# Sci-W ECAL: R&D progress technical challenges for CEPC

### Yunlong Zhang

#### State Key Laboratory of Particle Detection and Electronics, China

University of Science and Technology of China

On behalf of CEPC calorimeter working group

# Outline

### Motivation

### > PFA ECAL Progress based-on Sci-W

- ShiShu Group
- China Group

### ➢ Summary



### **Motivation**

#### **Circular Electron Positron Collider (CEPC)**

 $E_{cm} \approx 240 GeV$ , luminosity  $\sim 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  can also rum at the Z-pole Precision measurement of the Higgs boson (and the Z boson)



#### $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZH$



### **Requirements of CEPC EMCal**



ILD-like detector with additional considerations.

Challenges:

- $\sigma_{1/p} < 5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ GeV}^{-1}$
- > Impact parameter:  $\sigma_{r\phi} = 5 \oplus 10 / (p \cdot \sin^2 \theta) \mu m$

> Jet energy:

> Momentum:

$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} \approx 3 - 4\%$$

- The Particle Flow Algorithm (PFA) calorimeter concept was proposed
  - High granularity
  - Good track finding
  - Good energy resolution

Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

### Calorimeter in HEP Experiment

- Measure energy
- Position/angle
- Measure Time
- Particle identification
- Provide trigger





# **PFA Calorimeter**

- Challenges
  - > High granularity  $\int_{50}^{-1}$

➤ ECAL ~10 million channels

- Compact design
- ≻ High power
  - ECAL about 100 kW
    - EBU: 80 kW (without power pulsing)
    - ➢ DIF: 20 kW







Big European Bubble Chamber filled with Ne:H<sub>2</sub> = 70%:30%, 3T Field, L=3.5 m, X<sub>0</sub> $\approx$ 34 cm, 50 GeV incident electron



### Sci-W PFA ECAL of CEPC

- Sampling Calorimeter
  - Sandwich structure
  - Absorber+SD+Electronics
- Absorber
  - Tungsten
- Sensitive Detector
  - Scintillator+SiPM
- Electronics
  - ASIC Chip





### **ECAL Optimization I**

- Total thickness: 24 X<sub>0</sub>
- Sampling number: 30 layers
- Granularity: <10mm\*10mm</li>





### **ECAL Optimization II**

- Dynamic range of ECAL SD - 1MIP - 800 MIPs
- ~10 pe @ 1 MIP - SiPM ~10k pixels
- Gain of SiPM:~10<sup>5</sup>
  - SPIROC:160fC 100 pC







Max edep in SD

### **Elements of ECAL**



Scintillator (5mm\*45mm\*2mm)



SiPM (1mm \* 1mm, 10k pixels)



- Dynamic range: ~100fC~200pC
- channels: 36
- Dead time: 2ms
- Polar: positive
- power: 8mW/channel

### Shinshu Group

- EJ-204
  - LO: 64%
  - Rise time: 0.9 ns
  - Decay time: 2.1 ns
  - Wavelength: 425 nm
  - Att Length: 210 cm



### China Group

- BC-408
  - LO: 64%
  - Rise time: 0.9 ns
  - Decay time: 2.1 ns
  - Wavelength: 425 nm
  - Att Length: 210 cm



Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter



### Shinshu Group

### China Group



#### Different wedge design





#### Trench design



Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

2019/3/11

### **Scintillator**

Shinshu Group

We made reflectors by laser cutting. Laser cutting enable us easier wrapping.







The light collection uniformity



2019/3/11

### **Scintillator**

### China Group







The light collection uniformity

Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

2019/3/12

### **SiPM**

### Shinshu Group

#### Three different types SiPM were or will be used

MPPC : 1600pix. 1mm x 1mm HAMAMATSU, S103692-11-025P (Old type)

We gave up 1600pix. MPPCs because of bad S/N. We guess this problem is coming from combination of S 1600pix. MPPC.

MPPC : 10k pix. 1mm x 1mm HAMAMATSU, S12571-010P, 108 channels

We couldn't see good SPS with 10k pix. MPPC.

The problem is maybe not the low gain but noise coming from MPPC.





Peak identified channels are only 12.5%.
 MIP peak identification with 10k pixel MPPC is not easy.







Size: 1 mm \* 1 mm Pixel size: 10 um \* 10 um pixelNo.: 10,000



h1

1200

270.8

56.06



### **New SiPM**

From Shinshu group report

- HPK announced new types of MPPC
- 10um & 15um pitch MPPC
  - with trench technology : HD High Dynamic range
  - to reduce cross talks
- we have got two types from HPK
- 10 & 15 um pitch







#### Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

### **New SiPM**

#### From China





Parameter	NDL	HAMAMATSU
Effective area (mm <sup>2</sup> )	1×1	1×1
Pitch size (um)	10	10
Pixel No.	10000	10000
Break down voltage (V)	$27.5 \pm 0.4$	$65 \pm 10$
PDE (%)	31	10
Dark Count rate (kHz)	500	100
Temperature Coefficient for V <sub>b</sub> (mV/°C)	25	60
Gain	2.0*10 <sup>5</sup>	1.3×10 <sup>5</sup>
SPE Time resolution* (ps)	70	300

Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

2019/3/12

### **Readout Electronics**

#### SiPM front-end with ASIC

#### SPIROC2b/SPIROC2e of 36 channels

- Switched capacitor array store charge measurement
- 12 bits ADC conversion
- Variable Gain due to:
  - adjustable C<sub>f</sub> of pre-amplifer
  - R<sub>load</sub> on the board
  - Shaping time and delay





SPIROC2B





SPIROC2E Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter 2019/3/12

### Pedestal of SPIROC2b/2e

SPIROC2b





SPIROC2e



Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

2019/3/12

### **Dynamic range of SPIROC2b/2e**

SPIROC2b

SPIROC2e





### Thinning PCB Producing

### • PCB

- Thickness: 1.2mm
- Num. of Layers : 14





### Thinning Device

Subsystem	Device	Height
Global	Tantalum Cap. F950 Series	0.8mm
Connector	Hirose FFC-FPC	1.2mm
	Power Connection BB02	0.75mm
Calib. System	DAC TLV5618A	1.75mm
	REF ADR1581	1mm
	Buffer AD8591	1.45mm
	MOSFET Driver UCC27524	1.75mm
Temp. Monitor	Temp. Sensor TMP117	0.8mm
	I2C Switch PCA9548A	1.2mm

Totally 2.95mm thickness including electronic devices

### **Readout Electronics Board**

Shinshu Group

### China Group





Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

2019/3/12



#### Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

2019/3/12



**Visual inspection** 

Size measurement



cleaning



assembling

The single layer prototype was assembled in Shanghai Institute of Ceramic (SIC)



Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter



- 144 modules of scintillator strip coupling with SiPM (S12571-010P)
- I and IV: bottom-center embedded coupling mode, wrapped with ESR
- II: Side-end coupling mode scintillators wrapped with ESR
- III: Side-end coupling mode scintillators wrapped with Teflon







#### Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

### Cooling is under studied

- CEPC is designed to operate at continuous mode with beam crossing rate: 2.8×10<sup>5</sup> Hz. Power pulsing will not work at CEPC.
- Compare to ILD, the power consumption of VFE readout electronics at CEPC is about two orders of magnitude higher, hence it requires an active cooling





Rectangle pipe, water temperature: 22°C

- Cosmic Ray Test
  - The telescope was composed of two plastic scintillators which with size of 20 cm \* 20 cm.
  - The FEB and DIF boards were put in the dark box
  - The noise of each channel could be tested and stability could be monitored
  - The response to cosmic ray of each channel could be tested and could be used to evaluate the different wrapped and coupled methods.





# **Electronics** performance

•

•

•









Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

### **Summary**

- The Sci-W PFA ECAL was studied in two groups
  - Shinshu University
  - CEPC ECalorimeter group
- The types of sensitive elements were fixed, but still could be updated in future if some new photosensor and electronics chip update.
- Prototype of ECAL will be built this year and beam test will be started next year.

# Thanks





hon on

DIC

2019/3/10

### **Readout Electronics**

- SiPM front-end with ASIC
   SPIROC2b/SPIROC2e of 36 channels
- FPGA (Artix-7 200T)
- DIF is compatible for further FEB
- USB for data upload & cmd sending
- USB for single DIF, and **serial port for DAQ** when using multiple DIF

- Switched capacitor array store charge measurement
- 12 bits ADC conversion
- Variable Gain due to:
  - adjustable C<sub>f</sub> of pre-amplifer
  - R<sub>load</sub> on the board
  - Shaping time and delay



#### System schematic

ASIC High level diagram



Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter

### **Test Results**

### Compared with CALICE-EBU ECAL



MIP peak identified

18 ch (12.5%)

Shoulder found

45 ch (31.25%)

Tale only

72 ch (50.00%)

Dead or noisy channel

9 ch (6.25%)



35

Peak identified channels are only 12.5%. MIP peak identification with 10k pixel MPPC is not easy.





## Calorimeter

ECAL Barrel Inner radius R1 = 1.8m, Outer radius R2 = 2m, length L= 4.7m, Nlayers=30 Area of Barrel = 2\*PI\*[(R1+R2)/2]\*L \* NLayer = 1687 m2 ~ 1700m2

ECAL Endcap Inner radius r1 = 0.35m, Outer radius r2 =2m, Nlayers=30 Area of Endcap = 2\*PI\*(r2\*r2 - r1\*r1) \* NLayer = 730 m2

总面积 1700 + 730 = 2430 m2, 总通道数:1.1\*10^7

前端板, 7mW/channel \* 1.1\*10^7channel = 77kW

数据接口板,主要是FPGA功耗大,另外如果采用光纤传输模式(另一个大功耗模块),加起来DIF板功耗可以接近4W,如果再考虑SiPM电源模块,可以把功耗适当放宽至在5W,假设一个DIF板负责1个平方米的区域,这样DIF总功耗为 2430m2\*5W/m2=12.15kW

总功耗为 77 + 12.15 ≈ 90kW



Topical Workshop on the CEPC Calorimeter