



# $\Upsilon$ measurements in heavy-ion collisions at the STAR experiment

**Qian Yang (杨 钱)**  
Shandong University

# Outline

---


- **Motivation**
- **STAR experiment**
- **$\Upsilon$  measurements in p+Au collisions**
- **$\Upsilon$  measurements in Au+Au collisions**
- **Summary**

# Quarkonium: sensitive probe to QGP


Heavy quarkonium: heavy mass ( $m_c = \sim 1.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ ,  $m_b = \sim 4.5 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ )

→ early creation.


long lifetime



$T = 0$



$0 < T < T_c$



$T > T_c$

*Illustration: A. Rothkopf*

**Quarkonium is a sensitive probe of the deconfinement in the QGP: color screening dissociation**

# Cold nuclear matter effects

- **nPDF effect**



- Modification of gluon distributions in nucleus
- Shadowing and Anti-shadowing

- **Nuclear absorption effect**


- Break-up of quarkonium by remnant of incident nuclei.

- **Co-mover effect**

- Break-up of quarkonium by co-moving hadrons outside of nuclear remnant.




# Inclusive J/ $\psi$ $R_{pA}$ at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV



EPS09+NLO, Ma & Vogt, Private Comm.  
 nCTEQ, EPS09+NLO, Lansberg Shao,  
 Eur.Phys.J. C77 (2017) no.1, 1  
 Comp. Phys. Comm. 198 (2016) 238-259  
 Comp. Phys. Comm. 184 (2013) 2562-2570

- Model calculations with only nPDF effect can touch upper limit of data within uncertainties.
- Data favor nPDF effects with additional nuclear absorption.

# $\Upsilon$ : a cleaner probe at RHIC



## $\Upsilon$ is a cleaner probe at RHIC:

- Regeneration is expected to be small

[A. Emerick, X. Zhao and R. Rapp: EPJ A48, 72 (2012)]

[X. Du, M. He, and R. Rapp: PRC 96, 054901 (2017)]

- Co-mover absorption is expected to be small for  $\Upsilon(1S)$

[Z. Lin and C. Ko: PLB 503, 104 (2001)]



# The Solenoidal Tracker at RHIC


## MTD

Dimuon trigger and muon identification

( $|\eta| < 0.5$ , 45% in  $0 < \varphi < 2\pi$ )

$\Upsilon \rightarrow \mu^+ + \mu^-$  : TPC + MTD

$\Upsilon \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$  : TPC + BEMC




## TPC

Tracking (momentum measurement, identification) ( $|\eta| < 1$ ,  $0 < \varphi < 2\pi$ )

## BEMC

Trigger and identification of high- $p_T$  electrons  
( $|\eta| < 1$ ,  $0 < \varphi < 2\pi$ )

# Inclusive $\Upsilon$ $R_{pA}$ at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV



PHENIX: PRC 87 (2013) 044909  
STAR: PLB 735 (2014) 127

- Indication of  $\Upsilon(1S+2S+3S)$  suppression in p+Au collisions




→ Cold nuclear matter effects:

$$R_{pA} (|y| < 0.5): 0.82 \pm 0.10 \text{ (stat.) } {}^{ -0.07 }_{ +0.08 } \text{ (sys.) } \pm 0.10 \text{ (global)}$$



# Inclusive $\Upsilon$ $R_{AA}$ vs. $N_{part}$ at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV

## Combination of dielectron and dimuon channels





$\Upsilon(1S)$ :

- Stronger suppression towards central collisions

$\Upsilon(2S+3S)$ :

- Stronger suppression in more central collisions
- More suppressed than  $\Upsilon(1S)$  in 0-10% central collisions — sequential melting

# $\Upsilon(1S)$ suppression





CMS: PLB 770, 357 (2017)

**$\Upsilon(1S)$  suppression is similar at RHIC and LHC and no significant  $p_T$  dependence:**

- Medium temperature is higher at LHC due to higher collision energy
- Regeneration contribution is larger at LHC
- CNM
- Strong suppression of excited  $\Upsilon$  states that feed-down to  $\Upsilon(1S)$

# $\Upsilon(2S+3S)$ suppression





$\Upsilon(2S+3S)$ :

- Indication of less suppression at RHIC than at LHC.

STAR:  $\Upsilon(2S+3S)$   $R_{AA}$ :  $0.35 \pm 0.08$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.10$  (sys.) ( $0 < p_T < 10$  GeV/c, 0-60%)

CMS:  $\Upsilon(2S)$   $R_{AA}$ :  $0.08 \pm 0.05$  (stat.)  $\pm 0.03$  (sys.) ( $0 < p_T < 5$  GeV/c, 0-100%)

# $\Upsilon(1S)$ suppression



[CMS: PLB 770, 357 (2017)]

[B. Krouppa, A. Rothkopf, M. Strickland: PRD 97, 016017 (2018)]

[X. Du, M. He, and R. Rapp: PRC 96, 054901 (2017)]

## $\Upsilon(1S) R_{AA}$ :



- Both Rothkopf's and Rapp's models describe data

*Rothkopf's model*: use a lattice-vetted heavy-quark potential

*Rapp's model*: use in-medium binding energies predicted by thermodynamic

T-matrix calculations using internal-energy potentials, from lattice QCD

# $\Upsilon(2S+3S)$ suppression



[CMS: PLB 770, 357 (2017)]

[B. Krouppa, A. Rothkopf, M. Strickland: PRD 97, 016017 (2018)]

[X. Du, M. He, and R. Rapp: PRC 96, 054901 (2017)]

$\Upsilon(2S+3S)$   $R_{AA}$  :

- Rapp's model describes data
- Rothkopf's model calculation is slightly lower than data in 30-60%



# Summary

---

- p+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV

- Indication of  $\Upsilon$  suppression

- Au+Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$  GeV

## $\Upsilon(1S)$ :

- Indication of stronger suppression towards central collisions
- Similar suppression as at LHC
- Both models are consistent with data at RHIC and LHC

## $\Upsilon(2S+3S)$ :

- More suppressed than  $\Upsilon(1S)$  in 0-10% — sequential melting
- Indication of less suppression at RHIC than at the LHC