# Weak Decays of doubly heavy baryons



Fu-Sheng Yu

Lanzhou University



### HADRON2019 @ Guilin, 16-21 August, 2019

In collaboration with Hua-Yu Jiang, Run-Hui Li, Cai-Dian Lü, Wei Wang, Zhen-Xing Zhao, Zhi-Tian Zou



# Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Weak decays of doubly charmed baryons
  - Semileptonic decays
     See Xiaohui Hu's talk
  - ✓ Hadronic decays
- 3. Summary

# LHCb observed doubly charmed baryon via $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ and $\Xi_c^+ \pi^+$ LHCb, '17, '18



with

$$m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = (3621.40 \pm 0.78) \text{ MeV}$$
  
 $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = (2.56^{+0.24}_{-0.22} \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-13} \text{ s}$  LHCb, '18

### **Double-charm-baryon Searches**

- · History
- Evidence
- $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  SELEX, '02  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to p D^+ K^-$  SELEX, '04 • But not confirmed
  - $$\begin{split} \Xi_{cc}^{+} &\rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+} & \text{FOCUS, '02} \\ \Xi_{cc}^{+(+)} &\rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0} \pi^{+} (\pi^{+}) \text{ and } \Lambda_{c}^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+} (\pi^{+}) \text{ Babar, '06; Belle, '06, '13} \\ \Xi_{cc}^{+} &\rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+} & \text{LHCb, '13} \end{split}$$

### **Double-charm-baryon Searches**

- · History
- Evidence

$$\Xi_{cc}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}$$
 SELEX, '02  $\Xi_{cc}^{+} \rightarrow pD^{+}K^{-}$  SELEX, '04  
• But not confirmed  

$$\Xi_{cc}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}$$
 FOCUS, '02  
 $\Xi_{cc}^{+(+)} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}\pi^{+}(\pi^{+})$  and  $\Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}(\pi^{+})$  Babar,'06; Belle, '06,'13  
 $\Xi_{cc}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}$  LHCb, '13  
Misleading !!

### **Double-charm-baryon Searches**

- · History
- Evidence

$$\Xi_{cc}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}$$
 SELEX, '02  $\Xi_{cc}^{+} \rightarrow pD^{+}K^{-}$  SELEX, '04  
• But not confirmed  

$$\Xi_{cc}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}$$
 FOCUS, '02  

$$\Xi_{cc}^{+(+)} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}\pi^{+}(\pi^{+}) \text{ and } \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}(\pi^{+}) \text{ Babar, '06; Belle, '06, '13}$$

$$\Xi_{cc}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}$$
 LHCb, '13  
Misleading !! 2016, LHCb Run II,  
What discovery channel?

### Topologies of two-body non-leptonic charmed baryon decays



### **Dynamics is challenging in charmed baryon decays**

### Hierarchy in heavy quark expansion

SCET: IC/TI~IC'/TI~IE/TI~ $O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_Q)$ , IB/EI~ $O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_Q)$ ,

Leibovich, Ligeti, Stewart, Wise, '04

b decay: IC/TI~IC'/TI~IE/TI~IP/TI~ $O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_Q)$ ~0.2 IB/EI~ $O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_Q)$ ~0.2

c decay:  $IC/TI \sim IC'/TI \sim IE/TI \sim O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_Q) \sim 1$  $IB/EI \sim O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_Q) \sim 1$  $IPI \sim 0$ 

# Theoretical Framework for weak decays of charmed baryons

Short + Long distance contributions

# Short-distance contributions

- external W-emission diagrams
- Calculate form factors in light-front quark model
- Calculate amplitudes using factorization approach



# Transition form factors (FF) in light-front quark model

- Isospin symmetry relates FF's of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$
- Flavor SU(3) symmetry relates FF's of  $c \rightarrow s$  and  $c \rightarrow d$  transitions
- Uncertainties in FFs are *c* mostly cancelled in the relative branching fractions.



$C \longrightarrow S \begin{array}{c c} \Xi_{cc} \to \Xi_c / \Xi_c'(0^+) & \Xi_{cc} \to \Xi_c / \Xi_c'(1^-) \\ f_1 & g_1 & f_2 & g_2 & f_1 & g_1 & f_2 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & \overline{\Xi}_{c} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \Pi(0) & 0 & 0 & 0 $			
	<b>*</b>		
	$g_2^*$		
$F(0)   0.75 \ 0.62 \ -0.78 \ -0.08   0.74 \ -0.20 \ 0.80 \ -$	-0.02		
$m_{\mathrm{fit}} \left  1.84 \ 2.16 \ 1.67 \ 1.29 \ \left  1.58 \ 2.10 \ 1.62 \right  \right $	1.62		
$\delta$ 0.25 0.35 0.30 0.52 0.36 0.21 0.31	1.37		
$\Xi_{cc} \to \Lambda_c / \Sigma_c(0^+) \qquad \Xi_{cc} \to \Lambda_c / \Sigma_c(1^-)$	$\Xi_{cc} \to \Lambda_c / \Sigma_c(1^+)$		
$C \longrightarrow d$ $f_1  g_1  f_2  g_2  f_1  g_1  f_2$	$g_2^*$		
$F(0) \left  0.65 \ 0.53 \ -0.74 \ -0.05 \right  0.64 \ -0.17 \ 0.73 \ -$	-0.03		
$m_{\mathrm{fit}} \left  1.72 \ 2.03 \ 1.56 \ 1.12 \ \left  1.49 \ 1.99 \ 1.53 \ 2.03 \ 1.56 \ 1.12 \ \left  1.49 \ 1.99 \ 1.53 \ 2.03 \ 1.55 \ 2.05 \ 1.12 \ 1.49 \ 1.99 \ 1.53 \ 2.05 \ 2.05 \ 1.12 \ 1$	2.03		
$\delta$ 0.27 0.38 0.32 1.10 0.37 0.23 0.32 2	2.62		

### Wang, FSY, Zhao, '17

# Short-Distance Contributions



• External W-emission processes using factorization approach  $A(\Xi_{cc} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_c M)_{SD}$ 

$$= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cq'}^* V_{uq} a_1(a_2) \langle M | \bar{u} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) q | 0 \rangle \langle \mathcal{B}_c | \bar{q}' \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) | \Xi_{cc} \rangle$$

Relative branching fractions are reliable

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{+} \to \Xi_{c}^{0} \pi^{+}) / \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}) = \mathcal{R}_{\tau} = 0.25 \sim 0.37, \\ &\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}) / \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}) = 0.056, \\ &\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+} \ell^{+} \nu) / \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}) = 0.71, \end{aligned}$$

Uncertainties of form factors are mostly cancelled

 $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++}\to\Xi_c^+\pi^+)$  is the largest one

# $\begin{array}{l} \text{small lifetime} \\ \text{Cabibbo-} \\ \text{suppressed} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Xi_c^0 \pi^+) / \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+) = \mathcal{R}_{\tau} = 0.25 \sim 0.37, \\ \to \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^+) / \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+) = 0.056, \\ \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_c^+ \ell^+ \nu) / \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+) = 0.71, \\ \text{missing energy} \end{array}$

Other processes with large branching fractions, but

either have neutral final-state particles

$$\Xi_c^+ \rho^+ (\to \pi^+ \pi^0) \qquad \qquad \Xi_c'^+ (\to \Xi_c^+ \gamma) \pi^+$$

• or have more tracks  $\Xi_c^+ a_1^+ (\to \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$ 

 $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}$  is the best one to search for doubly heavy baryons among external W-emission processes

# Long-distance contributions

- final-state interacting (FSI) effects

- significantly large in charm decays
- Calculate rescattering effects



## Short-distance v.s. Long-distance Contributions





Br=3.4%

short-distance branching fractions

Br=0.003%

external W-emissioninternal W-emissioncolor-favoredcolor-suppressed $a_1(\mu_c)=1.07$  $a_2(\mu_c)=-0.02$ 

But long-distance contributions are significantly enhanced in charmed hadron decays

# Indication from pure internal W-emission





Short-distance v.s.

Long-distance

Br(SD)=10<sup>-6</sup>  $la_2(\mu_c)l=0.02$ 

 $Br(exp)=(1.04\pm0.21)\times10^{-3}$ 

 $la_2^{eff}(\mu_c) = 0.7$ 

large-N<sub>c</sub> limit

Understanding long-distance contributions is essential to find a best process for the searches for doubly heavy baryons

 $\Lambda_c^+$ 



# **Relative Branching Fractions** with long-distance contributions

Baryons	Modes	$\mathcal{B}_{ ext{LD}}$
$\Xi_{cc}^{++}(ccu)$	$\Sigma_c^{++}(2455)\overline{K}^{*0}$	defined as 1
Largest	$pD^{*+}$	0.04
	$pD^+$	0.0008
$\Xi_{cc}^+(ccd)$	$\Lambda_c^+ \overline{K}^{*0}$	$(\mathcal{R}_{ au}/0.3)  imes 0.22$
	$\Sigma_c^{++}(2455)K^-$	$(\mathcal{R}_{ au}/0.3) imes 0.008$
	$\Xi_c^+  ho^0$	$(\mathcal{R}_{\tau}/0.3) \times 0.04$
	$\Lambda D^+$	$(\mathcal{R}_{ au}/0.3)  imes 0.004$
	$pD^0$	$(\mathcal{R}_{ au}/0.3)  imes 0.002$

Theoretical uncertainties are still very large, but reduced in the relative branching fractions



 $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}) = 3.4\%$   $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+} \rho^{+}) = 6.3\%$   $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{\prime+} \pi^{+}) = 2.4\%$  $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{\prime+} \rho^{+}) = 8.7\%$   $\eta = 1.0 \sim 2.0$ 

 $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Sigma_{c}^{++} \overline{K}^{*0}) = (3.8 \sim 24.6)\%$   $\times \frac{\tau_{\Xi_{cc}^{++}}}{300 \,\mathrm{fs}}$ 

Large enough for measurements

$$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$$



$$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Sigma_c^{++} (2455) \overline{K}^{*0}$$

is actually a four-body decay

 $\Sigma_{c}^{++}(2455)$  or  $\Sigma_{c}^{++}(2520)$ 

In charmed hadron decays, final-state particles are not energetic, and easily located in the momentum range of resonances  $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Sigma_{c}^{++}(2455)\overline{K}^{*0}) = \left(\frac{\tau_{\Xi_{cc}^{++}}}{300 \,\mathrm{fs}}\right) \times (3.8 \sim 24.6)\%$ 

It would be expected to be as large as O(10%)

$$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \text{ V.S. } \Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$$
SELEX's discovery channel
LHCb measured

Baryons	Modes	$\mathcal{B}_{ ext{LD}}$	
$\Xi_{cc}^{++}(ccu)$	$\Sigma_c^{++}(2455)\overline{K}^{*0}$	defined as 1	$\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
$\tau \times (\sim 3)$	$pD^{*+}$	0.04	$3r \times 5$
	$pD^+$	0.0008	
$\Xi_{cc}^+(ccd)$	$\Lambda_c^+ \overline{K}^{*0}$	$(\mathcal{R}_{\tau}/0.3) \times 0.22$ $(\mathcal{R}_{\tau}/0.3) \times 0.008$	$\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$
	$\Sigma_{c}^{++}(2455)K^{-}$	$(\mathcal{R}_{ au}/0.3) imes 0.008$	$\Lambda_c \Lambda \pi$
	$\Xi_c^+ ho^0$	$(\mathcal{R}_{\tau}/0.3) \times 0.04$	
	$\Lambda D^+$	$(\mathcal{R}_{\tau}/0.3) \times 0.004$	
	$pD^0$	$(\mathcal{R}_{ au}/0.3)  imes 0.002$	

 $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  has larger branching fraction than  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$ 

## Lifetimes

Literatures	<i>Ξ<sub>cc</sub>++</i> (fs)	$\Xi_{cc}$ + (fs)
Cheng, Shi, 2018	298	45
Karliner, Rosner, 2014	185	53
Kiselev, Likhoded, Onishchenko, 1998	430±100	110±10
Kiselev, Likhoded, 2002	460±50	160±50
Chang, Li, Li, Wang, 2007	670	250

$$\tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) \gg \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{+})$$

• Longer lifetime  $\Rightarrow$  Higher efficiency to reject backgrounds in hadron colliders

### **Discovery Potentials of Doubly Charmed Baryons**

#### Abstract

The existence of doubly heavy flavor baryons has not been well established experimentally so far. In this Letter we systematically investigate the weak decays of the doubly charmed baryons,  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}$ , which should be helpful for experimental searches for these particles. The long-distance contributions are first studied in the doubly heavy baryon decays, and found to be significantly enhanced. Comparing all the processes  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  and  $\Xi_c^+ \pi^+$  are the most favorable decay modes for experiments to search for doubly heavy baryons. FSY, Jiang, Li, Lü, Wang, Zhao, 1703.09086 5 MeV/c 180 <del>-</del> LHCb 13 TeV LHCb 🕂 Data 160 Total +Data ---- Signal -Total Candidates per --- Background 120Signal Background 100 80 July July 20 20 0 2017 3600 2018 3500 3700 3550 3600 3650 3700 3500  $m_{\rm cand}(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$  [MeV/ $c^2$ ]  $m(\Xi_c^+\pi^+)$  [MeV/ $c^2$ ]  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}$  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ 

### LHCb observed $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$

### LHCb 2012 Data



### List of studies on weak decays

1. Doubly heavy baryon weak decays:  $\Xi_{bc}^0 \rightarrow pK^-, \Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow \Sigma_c^{++}K^-$  1701.03284

- 2. Discovery potentials of doubly charmed baryons  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+, \ \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$
- 3. Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: the  $1/2 \rightarrow 1/2$  case 1707.02834

1703.09086

- 4. Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons : SU(3) analysis 1707.06570
- 5. Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons : decay constant 1711.10289
- 6. Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons : Multi-body decays 1712.03830
- 7. Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: the  $1/2 \rightarrow 3/2$  case 1805.10878
- 8. Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons: the FCNC processes 1807.03101
- 9. Weak decays of doubly heavy baryons:  $\mathscr{B}_{cc} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}_{c}V$  1810.00541
- 10. Weak decays of triply heavy baryons 1803.01476
- 11. QCD sum rules analysis of weak decays of doubly heavy 1902.01092 baryons
- 12. Light-cone sum rules analysis of  $\Xi_{QQ'q} \rightarrow \Lambda_{Q'}$  weak decays 1903.03921

### **Prospect of theoretical studies**

- 1.Discovery potentials of Xi\_cc^+, Omega\_cc^+
- 2.Discovery potentials of Xi\_bc, Omega\_bc
- 3.Semileptonic decays
- 4. Effective theory of doubly heavy baryons

5.Lifetimes?

6.New physics and CPV?

7.Omega\_ccc?

. . . . . .

24

# Summary

- We systematically study the weak decays of doubly charmed baryons
- By comparing all the decay modes, we recommend to measure the following processes to search for doubly heavy baryons

$$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \qquad \Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_c^+ \pi^+$$

- And LHCb observed it via the both processes!
- Outlook: similar analysis to search for other particles.

### Thank you!