535.7	-19.4	0.90	0.90
0.25	0.66	1.67	0.12	10539.5	-3.1	0.41	0.93
0.30	0.57	1.64	0.11	10542.5	$-18.0 - \frac{37.4}{2}i$	0.16 - 0.28 i	0.46 + 0.75 i
0.35	0.49	1.59	0.11	10545.0	$27.1 - \frac{195.1}{2}i$	0.09 + 0.28 i	0.57 - 0.15 i
					2		

Conclusion: the repulsion from the $\chi_{bJ}(3P)$ states is not so important and the two states could be found

 1^{++}

 2^{++}

Threshold cusp



Discontinuity of the amplitude due to the opening of a threshold

N. Cabibbo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 121801 (2004)

Proposal to extract $(a_0 - a_2) \pi \pi$ scattering lengths due to the threshold cusp produce by the charge exchage reaction.





Threshold cusp in $\pi\pi$



J. Batley et al., Physics Letters B 633, 173 (2006)



 $(a_0 - a_2)m_{\pi^+} = 0.268 \pm 0.010(\text{stat}) \pm 0.004(\text{syst})$

The Zc and Zb as threshold cusps

E. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D 91, 034009 (2015)



F.-K. Guo et al., *Phys. Rev. D* 91, 051504*R* (2015) *One-loop approximation is not justified and nearby poles appear*





Zc states in the CQM

P.G. Ortega et al, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) no.1, 78



Data from **BESIII** Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 072001 (2017)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$



Zc states in the CQM

P.G. Ortega et al, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) no.1, 78

$$e^+e^- \to \pi^{\pm} (D\bar{D}^*)^{\mp}$$



 $\sqrt{s} = 4.26 \text{ GeV}$

Data from **BESIII** Phys. Rev. D 92, 092006 (2015)



Zc states in the CQM



P.G. Ortega et al, Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) no.1, 78

Calculation	$Z_c(3900)$ pole	RS	$Z_c(4020)$ pole	RS
$-D\bar{D}^*$	3871.37 - 2.17i	(S)	-	-
$D\bar{D}^* + D^*\bar{D}^*$	3872.27 - 1.85i	(S,F)	4014.16 - 0.10i	(S,S)
$ ho\eta_c+Dar{D}^*$	3871.32 - 0.00i	(S,S)	-	-
$ ho\eta_c + Dar{D}^* + D^*ar{D}^*$	3872.07 - 0.00i	(S,S,F)	4013.10 - 0.00i	(S,S,S)
$\pi J/\psi + \rho \eta_c + D\bar{D}^* + D^*\bar{D}^*$	3871.74 - 0.00i	(S,S,S,F)	4013.21 - 0.00i	(S,S,S,S)

Calculation	$Z_c(3900)$	type
This work	3871.74	virtual
F. Aceti et al.	3878-23i	resonance
M. Albaladejo et al.	$3894 \pm 6 \pm 1 - 30 \pm 12 \pm 6 i$	resonance
	$3886 \pm 4 \pm 1 - 22 \pm 6 \pm 4i$	resonance
	$3831 \pm 26^{+7}_{-28}$	virtual
	$3844 \pm 19^{+12}_{-21}$	virtual
Y. Ikeda et al.	$3709 \pm 94 - 183(46) i$	virtual
	$3748 \pm 76 - 157(32) i$	virtual
	$3686 \pm 56 - 44(27) i$	virtual
J. He et al.	3876-5i	resonance
Calculation	$Z_{c}(4020)$	type
This work	4013.21	virtual
F. Aceti et al.	(3990 - 4000) - 50 i	$\operatorname{bound}/\operatorname{virtual}$

Triangle singularities



Singularities of a triangle diagram that can be not poles, but kinematical effects

$$\int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{B}{(q_1^2 - m_1^2)(q_2^2 - m_2^2)(q_3^2 - m_3^2)}$$

Singularities are given by the Landau equations

$$1 + 2\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 = \mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2$$
$$\mu_i = \frac{m_j^2 + m_k^2 - p_i^2}{2m_j m_k}$$



- Normal threshold singularities
 - Anomalous threshold singularities
- The width of the states makes the singularity move from the physical region
- Since they are kinematical effects they don't need to be present in all channels as in the case of poles

The X(3872) binding energy



F.-K. Guo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 202002 (2019)

Proposal to measured the X(3872) binding energy with the triangle singularity *Prove the long range properties of the X(3872)*

$$I(E_{X\gamma}) = \frac{1}{E_{\gamma}} \left[\arctan\left(\frac{c_2 - c_1}{2b\sqrt{c_1}}\right) + \arctan\left(\frac{c_1 - c_2 + 2b^2}{2b\sqrt{c_2 - b^2}}\right) \right]$$

Invariant mass of the X and γ

 $b = m_{D^{*0}} E_{\gamma} / (m_{D^{0}} + m_{D^{*0}})$ $c_{1} = m_{D^{*0}} (2m_{D^{*0}} - E_{X\gamma} - i\Gamma_{D^{*0}})$ $c_{2} = \mu_{D^{*0}D^{0}} \left[2(m_{D^{*0}} + m_{D^{0}} + E_{\gamma} - E_{X\gamma}) + E_{\gamma}^{2} / m_{D^{0}} - i\Gamma_{D^{*0}} \right]$

The triangle singularity is close to threshold



$$E_{X\gamma}^{TS} = 2m_{D^{*0}} + \frac{x^2}{2m_{D^0}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^3}{m_{D^0}^2}\right)$$

$$x = m_{D^{*0}} - m_{D^0} - 2\sqrt{-m_{D^0}\delta} + \delta$$

Binding energy of the X(3872)

The X(3872) binding energy

The line shape

$$F(E_{X\gamma}) = \frac{|I(E_{X\gamma})|^2}{|I(2m_{D^{*0}})|^2} \frac{E_{\gamma}^3}{[(4m_{D^{*0}}^2 - m_X^2)/(4m_{D^{*0}}^2)]^3}$$

Strongly depends on the binding energy





The X(3872) binding energy





Montecarlo simulation to extract The binding energy of the X(3872)

TABLE I.	Results on δ (in units of keV) from fitting to the synthetic data sets generated using three different input
values of δ_i	$_{in}$: -50 keV, 0 keV, and 50 keV.

Data set A	$\delta_{\rm in} = -50 \text{ keV} (127 \text{ events})$	$\delta_{\rm in} = 0 \text{ keV} (164 \text{ events})$	$\delta_{\rm in} = 50 \text{ keV} (192 \text{ events})$
5 bins	-24^{+}_{-28} -17^{+24}_{-27}	11_{-20}^{+20} 30_{-29}^{+64}	$\frac{22_{-23}}{40_{-31}^{+67}}$
Data set B	$\delta_{\rm in} = -50 \text{ keV} (626 \text{ events})$	$\delta_{\rm in} = 0 \ {\rm keV} \ (831 \ {\rm events})$	$\delta_{\rm in} = 50 \ {\rm keV} \ (1006 \ {\rm events})$
10 bins 5 bins	$-47^{+13}_{-16} \\ -48^{+15}_{-19}$	$-1^{+13}_{-11}\\-4^{+11}_{-10}$	$\begin{array}{c} 63^{+34}_{-24} \\ 53^{+38}_{-25} \end{array}$
Data set C	$\delta_{\rm in} = -50 \text{ keV} (3133 \text{ events})$	$\delta_{\rm in} = 0 \text{ keV} (4027 \text{ events})$	$\delta_{\rm in} = 50 \text{ keV} (5015 \text{ events})$
10 bins	-53^{+7}_{-8}	-2 ± 5	55^{+13}_{-11}
5 bins	-52_{-8}^{+7}	-2^{+7}_{-6}	61^{+17}_{-14}

Summary



Symmetry breaking effects

- Small symmetry breaking effects in thresholds can induce interesting breaking effects
 - Isospin violating decays: X(3872) and Pc(4457)
 - Deviations from HQSS and HFS

Threhold cusps

- Threshold openings induce enhancements in cross sections
- Big effects without nearby poles are debatable

Triangle singularities

- Not present in all channels
- Can be used to measured the X(3872) binding energy

Thank you for your attention